Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





### **Energy Conversion and Management**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/enconman

# Numerical investigation of indirect freeze desalination using an ice maker machine



#### Harith Jayakody\*, Raya Al-Dadah, Saad Mahmoud

School of Mechanical Engineering, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK

ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T		
Keywords: CFD Freezing Desalination Salinity Salt separation Temperature	To overcome the water shortage problem, sea water desalination is a prospective answer in order to cater for the escalating demand for fresh water. Freeze desalination is where the freezing of sea water permits the separation of salts from the water in order to produce potable water. The ice formed is of pure water as the ice crystal lattice prevents the inclusion of any salts. The lower energy usage of freeze desalination in comparison to other desalination processes is the key advantage; as the latent heat of fusion (333.5 kJ/kg) is about 1/7th that of the latent heat of vaporisation (2256.7 kJ/kg). CFD ANSYS fluent software has been used to simulate the freeze desalination process; results were compared with experimental tests showing a maximum deviation of 0.93% for the temperature change during the ice forming process and the percentage errors obtained for the salinities of ice and brine were 15% and 10.5% respectively. From parametric analysis study, results showed that, as the freezing temperature reduces, the volume of the generated ice block increases, where at 225 K freeze temperature, 5 times more ice was produced compared to that at 257.15 K, but with a high salinity level of 3.02%. Results also showed that the lowest average salinity achieved was 0.5% at an ice layer thickness of around 4 mm using a freezing temperature of 245 K. This is higher than the 0.1% salinity level recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO) standards as safe to drink water. Therefore, a second stage freezing process was applied to this 0.5% saline water to produce the required salinity level. Results showed that the achieved average ice salinity in the second stage of freezing process was below 0.05% which is regarded as good quality drinking water; also freezing at 225 K temperature produced the largest pure ice volume. These results highlight the potential of using freeze desalination to produce drinking water.		

#### 1. Introduction

For the growth of any human society, a vital element is water for all inhabitants in this planet [1,2]. The estimated value of the source of freshwater on Earth is about 3%, signifying the shortage of water. The rise in population, changes to socioeconomic conditions, increase in requirement of water for agricultural/industrial consumption, etc. causes an excess growth in water usage thus swelling water scarcity worries [3–7].

The effect of growth in processes for improving water quality due to the development of technology has been seen over the past years [8]. Freshwater being made by the removal of dissolved minerals from sea water is desalination; as it verifies to be an answer to the water shortage issue [9]. During the years 2008 to 2013, a rise in the capacity to generate desalinated water has risen to 57% annually. In the year 2013, 300 million people were served as 1700 plants were used to produce 80 million  $m^3/day$  [9,10]. The water shortage issues are significantly condensed and the quality of water is enhanced thus in turn bettering

\* Corresponding author. E-mail addresses: hej246@bham.ac.uk, harith\_ej@hotmail.com (H. Jayakody).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2018.05.010

the quality of life and economic status by desalination technologies [11]. The two main practises used for desalination are thermal and membrane methods [12–14]. Membrane methods incorporate the reverse osmosis (RO) technology where membrane fouling is severely seen. Thermal methods make use of multi-stage flash desalination (MSF) and multiple-effect distillation (MED), though one of the main challenges in these methods is high energy consumption [15–17]. For small-scale applications, Vapour compression technology (VCD) is used [8]. The disadvantages of these desalination methods are high energy consumption and high operating costs [18], [19]. Correspondingly, due to the rise in many desalination plants in the recent past, the conventional desalination plants using fossil fuels would considerably increase the air pollution [20].

When compared to other desalination techniques, a great importance is given for freeze desalination (FD) due to its many advantages [21-26]. Given that solutes are prevented from being included due to the formation of ice, the salts are rejected in freeze desalination. The ice formed is of pure water where the crystal lattice prevents the

Received 3 March 2018; Received in revised form 2 May 2018; Accepted 4 May 2018 0196-8904/@ 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Nomenclature		μ	viscosity of the fluid (Pas)
		ρ	$\rho$ , is the density of fluid (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )
Symbols			
4	muchu anno constant ( )	Acronym	S
$\begin{array}{c} A_{mush} \\ D_{i,m} \\ \overrightarrow{F_s} \\ \overrightarrow{g} \\ H \\ \overrightarrow{J_i} \\ k \\ K_i \end{array}$	mushy zone constant (–) mass diffusion coefficient for species (m <sup>2</sup> /s) solutal buoyancy body forces (N) gravity (m/s <sup>2</sup> ) enthalpy [energy/mass (J/kg), energy/mole (J/mol)] diffusion flux of the species (kg/m <sup>2</sup> -s) mass transfer coefficient (–) partition coefficient of the solute (–)	CFD FD LNG MED MSF RO VCD	computational fluid dynamics freeze desalination liquefied natural gas multiple-effect distillation multi-stage flash reverse osmosis vapour compression desalination
m <sub>i</sub> N <sub>s</sub>	slope of the liquidus surface (K) number of species (–)	Subscript	s/superscripts
$p \\ T \\ \overrightarrow{v} \\ y_{l,i} \\ Y_i$	pressure (Pa) temperature (K) velocity (m/s) mass fraction of the liquid phase (–) mass fraction of the solute (–)	Eut i liq liquidus melt	eutectic solute liquid liquid melting
Greek symbols		ref s	reference species
$egin{smallmatrix} eta\ eta_{s,i}\ arepsilon \end{split}$	liquid volume fraction (–) solutal expansion coefficient ( $K^{-1}$ ) mall number (0.001) (–)	sol solidus *	solid solid interface

inclusion of any salts due to the nature of the ice crystal structure which is referred to as the water solidification phenomena [21,22]. Low energy usage by the freeze desalination process is indicated as the latent heat of vaporization is 2256.7 kJ/kg and the latent heat of fusion is 335 kJ/[23,24]. A variety of construction approaches and a range of materials are suitable in freeze desalination because of its low operating temperatures when compared to other distillation techniques that allows corrosion and scale formation [24]. Industrial water/waste water, brackish water with high salt content, etc. are substances that membrane processes usually cannot desalt but it is possible with freeze desalination. Freeze desalination allows the feed water to be of various types of substances and allows water properties with different concentrations for desalination [25]. Regasification of liquefied natural gas (LNG) can also be used for freeze desalination process, this high quality energy can be utilized to freeze the feed saline water as most of the cold energy during the regasification of LNG is put to waste [15]. Globally, the desalination capacity is increasing and due to the on-going energy supply-demand discrepancy, these technologies can help to deliver answers to expand the economics of the renewable energy powered desalination techniques [27]. An adsorption system can also be used to conduct freeze desalination as Dakkama et al. [28] investigated a novel vacuum-direct freezing technique using an adsorption system for freeze desalination by using refrigerants.

Detailed parametric analysis has been carried out by Youssef et al. [29], which compared the performance of various desalination technologies, and ultimately showed that freeze desalination releases  $CO_2$  emissions of about 5.5 kg/m<sup>3</sup>. It also demonstrated that water production costs were only 0.34 \$/m<sup>3</sup>; comparatively lower relative to other desalination technologies. In spite of decent separation efficiency, low





Fig. 1. Tetrahedral mesh (a) and edge sizing used (b).

Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7158285

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7158285

Daneshyari.com