INTPSY-10923; No of Pages 9

ARTICLE IN PRESS

International Journal of Psychophysiology xxx (2015) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Journal of Psychophysiology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijpsycho



43 How is the brain working?

Research on brain oscillations and connectivities in a new "Take-Off" state

Q4 Erol Başar *, Aysel Düzgün

Istanbul Kultur University, Brain Dynamics, Cognition and Complex Systems Research Center, Istanbul, Turkey

ARTICLE INFO

Available online xxxx

Keywords:

EEG

10 Brain oscillations

11 Delta-oscillations

12 Ambiguous motion pattern

13 Alzheimer's

SchizophreniaConnectome

16 Brain-body

15 Blam body 1√x Memory

39 31

05

34

35

36

1. Introduction

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

Everything in the universe could be explained in terms of a few intelligible systems and simple approaches, upon which the stars and the earth and all visible worlds may have been produced.

[Renée Descartes]

The philosophic views of René Descartes are found relevant in research of physics, chemistry, and astrophysics. These views are also valid in neuroscience. New trends in neuroscience are based on the above concept. In the present special issue, 19 contributions are included, which could provide a new take off in the understanding of EEGbrain oscillations and their spectral connectivity. Possibly a "Big Bang" will occur in neuroscience literature based on brain–body oscillations and connectivity.

The present report aims to introduce 19 steps that may aid in a new take on EEG–oscillation analysis. This may, in turn, open new avenues to prepare efficient responses to the following questions:

- a) How does the brain work?
- b) Can we better understand the functionality of the brain by extending the Brodmann areas with connectivity concept?¹

ABSTRACT

The present report is a trial to survey analysis and applications of brain oscillations in cognitive impairment for 18 opening the way to a new take off in research on brain oscillation. Although the number of papers related to 19 brain oscillations rapidly increases, it is important to indicate the common principles governing the functioning 20 of brain oscillations in the brain and body. Research scientists need a global view on the types of analysis, applications and existing oscillations.

Further, scientists dealing with brain oscillations must have some knowledge from theoretical physics, system 23 theory, and also general philosophy. The neuroscientists working on brain oscillations can mentally integrate 24 several papers in the present report, and try to discover new avenues to augment knowledge on brain functions. 25 A new take off in the search of brain oscillations indicates the strong need to survey this brunch of neuroscience in 26 a broad panoply of science. 27

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

54

57

c) What can we learn from the "Broken Brain"?

d) Is the brain a dynamic syncytium and memory a continuum in time 55 space?

1.1. What is the place of psychophysiology in the interdisciplinary sciences 58 and brain oscillations? 59

Can interdisciplinary approaches again gain terrain?

What is psychophysiology, and what is physiology? Are there bridges 61 between physics, physiology and psychophysiology? Do we again need a 62 common language in the 21st century? 63

Psychophysiology is an integrated field of physiology and neuroscience. Through electrophysiological and neuroimaging techniques, 65
it establishes methodologically essential and crucial links for the investigation, understanding and mapping of brain functions. Psychophysiology looks at basic cognitive, emotional and motivational 68
processes as well as normal and pathological conditions. Further, 69
psychophysiologists are scientists integrating scopes of several 70
disciplines.

Can the four "P's": *Physics, Physiology, Psychology* and *Physiology* provide a common language or common links? I favor the following view: A 73 union between biophysics, (which combines realms of physics, physiology and molecular biology) and psychology is indispensable. Both disciplines are inseparable.

In the 19th century, an experimental biophysicist named Hermann 77 von Helmholtz unified the four P's. He not only launched predictions, 78 but also provided a strong empirical foundation by raising questions 79

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2015.02.007 0167-8760/© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +90 212 498 43 92; fax: +90 212 498 45 46. *E-mail address*: e.basar@iku.edu.tr (F. Basar).

¹ CLAIR means: connected-coherence, linked, associative and integrative responses.

80 81

82

83

84

85

86 87

88

89

90

91

92 93

94

95

96 97

98

99

100

101 102

103

104

105

107

108

109

110 111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118 119

120

121

122 123

125

127 128

129

130

131

132 133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

and trying to find experimental evidences. In the 20th century, C.F. Havek launched a very important theoretical frame, but did not perform genuine experiments since he was an economist (i.e. a theoretician).

In the first half of the 20th century, the field of psychology went through a magnificent breakthrough with the works of Karl Lashley, Donald Hebb, and B.F. Skinner, just to mention a few. Marcel Proust and Henri Bergson combined philosophy and psychology to open the way to the concept of episodic memory. Hans Berger, Ramon y Cajal and Lord Sherrington launched breakthroughs in neurological sciences. Parallel to this, a magnificent breakthrough took place in physics with Henri Poincarré, Albert Einstein and the Copenhagen School with work of Niels Bohr, Werner Heisenberg, Max Born, and E. Schrödinger.

New steps included the evolution of molecular biology with Jacques Monod, cybernetics by Norbert Wiener, synergetics by Hermann Haken, study of dissipative structures by I. Prigogine, and catastrophe theory by René Thom. New approaches to cognitive processes have been very successful by the use of fast computers, fMRI, MEG and PET.

At the century of René Descartes (1596-1650) and of Blaise Pascal (1623-1662) tools for measuring the "Thinking Processes" were not available. Now, we have some of them.

