

Chinese Society of Aeronautics and Astronautics & Beihang University

Chinese Journal of Aeronautics

cja@buaa.edu.cn www.sciencedirect.com

JOURNAL

Adaptive formation control of quadrotor unmanned aerial vehicles with bounded control thrust

Rui Wang, Jinkun Liu* 5

School of Automation Science and Electrical Engineering, Beihang University (Beijing University of Aeronautics and 6 Astronautics), Beijing 100083, People's Republic of China 7

Received 25 March 2016; revised 4 December 2016; accepted 23 December 2016 8

KEYWORDS

- 13 Adaptive control;
- 14 Bounded input;
- 15 Formation control:
- 16 Parametric uncertainties;
- 17 Quadrotor UAV;
- 18 Unit-quaternions
- 19

3

9

11

Abstract In this paper, the flight formation control problem of a group of quadrotor unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) with parametric uncertainties and external disturbances is studied. Unitquaternions are used to represent the attitudes of the quadrotor UAVs. Separating the model into a translational subsystem and a rotational subsystem, an intermediary control input is introduced to track a desired velocity and extract desired orientations. Then considering the internal parametric uncertainties and external disturbances of the quadrotor UAVs, the priori-bounded intermediary adaptive control input is designed for velocity tracking and formation keeping, by which the bounded control thrust and the desired orientation can be extracted. Thereafter, an adaptive control torque input is designed for the rotational subsystem to track the desired orientation. With the proposed control scheme, the desired velocity is tracked and a desired formation shape is built up. Global stability of the closed-loop system is proven via Lyapunov-based stability analysis. Numerical simulation results are presented to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed control scheme.

© 2017 Production and hosting by Elsevier Ltd. on behalf of Chinese Society of Aeronautics and Astronautics. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

20 1. Introduction

- In recent years, formation control problems have become one 21
- of the leading research areas among the research community. 22 23
 - Compared with a single vehicle, a group of vehicles that work

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: sy1403105@buaa.edu.cn (R. Wang), ljk@buaa. edu.cn (J. Liu).

Peer review under responsibility of Editorial Committee of CJA.



cooperatively may provide a better performance and fulfill a more difficult task, which has been motivating further studies of formation control problems. In order to achieve formation control, consensus algorithms for multi-agent systems have been extensively studied in the literature,¹⁻¹¹ and can be categorized as leader-follower,¹⁻⁴ behavior-based,⁵⁻⁷ and virtual structure.^{8–11}

Nevertheless, these works of consensus algorithms referred above mainly considered simple models such as single or double integrators. Since a quadrotor unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) is a more complex system with characteristics of multi-variable, nonlinear, strong coupling, and underactuation, its formation control problems are more complicated than those of simple models. Fortunately, with the devel-

33

34

35

36

37

24

25

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cja.2017.01.007

1000-9361 © 2017 Production and hosting by Elsevier Ltd. on behalf of Chinese Society of Aeronautics and Astronautics.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Please cite this article in press as: Wang R, Liu J Adaptive formation control of quadrotor unmanned aerial vehicles with bounded control thrust, Chin J Aeronaut (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cja.2017.01.007

38

39

40

41

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

123

124

125 126

129

130 131

133

134

135

136

opment of technology and the increasing demand for formation control of robot systems, researchers are trying to deal with formation problems for complex systems using these approaches.

A consensus algorithm is designed³ to enable quadrotor 42 UAVs to fly in formation in a leader-follower structure, where 43 artificial potential field functions are used to ensure collision 44 avoidance. Galzi and Shtessel⁷ proposed a sliding mode con-45 troller that achieved UAV formation tracking affected by 46 unknown bounded disturbances, and three control strategies 47 were presented: the "Tracking" strategy, the "Placement" 48 strategy, and the "Anti-Collision" strategy. 49

Guerrero et al.9 studied the quadrotor UAV formation 50 problem with input saturation. Assuming that the angles are 51 operated in a neighborhood of an origin, the model of a 52 quadrotor UAV is simplified as a fourth-order integrator. A 53 bounded control law was designed using the nested saturation 54 55 technique. In addition, formation control problems with net-56 work communication delays have been studied, to control a team of micro-aerial vehicles moving quickly with time delays 57 and communication failures in the network⁸, and to deal with 58 bounded time-varying delays that can be arbitrarily fast using 59 frequency domain design methods.¹⁰ Wu and Shi¹¹ studied the 60 consensus in multi-agent systems with random delays governed 61 by a Markov chain, and found that with a sufficient condition 62 given by a set of linear matrix inequalities (LMIs), the delays 63 64 can be tolerated.

