Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





## **Engineering Fracture Mechanics**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/engfracmech

# Unified constraint parameter based on crack-tip opening displacement



### J.Y. Xu, G.Z. Wang\*, F.Z. Xuan, S.T. Tu

Key Laboratory of Pressure Systems and Safety, Ministry of Education, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai 200237, China

#### ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: CTOD In-plane constraint Out-of-plane constraint Fracture toughness Equivalent plastic strain

#### ABSTRACT

To develop new fracture assessment methodology to incorporate both in-plane and out-of-plane constraints, it is desirable to develop unified constraint parameters convenient for engineering calculation and application. In this work, a new unified constraint parameter  $A_d$  based on crack-tip opening displacement (CTOD) was proposed, and the capability of  $A_d$  to characterize both in-plane and out-of-plane constraints has been investigated by a comparison with the unified constraint parameter  $A_p$ . The results indicate that the parameter  $A_d$  can quantify both in-plane and out-of-plane constraints and their change with load levels. A unified correlation line between the normalized fracture toughness  $J_C/J_{ref}$  and  $A_d$  (toughness locus) can be established for both ductile and brittle fracture. The parameter  $A_d$  based on CTOD has clear physical and geometric meaning, and it can be easily measured and determined in FEM analyses. Therefore, the  $A_d$  may be an appropriate engineering unified constraint parameter, and it may be adopted in fracture assessments of cracked structures for incorporating both in-plane and out-of-plane constraints.

#### 1. Introduction

The fracture criterion based on traditional fracture mechanics assumes that the cracked structures have the same fracture resistance as the laboratory fracture toughness specimen at fracture initiation [1]. However, cracks in actual structures (such as pipes and vessels) often have lower crack-tip constraint than those in laboratory fracture specimens [2]. Thus, the fracture assessment for lower constraint structures using conventional fracture mechanics may produce excessive conservative results. This conservative assessment may lead to unnecessary replacement or repairs of in-service components. On the other hand, if the crack-tip constraint in structures is higher than that in laboratory fracture specimens, non-conservative assessment results (unsafe) may be produced. Therefore, the constraint effects need to be considered in fracture assessment for cracked structures.

Constraint is related to the hindrance of the crack tip deformation induced by a structure [3]. The constraint is usually composed of in-plane constraint and out-of-plane constraint. The former is directly affected by the length of the un-cracked ligament or crack depth, and the latter is affected by the specimen thickness. During the past decades, different constraint parameters have been proposed, such as *T*-stress [4], *Q* [5,6], *A*<sub>2</sub> [7], *T*<sub>z</sub> [8–10], stress triaxiality factor *h* [11], *K*<sub>P</sub> [12], *A* [13–16] and *A*<sub>p</sub> [17–22], etc. In current fracture assessment procedures, such as in the R6 [23], SINTAP [24] and FITNET FFS [25] procedures, the constraint effects have been considered by adopting the constraint parameters *T* and *Q*. However, in recent work [20–27], it has been shown that the parameters *T*-stress and *Q* only can quantify the in-plane constraint effect. But for practical engineering structures, in-plane constraint coexists with out-of-plane constraint [28,29]. In order to improve the accuracy of fracture assessments, it requires developing new

\* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* gzwang@ecust.edu.cn (G.Z. Wang).

Received 7 March 2018; Received in revised form 16 May 2018; Accepted 11 July 2018 0013-7944/ © 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engfracmech.2018.07.021

