ARTICLE IN PRESS

Engineering Fracture Mechanics xxx (2017) xxx-xxx

FISEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Engineering Fracture Mechanics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/engfracmech



Fracture assessment procedure developed in Japan for steel structures under seismic conditions

Fumiyoshi Minami ^{a,*}, Yasuhito Takashima ^a, Mitsuru Ohata ^b, Yusuke Shimada ^c, Takahiko Suzuki ^d, Hiroshi Shimanuki ^e, Satoshi Igi ^f, Takumi Ishii ^g, Masao Kinefuchi ^h, Tetsuo Yamaguchi ⁱ, Yukito Hagihara ^j

- ^a Joining and Welding Research Institute, Osaka University, 11-1, Mihogaoka, Ibaraki, Osaka 567-0047, Japan
- ^b Department of Manufacturing Science, Osaka University, 2-1, Yamadaoka, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan
- ^c Steel Research Laboratories, Nippon Steel & Sumitomo Metal Corporation, 20-1, Shintomi, Futtsu, Chiba 293-8511, Japan
- ^d Material Properties Evaluation Division, Nippon Steel & Sumikin Technology, 20-1, Shintomi, Futtsu, Chiba 293-0011, Japan
- ^e Steel Research Laboratories, Nippon Steel & Sumitomo Metal Corporation, 1-8, Fuso-cho, Amagasaki, Hyogo 660-0891, Japan
- fSteel Research Laboratory, JFE Steel Corporation, Kawasakidori 1-chome, Mizushima, Kurashiki 712-8511, Japan
- g Structures Performance Center, JFE Techno-Research Corporation, 1-1 Minamiwatarida-cho, Kawasaki-ku, Kawasaki 210-0855, Japan
- ^h Materials Research Laboratory, Kobe Steel, 5-5, Takatsukadai 1-chome, Nishi-ku, Kobe, Hyogo 651-2271, Japan
- ⁱ Sheet & Plate Products Development Department, Kobe Steel, 2222-1, Ikeda, Onoe-cho, Kakogawa, Hyogo 675-0023, Japan
- ^j Department of Mechanical Engineering, Sophia University (Formerly), 7-1, Kioi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 102-0094, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 27 September 2017
Received in revised form 31 October 2017
Accepted 31 October 2017
Available online xxxx

Keywords: Brittle fracture Steel structures Cyclic loading Dynamic loading Pre-strain

ABSTRACT

The Engineering Standard, WES 2808, has been developed in Japan for assessing the brittle fracture of steel-framed components at the earthquake. This paper describes the key contents of WES 2808 and demonstrates the application to beam-to-column connections. WES 2808 includes two unique ideas: (1) a reference temperature concept for the evaluation of the material fracture toughness under cyclic and dynamic loading, and (2) an equivalent CTOD concept for the correction of CTOD toughness for constraint loss in structural components. A skeleton strain is employed to define the pre-strain prior to fracture during cyclic loading. The revision of WES 2808 has been done in 2017 to expand the range of use and to improve the accuracy of CTOD toughness correction on the basis of ISO 27306-2016. It is shown that fracture strains of beam-to-column subassemblies predicted by WES 2808 are in a good agreement with those measured.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The Kobe great earthquake, happened in 1995, caused a considerable damage to steel-framed structures. Beam-to-column connections, column welds and bridge piers failed in a brittle manner: see the report by Toyoda [1]. During the earthquake, structures sustain a large cyclic and dynamic straining, which leads to a substantial decrease in the resistance to brittle fracture. According to the post-Kobe earthquake investigation by Hashida et al. [2] and APD Committee [3] in JWES

E-mail addresses: minami@jwri.osaka-u-u.ac.jp (F. Minami), takashima@jwri.osaka-u.ac.jp (Y. Takashima), ohata@mapse.eng.osaka-u.ac.jp (M. Ohata), shimada.cz2.yusuke@jp.nssmc.com (Y. Shimada), suzuki-takahiko@nsst.jp (T. Suzuki), shimanuki.ek8.hiroshi@jp.nssmc.com (H. Shimanuki), s-igi@jfe-steel. co.jp (S. Igi), ta-ishii@jfe-tec.co.jp (T. Ishii), kinefuchi.masao@kobelco.com (M. Kinefuchi), yamaguchi.tetsuo@kobelco.com (T. Yamaguchi), hagihara-y@kyf. biglobe.ne.jp (Y. Hagihara).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engfracmech.2017.10.034 0013-7944/© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article in press as: Minami F et al. Fracture assessment procedure developed in Japan for steel structures under seismic conditions. Engng Fract Mech (2017), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engfracmech.2017.10.034

^{*} Corresponding author.