Are the progresses in science now powerful enough to describe the processes of mind? Mankind achieved important steps, although we will never be able to completely solve the problem of brain body and mind incorporation. However, we must have to continue to research and ask further questions.

In the twentieth century, the structure of the atom was successfully illuminated, but the processes of thought continued to seem illusive. Has the time come to tackle common concepts from all branches of science and philosophy?

The Ionian philosophers Anaxagoras, Leucippus, and Democritus of the fifth century B.C. postulated that all matter is made of a set of particles, which were atoms to denote their presumed invisibility. Their concept of a world made up of invisible, incompressible eternal atoms in motion is best known through the writings of the Latin poet Lucretius (98 to 55 B.C.)

2. The contributions of this special issue point to a big change in the study of brain oscillations

The papers in the present special issue are chosen in order to orient the readers to the analysis of multiple oscillations via multiple strategies. In particular, the transition from healthy brain to diseased brain opens the possibility to discover the important relation between oscillations and neurotransmitters. Additionally, we have new possibilities to study the anatomy of the intact brain and the brain with injuries. Brain imaging methods such as MRI and DTI are very efficient complimentary tools understanding avenues in brain functioning.

In the last two decades, the analysis of brain oscillations is one of the most important developing research areas in neuroscience literature. This type of progress has opened the way to generation of publications that analyze less important details and do not contain new breaking progresses. Lord Kelvin stated, "every science is measurement, but every measurement is not science." We should somewhat change these last words: Every measurement does not belong to important new breaks in science.

As we will explain in the next section, during the last 45 years one of coauthors (E.B.) has been involved in several types of experimental research and theoretical achievements in the field of brain oscillations. According to this longstanding experience, we can evaluate which new steps in neuroscience research could elicit new avenues leading to a gigantic new take-off in the field.

3. Surveys in the special issue

3.1. Multiple methods

More than eighty years has been past since the discovery of Hans 145 Berger. According to Herrman et al. (in this volume), most cognitive 146 processes have been linked to at least one of the traditional frequency 147 bands in the delta, theta, alpha, beta, and gamma ranges. Although the 148 existing wealth of high-quality correlative EEG data led many re- 149 searchers to believe that brain oscillations promote various sensory 150 and cognitive processes, a causal role can only be demonstrated by directly modulating such oscillatory signals. Hermann et al. (in this 152 volume) highlight several methods to selectively modulate neuronal 153 oscillations, including EEG-neurofeedback, rhythmic sensory stimula- 154 tion, repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS), and transcra- 155 nial alternating current stimulation (tACS). The modulation of neuronal 156 oscillations demonstrates causal links between brain oscillations and 157 cognitive processes, leading to obtain important insights on human 158 brain function.

143

144

159

160

186

191

3.2. A methodological survey of oscillation analysis and strategies

The paper by Basar et al. (in this volume-a) is an account of methods 161 such as evoked/event-related spectra, evoked/event-related oscilla- 162 tions, coherence analysis, and phase-locking. The report does not aim 163 to cover all strategies related to the systems theory applied in brain re- 164 search literature. However, the essential methods and concepts mentioned in this paper are applied to multiple important disease states 166 such as Alzheimer's disease (AD), schizophrenia (SCZ), and bipolar dis-167 order (BD). Such disease examples demonstrate fundamental findings 168 in the search for neurophysiological biomarkers in cognitive impair- 169 ment. An overview of the results clearly demonstrates that it is obligatory to apply the method of oscillations in multiple EEG frequency 171 windows to search functional biomarkers and to detect the effects of 172 drugs. Again, according to the summary of results in AD and BD patients, 173 multiple oscillations and selectively distributed recordings must be 174 analyzed and should include multiple locations. Selective connectivity 175 between selectively distributed neural networks has to be computed 176 by means of spatial coherence. Therefore, in designing a strategy for 177 diagnostics and application of (preventive) drugs, neurophysiological 178 information should be analyzed within a framework including multiple 179 methods and multiple frequency bands. The application of drugs/ 180 neurotransmitters gains a new impact with the analysis of oscillations 181 and coherences. A more clear and differentiated analysis of drug effects 182 can be attained in comparison to the application of the conventional 183 wide-band evoked potential (EP) and event-related potential (ERP) 184 applications.

4. Alpha band is not "idling of the brain"

As early as 1980, Basar demonstrated the functional relevance of 187 alpha activity (Başar, 1980). The same author showed that the EEG is 188 not a noise signal and that alpha does not manifest the "idling of the 189 brain." All contributions of the present issue indicate the same trend.

5. Importance of delta window in cognitive processes

In the last decade, neuroscience literature focused on the brain's oscillatory responses. The studies on human delta (0.5–3.5 Hz) oscillatory 193 responses in cognitive paradigms date back to 1984. Pilot studies of 194 Başar and Stampfer (1985) and Stampfer and Başar (1985) showed 195 that delta oscillatory responses were involved in "perception, attention, 196 learning, decision and working memory."

The manuscript by Güntekin and Başar (in this volume) comprehen- 198 sively reviews the studies looking at delta oscillatory responses. This 199 paper focuses on delta responses that impact on cognitive stimulation 200

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7295018

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7295018

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>