

Chinese Society of Aeronautics and Astronautics & Beihang University

Chinese Journal of Aeronautics

cja@buaa.edu.cn www.sciencedirect.com CONTRACTOR JOURNAL OF AERONAUTICS

Aerodynamic optimization and mechanism design of flexible variable camber trailing-edge flap

Lu Weishuang^a, Tian Yun^{b,*}, Liu Peiqing^a

^a School of Aeronautic Science and Engineering, Beihang University, Beijing 100083, China ^b National Laboratory for Aeronautics and Astronautics, Beihang University, Beijing 100083, China

Received 28 March 2016; revised 27 October 2016; accepted 14 December 2016

KEYWORDS

13 Aerodynamic optimization;

- 14 GA (W)-2 airfoil;
- 15 Mechanism design;
- 16 Trailing-edge flap;
- 17 Variable camber

18

3

5

6

7

8

11

Abstract Trailing-edge flap is traditionally used to improve the takeoff and landing aerodynamic performance of aircraft. In order to improve flight efficiency during takeoff, cruise and landing states, the flexible variable camber trailing-edge flap is introduced, capable of changing its shape smoothly from 50% flap chord to the rear of the flap. Using a numerical simulation method for the case of the GA (W)-2 airfoil, the multi-objective optimization of the overlap, gap, deflection angle, and bending angle of the flap under takeoff and landing configurations is studied. The optimization results show that under takeoff configuration, the variable camber trailing-edge flap can increase lift coefficient by about 8% and lift-to-drag ratio by about 7% compared with the traditional flap at a takeoff angle of 8°. Under landing configuration, the flap helps to improve the lift coefficient at a stall angle of attack about 1.3%. Under cruise state, the flap helps to improve the lift-to-drag ratio over a wide range of lift coefficients, and the maximum increment is about 30%. Finally, a corrugated structure-eccentric beam combination bending mechanism is introduced in this paper to bend the flap by rotating the eccentric beam.

© 2017 Production and hosting by Elsevier Ltd. on behalf of Chinese Society of Aeronautics and Astronautics. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

19 1. Introduction

Aircraft wing design generally takes the efficiency of the cruise flight and the high-lift performance at takeoff and landing into consideration. In the actual flight, the flight condition is ever-

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: aircraft@buaa.edu.cn (Y. Tian).

Peer review under responsibility of Editorial Committee of CJA.

ELSEVIER Production and hosting by Elsevier

changing, but the shape of the wing is almost unchanged. In order to improve the efficiency of the mission profile of the flight, a mission-adaptive wing would be ideal. At present, one feasible method for improving mission efficiency is to install a flexible variable camber trailing-edge flap on the wing. Such a device, combined with the large aircraft high-lift device concept and structure-deformation technology, has great application prospects in aircraft wing design.

Trailing-edge high-lift devices have been widely used on many kinds of aircraft previously. The structure-deformation technology has also been regarded as promising in the field of aircraft design. Traditional high-lift devices have a precedent of using the concept of deformation, which is mainly

1000-9361 © 2017 Production and hosting by Elsevier Ltd. on behalf of Chinese Society of Aeronautics and Astronautics. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Please cite this article in press as: Lu W et al. Aerodynamic optimization and mechanism design of flexible variable camber trailing-edge flap, Chin J Aeronaut (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cja.2017.03.003