Nomenclature fie			fields
		$\varphi$	a unified constraint parameter defined by plastic
$A_p$	a unified parameter for quantifying both in-plane		region area
	and out-of-plane constraints	$\varepsilon_p$	equivalent plastic strain isoline
$A_{PEEQ}$	area surrounded by equivalent plastic strain iso-	Ε	Young's Modulus
	line in a specimen or component	ν	Poisson's ratio
$A_{ref}$	area surrounded by equivalent plastic strain iso-	$\sigma_0$	yield stress
	line in a standard test at fracture	$\sigma_b$	tensile strength
J	J-integral	Ψ	reduction of area
$J_C$	fracture toughness characterized by J-integral	$\delta_{90}$	crack-tip opening displacement based on the 90°
$J_{ref}$	fracture toughness measured in a standard test at		intercept procedure
	fracture	$\delta_{ m C}$	crack-tip opening displacement at fracture
$A_d$	a new unified parameter for quantifying both in-	U1	displacement in the direction of X
	plane and out-of-plane constraints	$r_0$	initial root radius of blunt crack-tip
δ	crack-tip opening displacement	$J_{ave}$	average J-integral along crack front
$\delta_{ref}$	crack-tip opening displacement at fracture mea-	$J_{mid}$	J-integral at middle plane
	sured in a standard test	z	distance from middle plane in three-dimensional
а	crack length		specimen
W	specimen width	$A_{d-mid}$	value of $A_d$ at middle plane
В	specimen thickness	$A_{p-mid}$	value of $A_p$ at middle plane
Κ	stress intensity factor	$A_{d-ave}$	average $A_d$ along crack front
Т	T-stress constraint parameter under elastic condi- tion	A <sub>p-ave</sub>	average $A_p$ along crack front
Q	a constraint parameter under elastic-plastic con- dition	Abbreviations	
$A_2$	parameter quantifying second and third term of	CTOD	crack-tip opening displacement
	stress relative to the first term in a cracked elastic-	SEN(B)	single edge notched bend
	plastic body	2D	two-dimensional
$T_Z$	factor of the stress-state in 3D cracked body	3D	three-dimensional
ĥ	stress triaxiality factor	FEM	finite element method
$K_P$	plastic stress intensity factor	SSY	small scale yielding
Â	second (constraint) parameter in <i>J</i> –A crack-tip	PEEQ	equivalent plastic strain in ABAQUS code

fracture assessment methodology to incorporate both constraints.

Mostafavi et al. [30,31] suggested a unified constraint parameter  $\varphi$  based on the crack-tip plastic zone size. However, the parameter  $\varphi$  has its limitation on characterizing constraint at higher load level for the ductile material with higher fracture toughness [17]. Recently, Yang et al. [17,18] have proposed a unified constraint characterization parameter  $A_p$  by modifying the parameter  $\varphi$  as follows:

$$A_p = \frac{A_{PEEQ}}{A_{ref}} \tag{1}$$

where  $A_{PEEQ}$  denotes the area surrounded by the equivalent plastic strain ( $\varepsilon_p$ ) isoline ahead of a crack tip in a specimen or structure, and  $A_{ref}$  denotes the reference area surrounded by the  $\varepsilon_p$  isoline in a standard plane strain specimen with high constraint at fracture. It has been shown that the parameter  $A_p$  can capture both in-plane and out-of-plane constraints, and there exists a sole linear relation between the normalized fracture toughness  $J_{IC}/J_{ref}$  and  $\sqrt{A_p}$  regardless of the in-plane constraint, out-of-plane constraint and the selection of the  $\varepsilon_p$  isolines [17]. The FEM simulations with the GTN damage model (local approach) can be used in obtaining the unified  $J_{IC}/J_{ref} - \sqrt{A_p}$  reference line for materials under ductile fracture condition [17]. In the work of Yang et al. [18], the specimens with different geometries and loading configurations were used to study the unified correlation of in-plane and out-of-plane constraints with ductile fracture toughness. The results showed that a sole linear relation between  $J_{IC}/J_{ref}$  and  $\sqrt{A_p}$  for these specimens also can be obtained. The unified  $J_{IC}/J_{ref} - \sqrt{A_p}$  correlation line can be used to determine constraint dependent fracture toughness of materials. The results also demonstrate that the out-of-plane constraint effect is related to the in-plane constraint effect, and there exists interaction between them. The further work of Yang et al. [19] shows that the parameter  $A_p$  also can characterize the combining constraint composed of in-plane, out-of-plane and material constraints (induced by local strength mismatch in welded joints). The study of Mu et al. [20] showed that the parameter  $A_p$  has a good correlation with brittle fracture toughness  $K_{Jc}$  and  $J_c$  of various specimens with different constraint levels, and it is also a unified measure parameter of in-plane and out-of-plane constraint for brittle fracture. In the further study of Mu et al. [21], extensive three-dimensional finite element analyses were conducted for a large amount of experimental specimens with various constraint levels. The results showed that the parameter  $A_p$  can characterize a wide range of in-plane and out-of-plane constraints and their interaction under brittle fracture condition. In a recent study [22], the capability and applicability of five constraint parameters (namely T-stress, Q, h,  $T_z$  and  $A_p$ ) for characterizing in-plane and out-of-plane crack-tip constraints and establishing unified correlation with fracture toughness of a steel were investigated. The results showed that the four

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7168600

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7168600

Daneshyari.com