Author's Accepted Manuscript

Probing the Relative Psychometric Validity of Three Measures of Psychological Inflexibility

Tyler L. Renshaw



PII:S2212-1447(17)30156-4DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcbs.2017.12.001Reference:JCBS211

To appear in: Journal of Contextual Behavioral Science

Received date:26 November 2016Revised date:13 November 2017Accepted date:28 December 2017

Cite this article as: Tyler L. Renshaw, Probing the Relative Psychometric Validity of Three Measures of Psychological Inflexibility, *Journal of Contextual Behavioral Science*, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcbs.2017.12.001

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting galley proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Running head: PSYCHOLOGICAL INFLEXIBILITY

Probing the Relative Psychometric Validity of Three Measures of Psychological Inflexibility

Tyler L. Renshaw*

Louisiana State University

Author Note

Tyler L. Renshaw, Department of Psychology, Louisiana State University.

*Correspondence to Tyler Renshaw, 236 Audubon Hall, Department of Psychology, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803. Email: trenshaw@lsu.edu

Abstract

The present study probed the relative structural and concurrent validity of responses to three selfreport measures of psychological inflexibility with a large sample of college students (*N* = 797): the revised version of the Acceptance and Action Questionnaire (AAQ-II), the shorter version of the Avoidance and Fusion Questionnaire for Youth (AFQ-Y8), and the longer version of the Avoidance and Fusion Questionnaire for Youth (AFQ-Y17). Structural validity findings showed that responses to the AAQ-II and AFQ-Y8 indicated good data–model fit and latent construct reliability, whereas the data–model fit for responses to the AFQ-Y17 was poor, despite strong latent construct reliability. Concurrent validity findings demonstrated that scores derived from all three measures of psychological inflexibility had comparable correlations with several concurrent indicators of negative mental health (i.e., depression, anxiety, global negative affect), positive mental health (i.e., happiness, hope, global positive affect), and theoretically-similar therapeutic processes (i.e., mindfulness skills). Yet findings from hierarchical regressions evidenced some incremental validity when scores from AAQ-II, AFQ-Y8, and AFQ-Y17 were taken together to predict concurrent mental health outcomes—suggesting potential differential Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7268280

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7268280

Daneshyari.com