34

35

23

applied to the rear of wing, in order to improve the perfor-36 mance of takeoff and landing. Among such devices, the 37 "Smart High-Lift Devices for Next Generation Wings 38 (SADE)" cooperative research project among Airbus, the Ger-39 man Aerospace Center (DLR), and 11 other European institu-40 tions, is most representative of studies taking place outside of 41 China. Smart leading edge (SLE) and smart single slotted flap 42 (SSSF) are studied respectively in the project, and stress anal-43 yses of flexible variable camber mechanisms and skins are car-44 ried out, but there are less aerodynamic data available for 45 these two kinds of flexible variable camber device.^{1–8} Aeroelas-46 tic analyses have been carried out by Li et al.⁹ using the SADE 47 concept. The "Variable Camber Continuous Trailing Edge 48 Flap (VCCTEF)"^{10–13}project launched by NASA is another 49 representative study related to deformation technology and 50 aircraft high-lift devices. Preliminary results show that the 51 VCCTEF can increase the lift-to-drag ratio by about 4.85%. 52 53 Analyses of the mechanism behind VCCTEF and the rigidity and aeroelasticity of the material have been carried out by Eric 54 Ting and Sonia Lebofsky respectively.^{14–18} 55

In addition, Yokozeki et al.^{19,20} designed the variable camber morphing airfoil using corrugated structure to change the trailing-edge camber of a wing. Similarly, the variable-camber compliant wing is being studied by Joo et al.^{21,22}

In China, Yin²³ and Chen et al.²⁴ have carried out similar research, mainly focusing on the aerodynamic performance of the trailing edge of variable camber airfoils.

63 Based on the existing research concerning high-lift devices and structure-deformation technology, the optimization of flap 64 position parameters and the bending angle of the flexible vari-65 able camber flap of the GA(W)-2 airfoil at takeoff and landing 66 is studied in this paper. The aerodynamic characteristics of the 67 variable camber flap in cruise configuration are investigated. 68 Finally, a corrugated structure and eccentric beam combina-69 70 tion bending mechanism is designed in this paper, capable of 71 bending the flap by rotating the eccentric beam.

72 2. Model design

In this paper, the GA (W)-2 airfoil is selected as an analytical model; this is an advanced airfoil for general aviation with a maximum thickness of about 13% of its chord $c.^{25}$

76 The baseline configuration of the takeoff and landing configuration²⁵ is shown in Fig. 1, and δ_f is the deflection angle of 77 the flap, x_p is the translation amount in the horizontal direc-78 tion and z_p is the translation amount in the vertical direction, 79 which are refer to Ref. 25. In this paper, the geometry of the 80 variable camber trailing-edge flap is shown in Fig. 2. The trail-81 82 ing edge of the flap bends flexibly starting at 50%. Here, δ_{angle} is the bending angle of the flap, c_{flap} is its chord length, and O/83 L is the overlap between the main wing and the flap; gap refers 84 to the width of seam, and δ is the deflection angle of the flap. 85

In order to find the appropriate bending angle and flap 86 position parameters (overlap, gap, and δ), the takeoff and 87 landing configurations are optimized separately in the iSIGHT 88 optimization platform, as shown in Fig. 3. The optimization 89 objective^{26,27} of the takeoff configuration is the lift coefficient 90 C_{L8} , and the lift-to-drag ratio, C_{L8}/C_{D8} , is at an attack angle of 91 8°. The optimization objectives of the landing configuration 92 are the lift coefficients C_{L8} and C_{L12} at attack angles of 8° 93 and 12°, respectively. As shown in Fig. 4^{28} , α is the attack 94



Fig. 1 Baseline configurations from Ref. 25.



Fig. 2 Geometry of variable camber trailing-edge flap.



Fig. 3 CFD optimization platform architecture based on iSIGHT.

angle, C_L is lift coefficient and C_{L0} is lift coefficient at attack angle of 0°. For most of the general aircraft, 8° is the normal attack angle for aircraft takeoff and landing, and 12° is near the stall angle of attack. The multi-island genetic algorithm is selected for optimization, and the optimization variables and objectives are shown in Table 1.

A certain type of general aviation aircraft is chosen to verify the 2D results, and the main parameters of this aircraft are shown in Fig. 5 and listed in Table 2. The GA (W)-2 airfoil is selected as the wing cross-section and maintains equal proportions upon stretching into three dimensions. Here, the flap chord accounted for 25% of the local wing chord and the flap was stretched to 70% of the span.

107

95

96

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7153987

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7153987

Daneshyari.com