FISEVIER





Energy Conversion and Management

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/enconman

Multi-objective optimization and flexibility analysis of a cogeneration system using thermorisk and thermoeconomic analyses



Usman Safder¹, Pouya Ifaei¹, ChangKyoo Yoo*

Dept. of Environmental Science and Engineering, College of Engineering, Center for Environmental Studies, Kyung Hee University, Seocheon-dong 1, Giheung-gu, Yongin-Si, Gyeonggi-Do 446-701, Republic of Korea

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Flexibility analysis Multi-objective optimization (MOO) Organic fluid allocation Power and freshwater cogeneration Thermoeconomic analysis Thermorisk analysis

ABSTRACT

In the present study, an optimal power and freshwater cogeneration system is proposed to meet the global requirements sustainably. A Rankine cycle (RC), an organic Rankine cycle (ORC) and a reverse osmosis (RO) module are integrated to form the proposed system. The performance of the system is investigated using thermomathematical models allocating seven organic fluids in the bottoming ORC. A novel evolutionary algorithmbased multi-objective optimization approach is applied using thermorisk and thermoeconomic analyses. Thus, an optimal configuration is determined at both global and local scales. Finally, a flexibility analysis is performed to the optimal configuration considering probable uncertainties in the market. The optimization results showed that the total accidental risk impact and the total product cost rate improved by 2.49–48.73% and 5.67–62.41%, respectively, depending on the employed organic fluid. The highest exergetic efficiency and the minimum specific power consumption were obtained as 52.74% and 4.111 kWh/m³, allocating R245fa in the optimal system. The system enjoying R123 had the widest flexibility range without any increases in the optimum total product costs.

1. Introduction

Freshwater deficiency, global warming concerns, and energy sources depletion have motivated the scientific community to focus on sustainable, innovative technologies in the 21st century. Energy and water are two critical resources recognized to restrict sustainable development. Water is extensively required in energy industries while energy is vital to the provision and distribution of freshwater. Thus, efficient systems and clean technologies are developed to meet the major requirements sustainably [1].

A system's economic, environmental and social performances often improve by cogeneration in case of an elaborate design. Thus, a considerable attention has been paid to the integration of different thermodynamic cycles for the cogeneration of various products [2]. There are several studies allocated to the combined cycles which generate heat and power [3], power and freshwater [4], power and cooling [5], heating and cooling [6], and power, heat, and freshwater [7], according to the environmental conditions. Noting that the cogeneration autonomously enhances a systems' performance by a better recovery, mathematical optimization of cogeneration systems have often been ignored.

Power and freshwater are two highly demanded products that are simultaneously required in many regions, climates, and industries. Various technologies were employed to deal with freshwater scarcity by desalting seawater or brackish water. Membrane-based processes, especially reverse osmosis (RO), have been widely used for freshwater production. The integration of power and desalination plants, for power and freshwater cogeneration, has been considered as a viable alternative. In this way, the performance of a cogeneration unit consisted of an RO and a hydraulic turbine has been investigated by Aroussy et al. [8] using a numerical model. Moreover, various combinations of desalination systems equipped with Organic Rankine cycle (ORC) have been studied [9]. Nafey et al. [10] analyzed the cost rates, energy and

* Corresponding author.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2018.04.030

E-mail address: ckyoo@khu.ac.kr (C. Yoo).

¹ The first and second authors have identical collaboration in this research paper.

Received 24 January 2018; Received in revised form 2 April 2018; Accepted 7 April 2018 0196-8904/ © 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Nomenclature		Abbreviations	
ṁ	mass flowrate	RC	Rankine cycle
h	specific enthalpy	ORC	organic Rankine cycle
\dot{m}_{f}	mass flowrate of the feed seawater	RO	reverse osmosis
m external	mass flowrate of the boiler hot stream	HEX	heat exchanger
Р	pressure	OFWH	open feed-water heater
Ŵ	power	C.U.	cooling unit
Т	temperature	FF	fouling factor
η	efficiency	ALT	atmospheric lifetime
ΔP	transmembrane pressure	TCF	temperature correction factor
у	high-pressure steam turbine mass ratio	SPC	specific power consumption
ΔΠ	osmotic pressure	ODP	ozone depletion layer
$\dot{C}_{in,k}$	the cost rate of the kth component inlet stream	GWP	global warming potential
Ne	number of element	EP	electricity price
\dot{Z}_{k}^{CC}	kth component's capital cost	SP	steam price
A_{memb}	active surface area	SPC	specific power consumption
Ġ	thermoeconomic factor	SRR	module recovery ratio
\dot{C}_L	exergy loss rate	REJ _{RAT}	salt rejection ratio
Ex_D	exergy destruction	DCC	direct capital cost
Ex_L	exergy loss	CC	capital cost
ψ	exergetic efficiency	ICC	indirect capital cost
$Y_{D,k}$	exergy destruction ratio	TCC	total capital cost
$Y_{L,k}$	exergy loss ratio	AOC	annual operating cost
Ċ _p	average unit cost of the product	ACC	annual capital cost
lf	loading factor		
i	interest rate	Subscripts	S
c_k	average cost per unit of exergy at the kth stream		
CRF	amortization factor	turb	turbine
lc	plant life cycle	ch	chemical
r.	relative cost difference	ph	physical
Ex_P	exergy product stream rate	hp	high pressure
Ex_F	fuel stream exergy rate	ip	intermediate pressure
R_i	accidental risk of ith hazard	lp	low pressure
Y_i	Probit function to ith hazard	conti	contingency
r_p	specific risk in terms of exergy	insur	insurance-maintenance
C_D	exergy destruction cost rate	engg	engineering and supervision
C_f	average unit cost of fuel	civil, con	nst civil construction
X	salt concentration	PEC	purchased equipment cost
N _v Ėr	number of pressure vessels	equip	equipment
Ex _{ph} Ex	physical exergy	exp	exposure
EX_{ch}	chemical exergy	memb	membrane
s à	specific entropy	b	brine
$e_{ch,fluid}$	specific chemical exergy	f	feed
		d	distillate

exergy of a combined solar ORC with reverse osmosis desalination process and concluded that an ORC is one of the most efficient cycles, which can be used as a mature bottoming cycle to exploit low-grade heat sources and run an RO module. Hence, the ORC-equipped systems have been investigated for many different purposes including waste heat recovery from gas turbines [11] and internal combustion engines [12]. The integration of an ORC and a Brayton cycle has been studied extensively and the combined systems have been optimized considering various aspects, however, the optimal integration of a Rankine Cycle (RC) and an ORC has been scarcely studied in details.

On the other hand, optimization of energy systems contributes to sustainable development both in local and global scales. Several researchers devoted their efforts to the single objective optimization focusing either on thermodynamic performance [13] or economic functions [14]. Meanwhile, few studies focused on the multi-objective optimization considering both thermodynamic and economic aspects. It goes without saying that the thermodynamic improvement of a system, without considering economical and environmental issues, would be misleading. Hence, the thermodynamic analysis has been extended in the last decades to consider all these aspects simultaneously that lead in the cumulative exergy consumption analysis [15], exergetic life cycle analysis [16], extended exergy accounting [17], exergoenvironmental analysis [18], exergoecological analysis [19], environomic method [20] and thermoeconomic analysis [21]. Sayyaadi et al. [22] conducted a

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7158401

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7158401

Daneshyari.com