Nomenclature

Principal symbols

a depth of surface crack or depth of edge through-thickness crack a_0 initial crack length of standard fracture toughness specimen

c length of surface crack

 \bar{c} length of equivalent through-thickness crack in infinite plate

e active strain in cyclic loading

 $e_{\rm f}$ global strain (active strain) at fracture

 $e_{\rm f,local}$ local strain at fracture

 e_{local} local strain in assumed crack area in strain concentration zone

ė active strain rate

 \dot{e}_0 active strain rate in static condition (= 10^{-4} /s)

 \dot{e}_{local} local strain rate E Young's modulus K stress intensity factor K_{ϵ} strain concentration factor E Weibull shape parameter

N number of load cycle during cyclic loading

 R_Y yield-to-tensile ratio (= σ_Y/σ_T)

 $S_{\rm r}$ strength mismatch ratio in welds (= $\sigma_{\rm T}^{\rm WM}/\sigma_{\rm T}^{\rm BM}$)

t plate thickness

T service temperature of structural component

 T_0 room temperature

 ΔT_{PD} temperature shift of fracture toughness caused by pre-strain and dynamic loading

loading rate

 β_0

W width of standard fracture toughness specimen

 β equivalent CTOD ratio, defined by $\delta/\delta_{\text{struc}}$, that links CTODs of standard fracture toughness specimen and struc-

tural component at the same Weibull stress level equivalent CTOD ratio for a reference size of crack equivalent CTOD ratio for center surface crack panel

 β_{CSCP} equivalent CTOD ratio for center surface crack panel equivalent CTOD ratio for center through-thickness crack panel

 β_{ESCP} equivalent CTOD ratio for edge surface crack panel

 β_{ETCP} equivalent CTOD ratio for edge through-thickness crack panel

 δ CTOD of standard fracture toughness specimen with crack depth ratio of $a_0/W = 0.5$

 $\delta_{\rm cr}$ critical CTOD of standard fracture toughness specimen (CTOD fracture toughness)

 $\delta_{
m struc}$ CTOD of a crack in structural component critical CTOD of a crack in structural component

 $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{pre}}$ pre-strain $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{pre, local}}$ local pre-strain

 ε_{Y} yield strain flow stress (= $(\sigma_{Y} + \sigma_{T})/2$)

 $\Delta\sigma_f^{PD}$ — flow stress elevation caused by pre-strain and dynamic loading

 σ_T tensile strength of material

 σ_{TO} tensile strength at room temperature in static condition σ_{TO}^{pre} static tensile strength at room temperature with pre-strain

 $\begin{array}{ll} \sigma_T^{BM} & \text{tensile strength of base metal} \\ \sigma_T^{WM} & \text{tensile strength of weld metal} \\ \sigma_Y & \text{yield strength of material} \end{array}$

 σ_{Y0} yield strength at room temperature in static condition $\sigma_{Y0}^{\rm pre}$ static yield strength at room temperature with pre-strain

 $\begin{array}{ll} \sigma_Y^{BM} & \text{ yield strength of base metal} \\ \sigma_Y^{WM} & \text{ yield strength of weld metal} \end{array}$

σ_W Weibull stress

Principal abbreviations

BM base metal

CSCP center surface crack panel

CTCP center through-thickness crack panel CTOD crack tip opening displacement ESCP edge surface crack panel

Please cite this article in press as: Minami F et al. Fracture assessment procedure developed in Japan for steel structures under seismic conditions. Engng Fract Mech (2017), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engfracmech.2017.10.034

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7169260

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7169260

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>