## Accepted Manuscript

Substance and Semantics: The Question of Capital

Peter Lewin, Nicolás Cachanosky

PII:S0167-2681(18)30030-1DOI:10.1016/j.jebo.2018.01.024Reference:JEBO 4265

To appear in: Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization

Received date:	30 April 2017
Revised date:	26 January 2018
Accepted date:	30 January 2018

Please cite this article as: Peter Lewin, Nicolás Cachanosky, Substance and Semantics: The Question of Capital, *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.jebo.2018.01.024

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



## highlights

- What is capital? It is an individualistic, mental tool for the purposes of making calculations that inform decisions, and is unique to a market economy.
- Capital should be understood as the *money-value* attributed to particular productive projects the results of which are the production of valuable consumer goods.
- Fisher, Fetter and Mises emphasized the importance of capital as a tool for calculation.
- Human capital is also capital despite recent opinions to the contrary.
- Social capital is also capital but must be treated carefully.
- The neoclassical aggregate production function is an untenable construct.
- The confounding of quantities and values has been responsible for much mischief including three protracted and unnecessary 'capital controversies'.

1

Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7242554

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7242554

Daneshyari.com