Energy Conversion and Management 85 (2014) 33-49

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Energy Conversion and Management

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/enconman



Bojan Janković*

Faculty of Physical Chemistry, Department for Dynamics and Matter Structure, University of Belgrade, Studentski trg 12-16, P.O. Box 137, 11001 Belgrade, Serbia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 8 April 2014 Accepted 26 May 2014 Available online 12 June 2014

Keywords: Pyrolysis Paper cup waste Autocatalytic model Chemically controlled process Discrete (binomial) reactivity distribution

ABSTRACT

Pyrolysis process of coffee paper cup samples was investigated in a flow stream of nitrogen at different heating rates (10, 20, 30 and 40 °C min⁻¹), using thermo-analytical techniques. It was found that second pyrolysis stage can be described by Šesták–Berggren (SB) autocatalytic model, with mechanism function $f(\alpha) = \alpha^{0.011}(1 - \alpha)^{1.459}$. Based on analysis of SB kinetic exponents (designated by *M* and *N*), it was found that second pyrolysis stage is mainly controlled by chemical process, involving reactions with reaction-order (*n*) higher than unity. Applying specific statistical analysis, in order to obtain precise distribution of reactivity, the discrete (binomial) distribution has shown that there are two important areas of current distribution for corresponding energy outcomes, within the apparent activation energy as random variable. The first "concentration" area of energy outcomes corresponds to start of chain end depolymerization reaction forming levoglucosan at high enough temperature region, while second "concentration" area of energy outcomes includes occurrence of macro-radicals in liquid phase propagate with radical addition on unsaturated C–C bonds, with cross-linking and formation of small chemical species. It was found that further elevating of temperature (above 340 °C), will leads to fact that rate of tar-forming reactions increases and formation of char decreases.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Urbanization is an important determinant of both the quantity and the type of fuel used in developing countries. In general, urbanization leads to higher levels of energy consumption, also accompanied with increases in income levels. Therefore, there is a shift from traditional to commercial fuels. Several other factors that contribute to this trend include decline in access to biomass fuels, inconvenience of transportation and storage of biomass fuels, and improvement unavailability of commercial fuels in urban areas [1,2]. The disposal of solid biomass and waste is becoming an enormous problem because they are very difficult and costly to manage. Pyrolysis has proved itself to be a new type of solid biomass and waste utilization technique that transforms biomass and waste material of low-energy density into bio-oil of high-energy density and recover higher value chemicals [3].

* Tel./fax: +381 11 2187 133. E-mail address: bojanjan@ffh.bg.ac.rs

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2014.05.094 0196-8904/© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

The paper cups used as coffee or cold drinks cups are accumulating as wastes on the earth surface at a rapid rate. Considering only US, 14.4 million disposable paper cups are used for drinking coffee each year. For example, over 6.5 million trees were cut down to make 16 billion paper cups used by US consumers in 2006, using 4 billion gallons of water and resulting in 253 million pounds of waste [4]. Very little recycled paper is used to make paper cups because of contamination concerns and regulations. Because most paper cups are coated with plastic, both composting and recycling of paper cups is uncommon. Although paper cups are made from renewable resources (wood chips 95% by weight), paper products in a landfill may not decompose, or may release methane if decomposed anaerobically. The manufacture of paper usually requires inorganic chemicals and creates water effluents. Paper cups may consume more non-renewable resources than cups made of polystyrene foam (whose only significant effluent is pentane) [5,6]. In addition, one paper cup represents 4.1 g equivalent petrol with a production cost 2.5 times higher than plastic cups. More petroleum is needed to make a paper cup than a polystyrene one. This is because the wood for the paper cups has to be transported by road







