Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Materials Science in Semiconductor Processing

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/mssp



Photoreduction of carbon dioxide by graphene–titania and zeolite–titania composites under low-intensity irradiation



Qian Zhang^a, Jia Jie Wang^b, Cheng-Fang Lin^a, You Hai Jing^b, Chang-Tang Chang^{c,*}

^a Graduate Institute of Environmental Engineering, National Taiwan University, Taiwan

^b College of the Environment & Ecology, Xiamen University, Xiamen City, Province of Fujian, China

^c Department of Environmental Engineering, National I-Lan University, Taiwan

ARTICLE INFO

Available online 25 October 2014

Keywords: Graphene-titania zeolite-titania carbon dioxide reduction Mechanism

ABSTRACT

As it is the most important of the greenhouse gases, the utilization and reduction of carbon dioxide have attracted a great attention. As compared to the technological demands for carbon capture and storage (CCS), carbon dioxide reduction is a safe and effective way to convert carbon dioxide to fuel. In this research, two different catalysts, graphene–titania and zeolite–titania, are used to achieve the carbon dioxide reduction. The characteristics of these materials are analyzed by Brunnauer–Emmett–Teller, X-ray diffraction, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, ultraviolet–visible, scanning electron microscope and transmission electron microscopy. Because of the different features of the catalysts, various products can be generated through different pathways. Formic acid and methanol are the final products when graphene is used as the catalyst, but only methanol can be generated when zeolite–titania is used as the catalyst. The reaction mechanisms and pathways are discussed.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The challenges of climate change have commanded considerable attention in recent years, and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions are acknowledged to be the main cause of climate change. Carbon dioxide is the most important of the greenhouse gases as a result of the dependence of the world's economies on fossil fuels [1]. The concentration of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere rose from 200 to 300 ppm in the pre-industrial era to 370 ppm in 2001. More recently, the atmospheric level of carbon dioxide increased to 395 ppm in 2012 and 399.5 ppm in 2013 (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, IPCC). The traditional method used to control the concentration of carbon dioxide in the environment is

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mssp.2014.09.049 1369-8001/© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. carbon capture and storage (CCS), by which carbon dioxide is captured from a large point source and transported to a storage site. In the carbon capture and storage process, the carbon dioxide has always been injected into geological positions where there is the risk of the emissions escaping back into the atmosphere. Submarine storage would increase the possibility of ocean acidification. As compared with carbon dioxide storage, utilizing the abundant solar energy to convert carbon dioxide into fuels would not only generate clean energy, but it would also avoid the risk of secondary pollution.

For the light-harvesting reaction, titania is the most attractive material because of its low price, low toxicity and high stability. When this material is irradiated by light whose intensity matches or is larger than the band gap, the electron will be excited from the valance band to the conductive band. The photo-generated electron-hole will recombine in two ways (as shown in Fig. 1) in order to reduce the efficiency of the material [2].

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +886 3 9357400; fax: +886 3 9359674. *E-mail address:* ctchang@niu.edu.tw (C.-T. Chang).



Fig. 1. The possible decay pathway of photo-generated electron and hole.

Table 1

Summary of CO₂ reduction efficiency with different catalysts.

To achieve the high efficiency purpose, a lot of materials have been used and all the materials mentioned can successfully reduce the carbon dioxide to energy. Even all the materials can convert the carbon dioxide to fuels, but the efficiency of the reaction is totally different. The yield of fuels by carbon dioxide reduction reaction with different catalysts under various light sources was summarized in Table 1[3–14].

To enhance the efficiency of the material, a second material has to be introduced to inhibit the recombination of the electron-hole pairs. In this research, two totally different materials with large surface areas (graphenetitania and zeolite-titania) were used as the titania substrate separately. Unlike the two-dimensional structure of graphene, zeolite has a three-dimensional structure and is nanoporous. For the two materials, the differences in the chemical and physical characteristics between graphene

Catalysts	Reductant	Light source	Products	Yield	Ref.
Ti-oxide/Y-zeolite	H ₂ O	UV	CH₄ CH₃OH	\sim 40 μ mol/g \sim 28 μ mol/g	[3]
Ti-MCM-41 andTi-MCM-48	H ₂ O	High pressure Hg light ($\lambda > 280 \text{ nm}$)	CH ₄ CH ₃ OH	\sim 8 μ mol/g/h \sim 3 μ mol/g/h	[4]
Cu/TiO ₂	H ₂ O	75-W high-pressure Hg lamp color filters (x > 290 nm)	CH₄ CH₃OH	\sim 0.7 μ mol/g \sim 8 μ mol/g	[5]
TiO ₂	2-propanol	350 nm	CH ₄	$\sim 2 \mu mol$	[6]
Cu/TiO ₂	H ₂ O	1 to 16 W/cm ² UV irradiation	CH ₃ OH	\sim 0.45 μ mol/g	[7]
Ag/TiO ₂	H ₂ O and methanol	150 W solar simulator	H ₂	\sim 2300 μ mol/g/h	[8]
			CO	\sim 130 μ mol/g/h	
			CH₄	\sim 25 μ mol/g/h	
Mesoporous In(OH) ₃	H ₂ O	300 W Xe arc lamp	CH₄	\sim 0.8 μ mol/g/h	[9]
g-C ₃ N ₄ -N-TiO ₂	H ₂ O	300 W Xenon arc lamp	CO .	\sim 14.73 µmol	[10]
TiO ₂ -Mg	H ₂ O	550 W Xe-lamp	CH₄	$\sim 1 \mu mol/g/h$	ini
52 0	2	r r	H ₂	$\sim 0.42 \mu mol/g/h$	
			CO	$\sim 0.15 \mu mol/g/h$	
Copper-decorated TiO ₂ nanorod	H ₂ O	8 W UVA lamps (365 nm)	CH₄	\sim 14 µmol/g	[12]
β-Ga ₂ O ₂	H ₂	200 W Hg-Xe lamp	CO O	$\sim 4 \mu mol$	131
Silica supported Cu/TiO ₂	<u>2</u> H ₂ O	250–400 nm	CO	$\sim 60 \mu mol/g/h$	[14]
eu/1102	2-		CH ₄	\sim 40 µmol/g/h	11

Table 2

The character of graphene and	i zeolite	e.
-------------------------------	-----------	----

	Graphene	ZSM-5
Thermal electron	$250,000 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$	_
mobility		
Charge carrier	$200,000 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$	_
mobility		
Surface area	Theoretical value of 2630 m ²	$300-600 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$
	g^{-1}	
Structure		

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/729195

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/729195

Daneshyari.com