In practical situations, the mass of a quadrotor UAV is not 65 fixed when equipped with different sensors for different mis-66 sions or due to a payload configuration change. Hence, intrin-67 sic parameter uncertainties should be taken into consideration. 68 Unfortunately, model parameters are generally uncertain and 69 70 cannot be measured precisely. The general backstepping control method that depends on accurate system model parame-71 72 ters is invalid when facing model uncertainties. Besides, it 73 will be unrealistic to measure the mass value and the moment of inertia value of the vehicle during a flight. Therefore, some 74 adaptive controllers¹²⁻¹⁷ for controlling a single vehicle are 75 proposed to deal with uncertainties. 76

Apparently, extending the existing strategies proposed for simple multi-agent systems and single quadrotor UAVs to the formation control problem of quadrotor UAVs is not a trivial task. Although the control problems of a single quadrotor UAV with parameter uncertainties have been well discussed, the quadrotor UAV formation problem with uncertainties is not well taken into consideration.

The main contribution of this paper is to provide a forma-84 tion control scheme for a group of quadrotor UAVs with para-85 metric uncertainties and external disturbances. To deal with 86 under-actuation of the quadrotor UAVs in the formation, a 87 prior-bounded intermediary control input and the adaptive 88 laws of the mass uncertainty and the external force distur-89 90 bances are designed for position tracking, by which the 91 bounded control thrust and the bounded desired orientation 92 are extracted. Then, a control torque and the adaptive laws of the moment of inertia uncertainties and the external torque 93 disturbances are designed to track the desired orientation in 94 terms of the unit-quaternions. A novel Lyapunov function is 95 constructed to determine the stability of the overall system. 96

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the dynamic model of the quadrotor UAVs and some definitions and assumptions are given. In Section 3, the control

(2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cja.2017.01.007

laws and adaptive laws are proposed. In Section 4, global stability of the closed-loop system is proven via Lyapunov-based analysis. In tion 5, simulation results are given to demonstrate the effectiveness of the control laws proposed above. Finally, the conclusions are given in Section 6.

2. System model and preliminaries

Let the subscript "*j*" (j = 1, 2, ..., n) denote the *j*th quadrotor 107 UAV in the formation, where n is the total number of the 108 quadrotor UAVs; $E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ denote the inertial frame, 109 with $e_1 = [1, 0, 0]^T$, $e_2 = [0, 1, 0]^T$ and $e_3 = [0, 0, 1]^T$ the unit 110 vectors in the directions x, y, z of the frame E; 111 $B_j = \{ \boldsymbol{b}_{j1}, \boldsymbol{b}_{j2}, \boldsymbol{b}_{j3} \}$ denote the body-fixed frame of the *j*th 112 quadrotor UAV, with $\boldsymbol{b}_{j1} = [1, 0, 0]^{\mathrm{T}}$, $\boldsymbol{b}_{j2} = [0, 1, 0]^{\mathrm{T}}$ and 113 $\boldsymbol{b}_{j3} = [0,0,1]^{\mathrm{T}}$ the unit vectors in the directions x, y, z of the 114 frame B_i ; $p_i \in \mathbf{R}^3$ and $v_i \in \mathbf{R}^3$ are the position and linear veloc-115 ity expressed in the inertial frame; $\omega_i \in \mathbf{R}^3$ is the angular veloc-116 ity; the orientation is represented by unit-quaternions defined 117 by $\boldsymbol{Q}_i = [\boldsymbol{q}_i^{\mathrm{T}}, \eta_i]^{\mathrm{T}}$, composed of a vector component 118 $\boldsymbol{q}_j = [q_{j1}, q_{j2}, q_{j3}]^{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathbf{R}^3$ and a scalar component η_j , which are 119 constrained by 120 121

$$\boldsymbol{q}_j^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{q}_j + \boldsymbol{\eta}_j^2 = 1 \tag{1}$$

The multiplication between two unit quaternions, $\boldsymbol{Q}_1 = [\boldsymbol{q}_1^{\mathrm{T}}, \eta_1]^{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\boldsymbol{Q}_2 = [\boldsymbol{q}_2^{\mathrm{T}}, \eta_2]^{\mathrm{T}}$, is defined by

$$\mathbf{Q}_1 \odot \mathbf{Q}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \eta_1 \boldsymbol{q}_2 + \eta_2 \boldsymbol{q}_1 + \boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{q}_1) \boldsymbol{q}_2 \\ \eta_1 \eta_2 - \boldsymbol{q}_2^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{q}_1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(2)

where \odot is a non-commutative multiplication operator, and $S(\bullet)$ is the skew-symmetric matrix operator defined by

$$\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{a}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -a_3 & a_2 \\ a_3 & 0 & -a_1 \\ -a_2 & a_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(3)

for a vector $a = [a_1, a_2, a_3]^{T}$.

Please cite this article in press as: Wang R, Liu J Adaptive formation control of quadrotor unmanned aerial vehicles with bounded control thrust, Chin J Aeronaut

The physical structure of the quadrotor UAV is illustrated in Fig. 1.

For convenience, we divide the system into two subsystems: Σ_i^1 is the translational subsystem and Σ_i^2 is the rotational 138



Fig. 1 Physical structure of a quadrotor UAV.

No. of I

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7154116

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7154116

Daneshyari.com