



Multiobjective differential evolution algorithm-based sizing of a standalone photovoltaic water pumping system



Dhiaa Halboot Muhsen^{a,b,*}, Abu Bakar Ghazali^a, Tamer Khatib^c

^a Department of EC Engineering, University of Tenaga Nasional, Malaysia

^b Department of Computer and Software Engineering, University of Al-Mustansiriyah, Iraq

^c Department of Energy Engineering and Environment, An-Najah National University, Nablus, Palestine

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 3 January 2016

Received in revised form 20 March 2016

Accepted 25 March 2016

Keywords:

Solar water pumping system

Photovoltaic

Multiobjective optimization

Differential evolution

Loss of load probability

Life cycle cost

ABSTRACT

In this paper, a differential evolution based multiobjective optimization algorithm is proposed to optimally size a photovoltaic water pumping system (PVPS). Three weighted individual objectives are aggregated by a single function to optimize the configuration of PVPS. Loss of load probability, life cycle cost and the volume of excess water are considered as three individual objective functions. The proposed pumping system is supposed to provide a daily water volume of 30 m³ with a static head of 20 m. The complexity of the initializing of the weights for each individual objective function is overcome by testing a wide range sets of weights. The performance of the system is tested based on hourly meteorological data. The performance results of the proposed system show that the loss of load probability and the average hourly water flow rate over a year time are around 0.5% and 3.297 m³/h, respectively. The life cycle cost, water deficit, and cost of water unit of the system are 9911 USD, 55.015 m³, and 0.045 USD/m³, respectively.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Photovoltaic water pumping system (PVPS) is one of the most important applications of photovoltaic systems (PV) in rural areas. However, the high initial cost and low conversion efficiency of the PV array are the main drawbacks of PVPS [1–3]. Therefore, a proper sizing of PVPS is essential to fulfill the demanded water.

The optimum size of PVPS mainly depends on available solar radiation and load demand nature. Various methodologies were reported in literature for sizing PVPS, such as intuitive, analytical and numerical iterative based methods [4–6]. Among these methods numerical iterative method is the most recommended method for accuracy reasons [7]. In numerical iterative method, a design space containing different configurations of the system (number of PV modules and size of storage tank) is created. After that, each configuration in this design space is simulated based on hourly meteorological and load data to estimate the availability of each configuration. Then the configurations that satisfy the desired availability level are nominated [8–10]. At this point, the cost of each configuration is calculated and then the configuration that

achieves the lowest cost is selected as an optimum solution. Examples on the numerical methods can be found in the literature. Olcan in [11] proposed a sizing method for PVPS by minimizing an aggregating function that combines the loss of power supply probability and the life cycle cost of the system. The proposed objective function was solved by a linear iterative programming model. The main drawback of [11] is the unjustified assumption of equal weights for objectives when they are aggregated. Furthermore, [11] used monthly average daily meteorological data, which may not consider the uncertain nature of system performance. Moreover, the relatively long execution time of this method limits its ability to handle large systems.

Based on that, AI techniques have been employed recently in optimally sizing PVPS. Evolutionary algorithms, for example, were employed for sizing PVPS to overcome the drawback of numerical iterative method [12–15]. In addition to that, particle swarm optimization (PSO) and genetic algorithm (GA) are also used for sizing PVPS. In [12] the authors proposed GA for minimizing the life cycle cost of a standalone PV hydro energy storage system subject to a specific loss of power supply probability. Similarly, Stoppato in [13] proposed a PSO algorithm to optimize the size of a small PV-pump hydro energy storage on the basis of the same concept proposed in [12]. The authors of [14] used a hybrid sizing method that combines the numerical and heuristic techniques. Similar

* Corresponding author at: Department of EC Engineering, University of Tenaga Nasional, Malaysia.

E-mail addresses: deia_mohussen@yahoo.com (D.H. Muhsen), abakar@uniten.edu.my (A.B. Ghazali), t.khatib@najah.edu (T. Khatib).