Nomenclature

dα/dt	the rate of the process $[min^{-1}]$	<i>k</i> ise
t	the time [min]	T_{iso}
dT/dt	the linear heating rate [°C min ⁻¹]	Μ
A	pre-exponential factor [min ⁻¹]	N
Ea	apparent (<i>effective</i>) activation energy [] mol ⁻¹]	M
R	gas constant [] mol · K ·]	$A_{\rm FI}$
1	absolute temperature [K]	. h
$f(\alpha)$	differential analytical form of the reaction mechanism function	Ea
$g(\alpha)$	integral analytical form of the reaction mechanism	
т	runction	Lis
I _o	the starting experimental temperature [°C]	LD
χ	reduced apparent activation energy	TA
p(x)	integral	TG
\overline{T}	the average experimental temperature [°C]	IG
h	the intercent	DI
D F	apparent activation energy for each given conversion	
La,α	fraction [I mol ^{-1}]	IC
t _{er}	the time at a given conversion fraction	Л
Λα	the small conversion fraction interval	
 I	the temperature integral	
J T _{max}	peak (maximum) temperature [°C]	RS
$\alpha_{\rm max}$ or 0	$x_{\rm p}$ conversion value at peak temperature	AC
n	the reaction order	KA
$y(\alpha)$	the first special function for the identification of the	FR
	reaction mechanism	VY
$z(\alpha)$	the second special function for the identification of the	(S)
	reaction mechanism	SB
α_m	the kinetic parameter related to $y(\alpha)$ special function	S
α_p^{∞}	the kinetic parameter related to $z(\alpha)$ special function	(F)
$\Delta H^{\neq o}$	the change of the standard enthalpy [kJ mol ⁻¹]	. ,
$\Delta S^{\neq o}$	the change of the standard entropy [J K ⁻¹ mol ⁻¹]	Gr
$\Delta G^{\neq o}$	the change of the Gibbs free energy $[k] \mod^{-1}$	φ
е	Neper number	ß
k _B	Boltzmann constant [J K ⁻¹]	ά
h Trác	Plank constant [] s]	γ
E≠o	apparent activation energy obtained from advanced	3
	non-linear isoconversional method [] mol ⁻¹]	μ
ε _a	the individual outcomes for the considered random variable designated by Γ [Legal=1]	λ
$\mathbf{n}(\alpha)$	variable designated by E_a [J mol]	δ
$p(\varepsilon_a)$	the discrete probability [inor (kj)]	ξ
R(1n)	Rinomial distribution	
$D(\lambda,p)$ $f(\lambda \mid n)$	discrete density probability/distribution function (ddf)	σ
$F(\delta \lambda n)$	discrete cumulative probability/distribution function	φ_o
1(0, <i>n</i> , <i>p</i>)	(cdf)	
[δ]	greatest integer	Ψ
ΔT	the temperature range [°C]	
Tshoulder	the "shoulder" temperature on the derivative	
- shoulder	thermogravimetric curves [°C]	Su
Ti	initial (onset) temperature [°C]	i
$\dot{T_f}$	final temperature [°C]	ma
$\langle E_a \rangle$	the average value of the apparent activation energy	
-	$[J \text{ mol}^{-1}]$	а
3D	three-dimensional space	а,
а	CE – artificial compensation effect	
<i>a</i> *	the first apparent compensation effect coefficient	Suj
	[min ⁻¹]	1
b^*	the second apparent compensation effect coefficient	Мо
	$[mol (k])^{-1}]$	

- k_{iso} the isokinetic rate constant [min⁻¹]
- T_{iso} the isokinetic temperature [°C]
- *M* the first Šesták–Berggren autocatalytic parameter
- V the second Šesták–Berggren autocatalytic parameter
- M + N the total reaction order
- A_{FR-Miura} pre-exponential factor obtained by the Friedman–Miura's approach [min⁻¹]
- $\varepsilon_a^{\rm b}$ boundary value of the individual outcomes for the random variable, E_a [J mol⁻¹]

List of acronyms

- LDPE low-density polyethylene
- TA thermo-analytical
- TG thermogravimetry
- TGA thermogravimetric analysis
- DTG derivative thermogravimetry
- DTA differential thermal analysis
- ICTAC International Confederation for Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry
- DAEM distributed apparent activation energy model
- IG/DTG thermogravimetry/derivative thermogravimetry
- CR Coats-Redfern method
- RSS the residual sum of squares
- AC Achar method
- KAS Kissinger–Akahira–Sunose method
- FR Friedman method
- VY Vyazovkin method
- (S) "Successfully" deployed values
- SB Šesták–Berggren model
- 5 the ratio of the Šesták and Berggren kinetic exponents
- (F) Fisher test

Greek letters

- ρ the gas flowing rate [mL min⁻¹]
- $\hat{\beta}$ the heating rate [°C min⁻¹]
- α the conversion fraction
- the transition factor
- the individual outcomes
- the mean value [J mol $^{-1}$]
- parameter of the binomial distribution
- number of successfully deployed values of ε_a
- the random variable of regularized incomplete beta function
- σ the standard deviation [J mol⁻¹]
- φ_o additional correction (energy) parameter of the modified Gauss (normal) distribution [] mol⁻¹]
- Ψ the cumulative distribution function of the standard normal distribution

Subscripts

- *i* the ordinal number of a non-isothermal experiments
 max the values related to the maximum of the derivative thermogravimetric curve at a given heating rate
 a the apparent
- a, α the apparent value at a given conversion fraction

Superscripts

l	the total number of the heating rates
N/- J-1-	- + + + + + + + + + - + - + + + + +

Models attached to the tested reaction mechanisms

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7164910

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7164910

Daneshyari.com