



Existence of solutions for 2nd-order nonlinear p -Laplacian differential equations



Lorena Saavedra^{a,*}, Stepan Tersian^{b,1}

^a Instituto de Matemáticas, Facultade de Matemáticas, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Galicia, Spain

^b Department of Mathematics, University of Ruse, Ruse, Bulgaria

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 21 July 2016

Received in revised form 26

September 2016

Accepted 30 September 2016

Dedicated to Prof. Alberto Cabada
on the occasion of his 50th
Anniversary

Keywords:

p -Laplacian

Clark's theorem

Periodic boundary value problem

ABSTRACT

The aim of this paper is to study the existence and multiplicity of weak and classic solutions for a 2nd-order differential equation involving the p -Laplacian coupled with periodic boundary conditions. The results are proved by using the minimization argument and an extended Clark's theorem. Some particular cases are shown.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to study a 2nd-order differential equation involving the p -Laplacian, with periodic boundary conditions. This kind of problems have been widely studied in the literature. For instance, in [1] it is considered a second order problem, in [2] it is obtained the existence of anti-periodic solutions for a n -th-order problem. Moreover, in [3] it is studied a fourth order problem involving the p -Laplacian with deviating terms.

In this paper, we generalize the results obtained in [4] for a second order problem.

For $p > 1$, let us introduce the function $\varphi_p: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by:

$$\varphi_p(t) = \begin{cases} t|t|^{p-2} & t \neq 0, \\ 0 & t = 0, \end{cases}$$

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: lorena.saavedra@usc.es (L. Saavedra), sterzian@uni-ruse.bg (S. Tersian).

¹ Associate at: Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 1113- Sofia, Bulgaria.

Let us consider the following problem:

$$\left(\varphi_p\left(u^{(n)}(t)\right)\right)^{(n)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i a_i \left(\varphi_p\left(u^{(n-i)}(t)\right)\right)^{(n-i)} + (-1)^n (f(t, u(t)) - h(t, u(t))) = 0, \quad t \in [0, T] \quad (1)$$

coupled with the boundary conditions

$$u(T) - u(0) = \dots = u^{(2n-1)}(T) - u^{(2n-1)}(0) = 0, \quad (2)$$

where $T \geq 0$ and $a_i \geq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

We introduce the following Banach space:

$$X_p = \left\{ u \in W^{n,p}(0, T) \mid u(T) - u(0) = \dots = u^{(n-1)}(T) - u^{(n-1)}(0) = 0 \right\}, \quad (3)$$

where $W^{n,p}(0, T)$ is the Sobolev space:

$$W^{n,p}(0, T) = \left\{ u \in L^p(0, T) : \|u\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \int_0^T |u^{(i)}(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\}.$$

The function $u \in C^n([0, T])$ is said to be a classical solution of this problem if $\varphi_p(u^{(n)}(\cdot)) \in C^n([0, T])$ and it satisfies Eq. (1) for $t \in (0, T)$ and periodic conditions (2).

Remark 1.1. Realize that, from the derivative chain rule, the assumption $\varphi_p(u^{(n)}(\cdot)) \in C^n([0, T])$ implies that $u^{(n)} \in C^n([0, T])$. Moreover, if $u^{(n)}$ is not of constant sign on $[0, T]$, in order to have $\varphi_p(u^{(n)}(\cdot)) \in C^n([0, T])$, we should ask for $\varphi_p \in C^n(\mathbb{R})$.

So, in particular, $u \in C^{(2n)}([0, T])$. However, we need to study the regularity of the p -Laplacian to ensure that a function which verifies $u \in C^{(2n)}([0, T])$ also satisfies $\varphi_p(u^{(n)}(\cdot)) \in C^n([0, T])$.

For instance, let us consider $n = 2$ and $p = 3$, for $u \in C^4([0, T])$ we have

$$(\varphi_3(u''(t)))' = \varphi_3'(u''(t)) u^{(3)}(t) = |u''(t)| u^{(3)}(t),$$

hence $\varphi_3(u''(\cdot)) \in C^1([0, T])$, but $\varphi_3(u''(\cdot)) \notin C^2([0, T])$ if u'' is not of constant sign on $[0, T]$ even if $u^{(3)} \in C^1([0, T])$.

The function $u \in X_p$ is said to be a weak solution of (1)–(2) if for every $v \in X_p$ it is verified the following equality:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \varphi_p(u^{(n)}(t)) v^{(n)}(t) dt + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i \int_0^T \varphi(u^{(n-i)}(t)) v^{(n-i)}(t) dt \\ & + \int_0^T (f(t, u(t)) - h(t, u(t))) v(t) dt = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The aim of this paper is to ensure the existence of multiple weak solutions of (1)–(2). That is, we look for $u \in X_p$ such that (4) is verified. Then, we ensure that in some cases the weak solution is also a classical solution.

Now, we introduce a condition that f and h must satisfy. Let us consider the following functions:

$$F(t, u) = \int_0^u f(t, s) ds, \quad H(t, u) = \int_0^u h(t, s) ds.$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7222258>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7222258>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)