Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Nonlinear Analysis

www.elsevier.com/locate/na

## Desensitizing controls for semilinear wave equations<sup>\*</sup>

### Jieqiong Wu\*, Xianzheng Zhu

School of Mathematical Sciences, Shanxi University, Taiyuan, Shanxi 030006, China

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 31 January 2017 Accepted 13 December 2017 Communicated by Enzo Mitidieri

Keywords: Desensitizing control Semilinear wave equation Unique continuation property

#### ABSTRACT

The desensitizing control problems for semilinear wave equations are studied. When the nonlinearity f satisfies  $\lim_{|s|\to\infty} \frac{f(s)}{|s|\ln^2|s|} = \lim_{|s|\to\infty} \frac{f'(s)}{\ln^2|s|} = 0$ , we obtain the existence of a control which desensitizes the boundary observation. The proof is based on the combination of fixed-point arguments and explicit observability estimates for the linearized wave equation with a potential that depends on both x and t. The work solves the problem proposed by Tebou (2011) in the one-dimensional setting. For the interior observation, we assume that the nonlinearity is globally Lipschitz, under which the existence of  $\varepsilon$ -desensitizing controls is proved. Our proof is based on the fixed-point arguments and the unique continuation property.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Let T > 0,  $\Omega = (0, 1)$ ,  $Q = \Omega \times (0, T)$  and  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\} \times (0, T)$ . Let  $\omega$  and  $\mathcal{O}$  be two open subsets of  $\Omega$  and  $1_{\omega}$  stands for the characteristic function of  $\omega$ . We assume that  $\omega \cap \mathcal{O} \neq \emptyset$  throughout this paper. For any  $y^0 \in H_0^1(\Omega), y^1 \in L^2(\Omega)$  and  $\xi \in L^2(Q)$ , consider the following semilinear wave equation:

$$\begin{cases} y_{tt} - y_{xx} + f(y) = h \mathbf{1}_{\omega} + \xi & \text{in } Q, \\ y = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ y(0) = y^0 + \tau_0 \hat{y}^0, \quad y_t(0) = y^1 + \tau_1 \hat{y}^1 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where  $\omega$  is the control region,  $h \in L^2(\omega \times (0,T))$  is the locally distributed control,  $(\hat{y}^0, \hat{y}^1) \in H^1_0(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$  with

$$\|\hat{y}^{0}\|_{H^{1}_{0}(\Omega)} = \|\hat{y}^{1}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} = 1$$
(1.2)

are unknown, and  $\tau_0, \tau_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  are small and unknown.  $\xi$  can be viewed as a given force source acting on the body.

\* Corresponding author.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2017.12.006





Nonlinear Analysis

 $<sup>^{\</sup>circ}$  This work is supported by the National Science Foundation of China for the Youth (61104129 and 11401351), and Technological Innovation Programs of Higher Educations in Shanxi (2016107).

E-mail address: jieqiong@sxu.edu.cn (J. Wu).

<sup>0362-546</sup>X/© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Let  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  be the functionals defined on the solution y of the system (1.1):

$$\Phi(y) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T y_x^2(t, 1) \mathrm{d}t, \qquad (1.3)$$

$$\Psi(y) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} y^2 dx dt.$$
(1.4)

One can understand  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  to be observations of the solutions made at the right end x = 1 and in the set  $\mathcal{O}$  during a time interval [0, T], respectively.

Given a control h we say that the control h desensitizes  $\Phi$  if for every pair  $(\hat{y}^0, \hat{y}^1)$  satisfying (1.2) one has

$$\frac{\partial \Phi(y)}{\partial \tau_0}\Big|_{\tau_0=\tau_1=0} = \frac{\partial \Phi(y)}{\partial \tau_1}\Big|_{\tau_0=\tau_1=0} = 0;$$
(1.5)

given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the control h is said to be  $\varepsilon$ -desensitize  $\psi$  if for every pair  $(\hat{y}^0, \hat{y}^1)$  satisfying (1.2) one has

$$\left|\frac{\partial \Psi(y)}{\partial \tau_0}\right|_{\tau_0=\tau_1=0} \le \varepsilon \text{ and } \left|\frac{\partial \Psi(y)}{\partial \tau_1}\right|_{\tau_0=\tau_1=0} \le \varepsilon.$$
(1.6)

We also say the system (1.1) is desensitizing controllable (resp.,  $\varepsilon$ -desensitizing controllable or approximately desensitizing controllable). When (1.5) (resp., (1.6)) holds the functional  $\Phi$  (resp.,  $\Psi$ ) is insensitive (resp., approximately insensitive) to the perturbations  $\tau_0 \hat{y}^0$  and  $\tau_1 \hat{y}^1$ . So roughly speaking, the insensitivity problem (resp.  $\varepsilon$ -insensitivity problem) means that we are expected to find a local force source h such that the observation is almost invariant (resp., of very small change) with respect to small perturbations on the initial data.

The concept of  $\varepsilon$ -desensitizing control was proposed by Bodart and Fabre in [2] as a weakened notion of desensitizing control introduced by J. L. Lions in [11]. Desensitizing or  $\varepsilon$ -desensitizing controllability have been studied extensively for parabolic equations (see [2,3,17,12,7,13,14]) subsequent to [11] and [2]. However, up to now there are few papers to concern the desensitizing or  $\varepsilon$ -desensitizing controllability for wave equations. To our best knowledge, there are only four papers [16,15,4,1] studying the problem of desensitizing controls for wave equations. The paper [16] addresses the problem of desensitizing controls of a wave equation where the nonlinearity may grow at infinity in a superlinear way. The author of [16] proved a suitable observability inequality for a coupled system of wave equations, which assured desensitizing controllability. The author of [4] studied the boundary desensitizing controllability and interior  $\varepsilon$ -desensitizing controllability for one-dimensional linear wave equation observed in some open set. Since the method developed in [4] critically relied on the time periodicity of the one-dimensional linear wave equation, it does not apply to the general cases, for instance, the wave with a potential depending on both x and t.

In this paper we aim to find a control h that desensitizes (resp.,  $\varepsilon$ -desensitizes) the observations  $\Phi$  (resp.,  $\Psi$ ). For the former, due to the nonlinear character of the problem, new difficulty arises, and the method of [16,15,4] does not apply. We use another method partially from [21] and [8] to have the first theorem. For the latter, a convex duality theory (see [6]) helps us to have the  $\varepsilon$ -desensitizing controllability as stated in Theorem 1.2.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $0 \leq \beta < 1$ . Set  $\omega = (\beta, 1)$ . Assume that  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$  satisfies f(0) = 0 and

$$\lim_{|s| \to \infty} \frac{f(s)}{|s| \ln^2 |s|} = \lim_{|s| \to \infty} \frac{f'(s)}{\ln^2 |s|} = 0.$$
(1.7)

Let  $T > 2\beta$ . Then for any  $y^0 \in H^1_0(\Omega)$  and  $y^1 \in L^2(\Omega)$ , there exists a control  $h \in L^2(Q)$  for (1.1) with  $\xi = 0$  that desensitizes the functional  $\Phi$ .

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7222663

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7222663

Daneshyari.com