Nomenclature

A	area of PV array (m^2)	Q	water flow rate (m^3/h)
a	diode ideality factors	Q_d	deficit water (m^3)
b_1	height of impeller blade at impeller inlet (mm)	Q_{excess}	excess water (m^3)
b_2	height of impeller blade at impeller outlet (mm)	RC	present value of replacement cost (USD)
CA_i	capacity of i th component of PVPS	RC_k	replacement cost of k th component (USD)
C_n	maximum capacity of storage tank (m^3)	R_p	shunt resistance (Ω)
CR	crossover rate parameter	R_s	series resistance (Ω)
d	internal diameter of pipeline (m)	S_c	candidate solution
D	demand water (m^3/h)	SOC(t)	current state of charge of storage tank
D_p	dimension of individual vector	S_p	parent solution
F	mutation scaling factor	T_c	cell temperature (K)
FR	annual inflation rate	T_p	torque of pump (N m)
g	acceleration due to gravity (m/s^2)	UC_i	cost per unit of i th component (USD/unit)
G	generation number	V	armature voltage of DC motor (V)
G_T	hourly solar radiation (W/m^2)	v	average speed of the water (m/s)
H	total head (m)	V_a	output voltage of PV array (V)
H_d	equivalent head due to friction losses in the fitting components (m)	V_c	output voltage of solar cell (V)
H_{dd}	drawdown water level	V_t	diode thermal voltage (V)
H_D	equivalent head due to friction losses in the pipeline (m)	$X_{j,i}$	j th decision variable of i th individual vector
H_s	static head (m)	$X_{j,L,i}$	lower limit of j th parameter of i th individual vector
I	armature current of DC motor (A)	$X_{j,H,i}$	upper limit of j th parameter of i th individual vector
I_a	output current of PV array (A)	X_i^G	i th individual vector in G generation (target vector)
I_c	output current of solar cell (A)	\hat{X}_i^G	mutant vector of i th individual vector in G generation
IC	initial capital cost (USD)	$y_{j,i}^G$	j th decision variable of i th trial vector
ICI	installation and civil works costs (USD)	β_1	inclination angle of impeller blade at impeller inlet ($^\circ$)
I_o	diode saturation current (A)	β_2	inclination angle of impeller blade at impeller outlet ($^\circ$)
I_{ph}	photocurrent (A)	ρ	water density (kg/m^3)
IR	annual interest rate	ω	rotational speed of DC motor (rad/s)
k_B	Boltzmann's constant ($1.3806503 \times 10^{-23}$ J/K)	δ	pipeline friction coefficient
K_T	motor torque constant (N m/A)	ζ_{PV}	efficiency of PV array
K_p	pump constant	ζ_{sub}	subsystem efficiency
L	length of pipeline (m)	ζ_{sys}	overall efficiency of PVPS
LP	lifetime of PVPS (year)	DC	direct current
MC	present value of maintenance cost (USD)	DE	differential evolution
MC_r	maintenance cost of r th component (USD)	EA	evolutionary algorithm
MC_{Or}	maintenance cost of r th component in the first year (USD)	LCC	life cycle cost
N_r	number of component replacements over the lifetime of system	LLP	loss of load probability
N_p	number of individual vectors in population set	MC	maintenance cost
N_p	number of modules are connected in parallel	MOO	multiobjective optimization
N_s	number of modules are connected in series	MPP	maximum power point
q	electron charge ($1.60217646 \times 10^{-19}$ C)	PMDC	permanent magnet DC motor
		PV	photovoltaic
		PVPS	photovoltaic water pumping system

work was conducted in [15]. Similar example on the utilization of evolutionary algorithms for sizing PVPS can be found in [16].

Based on the reviewed literature, most of these optimization practices are based on single objective optimization (minimization of the cost subject to a specific availability). In the meanwhile, multi-objective optimization has not been applied for PVPS. Therefore, in this paper, a differential evolution algorithm based multi-objective optimization is developed to optimally size a PVPS based on technical, economic and excess water criteria. These criteria are normalized, weighted and then aggregated by a single function, which is minimized to obtain the optimal configuration of PVPS. Eventually, the performance of the proposed PVPS is studied based on hourly meteorological and load data.

2. Modeling of PVPS

In general a PVPS comprises four main parts, namely, PV array, DC–DC converter, permanent magnet DC motor coupled with a

centrifugal pump, and a tank as a storage device, (see Fig. 1). The storage tank fulfill the load demand when the PV array is unable to power the pump at night or when the volume of pumped water is insufficient to fulfill the water demand.

2.1. Modeling of PV array

A double-diode model of solar cell is considered in this paper. Following that, the output current of a solar cell can be expressed by

$$I_c = I_{ph} - I_{o1} \left[\exp \left(\frac{V_c + I_c R_s}{V_{t1}} \right) - 1 \right] - I_{o2} \left[\exp \left(\frac{V_c + I_c R_s}{V_{t2}} \right) - 1 \right] - \frac{V_c + I_c R_s}{R_p}, \quad (1)$$

where I_c and V_c are output current (A) and voltage (V) of the solar cell, respectively, I_{ph} is the photocurrent, I_{o1} and I_{o2} are diode saturation currents of the first and second diodes, respectively (A), R_s is

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7160557>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7160557>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)