

Chinese Society of Aeronautics and Astronautics & Beihang University

Chinese Journal of Aeronautics

cja@buaa.edu.cn www.sciencedirect.com

FULL LENGTH ARTICLE 2

Probability hypothesis density filter with adaptive 4 parameter estimation for tracking multiple maneuvering targets

Yang Jinlong^{a,b,*}, Yang Le^a, Yuan Yunhao^a, Ge Hongwei^a

^a School of Internet of Things Engineering, Jiangnan University, Wuxi 214122, China 8

^b Key Laboratory of Advanced Process Control for Light Industry (Ministry of Education), Wuxi 214122, China 0

Received 31 December 2015; revised 22 September 2016; accepted 22 September 2016 10

KEYWORDS

7

11

13

23

- 15 Adaptive parameter
- 16 estimation:
- 17 Multiple target tracking;
- 18 Multivariate Gaussian
- distribution; 19 20
- Particle filter; Probability hypothesis 21
- 22 density

Abstract The probability hypothesis density (PHD) filter has been recognized as a promising technique for tracking an unknown number of targets. The performance of the PHD filter, however, is sensitive to the available knowledge on model parameters such as the measurement noise variance and those associated with the changes in the maneuvering target trajectories. If these parameters are unknown in advance, the tracking performance may degrade greatly. To address this aspect, this paper proposes to incorporate the adaptive parameter estimation (APE) method in the PHD filter so that the model parameters, which may be static and/or time-varying, can be estimated jointly with target states. The resulting APE-PHD algorithm is implemented using the particle filter (PF), which leads to the PF-APE-PHD filter. Simulations show that the newly proposed algorithm can correctly identify the unknown measurement noise variances, and it is capable of tracking multiple maneuvering targets with abrupt changing parameters in a more robust manner, compared to the multi-model approaches.

© 2016 Production and hosting by Elsevier Ltd. on behalf of Chinese Society of Aeronautics and Astronautics. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Peer review under responsibility of Editorial Committee of CJA.



http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cja.2016.09.010

1000-9361 © 2016 Production and hosting by Elsevier Ltd. on behalf of Chinese Society of Aeronautics and Astronautics.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

JOURNAL

No. of Pages 9

Multiple target tracking (MTT) has gained wide attentions due to its theoretical and practical importance. Conventionally, the MTT problem was tackled from the perspective of data association. A number of tracking algorithms were developed in 28 the literature on the basis of techniques including the joint 29 probabilistic data association (JPDA),¹ joint integrated proba-30 bilistic data association (JIPDA)² and multiple hypothesis 31 tracking (MHT).³ These methods are generally computation-32 ally intensive and some of them even have exponentially 33 growing complexity as the target number increases. Reduced-34

24

Please cite this article in press as: Yang J et al. Probability hypothesis density filter with adaptive parameter estimation for tracking multiple maneuvering targets, Chin J Aeronaut (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cja.2016.09.010

35

36

37

No. of Pages 9

complexity techniques were proposed in Refs. 4-6. They are better for real-time applications at the cost of degraded estimation accuracy.

Recently, the use of the random finite set (RFS) theory $^{7-11}$ 38 attracted great interests, because it provides an elegant formu-39 lation of the MTT problem. But the obtained multi-target 40 Bayesian filter is intractable in most practical scenarios due 41 to the inherent combinatorial nature of multi-target state den-42 sities and the need for evaluating set integrals over high dimen-43 sional spaces. To deal with the intractability, the probability 44 hypothesis density (PHD) filter⁷ and the cardinalized PHD 45 (CPHD) filter⁸ were developed using the first-order moment 46 47 and cardinality distributions. Existing closed-form realizations of PHD filters include the particle filter PHD (PF-PHD).^{9,10} 48 Gaussian mixture PHD (GM-PHD) filter¹¹ and various mod-49 ified versions.^{12–15} Different from the PHD and CPHD filters, 50 multi-Bernoulli cardinality-balanced 51 the multi-target 52 (CBMeMBer) filter was proposed in Ref. 16 for MTT by 53 directly propagating the approximate posterior density of the targets. These algorithms exhibit good performance only when 54 the model parameters, such as the measurement noise vari-55 ances, are known precisely. In the presence of unknown 56 time-varying measurement noise variances, the variational 57 Bayesian (VB) approximation method^{17–19} can be employed 58 to recursively estimate the joint PHDs of the multi-target states 59 and the measurement noise variance.^{20,21} However, these 60 61 methods may suffer from performance degradation if targets manoeuver with unknown abruptly changing parameters. 62

For maneuvering target tracking, the use of the jump Mar-63 kov system (JMS) that switches among a set of candidate mod-64 els in a Markovian fashion has proved to be effective.^{22,23} 65 Pasha et al.²⁴ introduced the linear JMS into PHD filters 66 67 and derived a closed-form solution for the PHD recursion. Furthermore, the unscented transform (UT) and the linear 68 69 fractional transformation (LFT) were combined with the closed-form solution for the nonlinear jump Markov multi-70 target models in Refs. 25, 26 In Ref. 27, a GM-PHD filter 71 72 for jump Markov models was developed by employing the best-fitting Gaussian (BFG) approximation approach. These 73 74 algorithms assume the Gaussianity of the PHD distribution, 75 which may limit their application scope. The multiple-model particle PHD (MMP-PHD) filter, the MMP-CPHD filter and 76 MMP-CBMeMBer filter are implemented by using the sequen-77 tial Monte Carlo (SMC) method and their improved versions 78 were presented in Refs. 28-30 Most of the MM-based filters 79 track multiple maneuvering targets through the interaction 80 of multiple models, which is realized via combining estimates 81 from different models according to their respective model like-82 lihoods. The difficulty of applying them in tracking targets 83 with abruptly changing maneuvering parameters comes from 84 the need to specify a prior set of candidate models. In other 85 words, they may suffer from the curse of dimensionality: if 86 87 we wish to account for multiple unknown parameters, the 88 number of models needed would increase exponentially with 89 the number of parameters.

In this work, we incorporate the adaptive parameter esti-90 mation (APE) technique into the PHD filter for addressing 91 the problem of multiple maneuvering target tracking, where 92 both static and time varying unknown parameters, namely 93 94 the measurement noise variance and the parameters associated 95 with abrupt target maneuvers, are presented and need to be estimated. The inverse Gamma (IG) distribution is used to 96

approximate the posterior distribution of the measurement noise variances while the adaptive Liu and West (LW) filter is adopted to propagate the posterior marginal of the timevarying parameters as a mixture of multivariate Gaussian distributions.^{31–33} The obtained APE-PHD filter is realized using

the particle filter (PF), which leads to the PF-APE-PHD algorithm for tracking multiple maneuvering targets in the presence of unknown model parameters. Simulation results show that the proposed algorithm exhibits better robustness and improved tracking performance over the MM-PHD and MM-CPHD algorithms.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 formulates the problem of tracking a target in the presence of unknown model parameters. It also briefly reviews the APE technique and the PHD filter. Section 3 develops the APE-PHD algorithm and presents the closed-form solution, the PF-APE-PHD algorithm. Simulation results are given in Section 4. Finally, conclusions are provided in Section 5.

2. Preliminary

115

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

116

117

118

122

2.1. Problem formulation

The state-space model for tracking a single target moving on a two-dimensional plane is given by

$$\mathbf{c}_{k+1} = \mathbf{F}\mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{G}\mathbf{v}_k \tag{1}$$

$$\mathbf{y}_k = h(\mathbf{x}_k) + \mathbf{w}_k \tag{2}$$

where $\mathbf{x}_k = [x_k, v_{x_k}, y_k, v_{y_k}]^{\mathrm{T}}$ denotes the target state at time k, 125 (x_k, y_k) and (v_{x_k}, v_{v_k}) denote its position and velocity. **F** and 126 G are the state transition matrix and the process noise gain 127 matrix. v_k is the measurement vector. v_k and w_k denote the pro-128 cess noise and the measurement noise. They are independent of 129 each other and modeled as zero-mean Gaussian random pro-130 cesses with covariance Q_k and R_k . 131

In many practical applications, the state-space model in 132 Eqs. (1) and (2) may contain unknown parameters. For example, if the target conducts a coordinated turn (CT),²⁸ the state 134 transition matrix would become 135 136

$$\mathbf{F}(\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{\sin\omega T}{\omega} & 0 & -\frac{1-\cos\omega T}{\omega} \\ 0 & \cos\omega T & 0 & -\sin\omega T \\ 0 & \frac{1-\cos\omega T}{\omega} & 1 & \frac{\sin\omega T}{\omega} \\ 0 & \sin\omega T & 0 & \cos\omega T \end{bmatrix}$$
(3)

The turn rate ω may be unknown and time-varying. Besides, the measurement noise covariance \mathbf{R}_k may also be unknown. In these scenarios, we need to jointly estimate the posterior distribution of the target states and the unknown parameters from the measurements.

Let $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_k$ be a column vector that collects the static and timevarying parameters in the state-space model. The posterior probability density function (PDF) of the target state vector x_k and Φ_k conditioned on the measurements up to time k is, according to Bayes' rule,

$$p(\mathbf{x}_k, \boldsymbol{\Phi}_k | \mathbf{y}_{1:k}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{y}_k | \mathbf{x}_k, \boldsymbol{\Phi}_k) p(\mathbf{x}_k, \boldsymbol{\Phi}_k | \mathbf{y}_{1:k-1})}{\iint p(\mathbf{y}_k | \mathbf{x}_k, \boldsymbol{\Phi}_k) p(\mathbf{x}_k, \boldsymbol{\Phi}_k | \mathbf{y}_{1:k-1}) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_k \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Phi}_k}$$
(4) 151

where $p(\mathbf{x}_k, \boldsymbol{\Phi}_k | \mathbf{y}_{1:k-1})$ is the predicted PDF given by

138 139

140

141

142

143

144

133

145 146 147

148 149

152 153

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7154284

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7154284

Daneshyari.com