



A cost-effective fluorescence detection system for pulsed laser analysis



P.M. Kerns, J.W. Lafferty, N.A. Fox, S. Basu *

Department of Chemistry, Susquehanna University, 514 University Avenue, Selinsgrove, PA 17870, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 9 May 2014

Received in revised form 15 October 2014

Accepted 20 October 2014

Available online 1 November 2014

Keywords:

Fluorescence microscopy

LabVIEW

Data acquisition

ABSTRACT

A cost-effective fluorescence detection system has been developed using a National Instruments PCI-6251 data acquisition (DAQ) board that is driven by LabVIEW Signal Express. The signal is collected using a collimator and transported to the DAQ board using a fiber-coupled detector. The same detection system has been incorporated into an inverted microscope fitted with internal dichroic mirrors to provide a cost-effective alternative to commercial fluorescence microscopes. The detection system has been used to measure fluorescence intensity and generate a laser power curve that can be used for pulsed lasers. Different data analysis approaches have been compared and standard deviation and signal-to-noise ratios have been determined.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Fluorescence microscopy is a widely used and very versatile tool for analyzing molecules and intermolecular interactions at low concentrations [1]. Many publications focus on fluorescence imaging but not the detection of intensities from samples that cannot be studied using conventional fluorescence spectrometers [1,2]. The detection of fluorescence intensity or subtle changes in intensity due to interactions can be difficult at times due to sensitivity and resolution limitations as well as the short excited-state lifetime of many fluorophores. Typical fluorescence microscopes come fitted with detection optics and software that are often cost-prohibitive for many researchers. Also, the implementation of fluorescence detection optics through the side port of a microscope has its challenges, with the biggest challenge being the long working distance required for a C-mount setup that leads to greatly diminished signal. An inverted microscope, like the one used in this work, does not have the capability of allowing detection using a mounted photomultiplier tube that upright

microscopes have [3,4]. In this communication, we present the design of a low-cost fluorescence setup, with flexible signal acquisition and analysis that can work with a standard cuvette arrangement or with a microscope. Using a fiber optic detector connected to a data acquisition board via a detector, fluorescence signal has been collected and analyzed using LabVIEW Signal Express. Given that many laser power meters do not have a high enough damage threshold to measure the power that is being emitted from pulsed lasers, this setup has also been used to measure laser power and provide a non-damaging alternative to direct pulsed laser power measurements. Upper and lower limits of detection, and signal-to-noise ratios have been measured. Various data analysis approaches have been implemented and compared. This is an upgrade to a microscope that is already used for imaging (white-light) and photopolymerization [5,6]. This will enable us to carry out experiments on low-volume (<100 μ L) samples and track subtle fluorescence changes in processes like FRAP (fluorescence recovery after photobleaching) and FRET (fluorescence resonance energy transfer). Previously, a detector had to be mounted above the slide to capture the signal [6].

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 570 372 4223.

E-mail address: basu@susqu.edu (S. Basu).

2. Experimental

The experimental setup is shown in Fig. 1 and the prices and part numbers of the individual components of the detection system have been provided. The microscope and lasers have been used for other applications in the past. The primary excitation source for the fluorescence experiments was the 514 nm output of an Ar⁺-ion laser (Melles-Griot) which was directed using a single mirror into the laser port of an inverted microscope (Zeiss Axiovert). The laser is modulated using a shutter which is programmed to open for 10 ms and remain closed for one second. The laser beam is then steered upward through the objective (20×, 0.5NA), which focuses the light onto the sample. The concentration and exposure dosage (time of exposure and laser frequency) were varied to determine optimum parameters. The concentration of Rose Bengal was varied from 10 mM down to 1 nM.

For microscopy experiments, the fluorescence signal passes through a dichroic filter which filters out the excitation light, and the emission is collected through the side port by a collimator which is attached to the optical fiber. Experiments involving bulk (2–3 mL) solutions of dyes were carried out with a dichroic filter wheel placed between the collimator and cuvette.

The optical fiber transfers the signal to a detector that is connected to a BNC block (National Instruments 777643-01 and 192061-02, \$508) which relays it to a data acquisition (DAQ) board (NI PCI-6251, \$1154). The signal is collected and processed using LabVIEW Signal Express. This data is then exported to an Excel file for further analysis using Origin 8.6.

3. Results and discussion

The signal to noise ratio for this setup an experiment was determined with a 1.0 μM aqueous Rose Bengal solution which was placed in a cuvette and excited at 514 nm

using the Ar⁺-ion laser. Rose Bengal is a xanthene photoactivator that has been used to cross-link proteins on the micron and sub-micron scale [5–7]. Rose Bengal has a high absorption cross-section and extinction coefficient [8]. The power of the laser was adjusted and measured using a Thorlabs power meter before each sampling. A relationship between the fluorescence intensity and power was determined (Fig. 2). This relationship has been used to calculate the power of the pulsed laser, which has a high peak power and can damage sensitive optics and detectors. By attenuating the pulsed laser with neutral density filters, the voltage has been measured and the best fit equation used to calculate the power.

Table 1 summarizes the S/N for power measurements using this setup and the percent error in power measurements when averaged across five measurements.

When the fluorescence emission is collected from the side port of the inverted microscope the signal is weak. In order to collect reliable data, a high sampling rate




Fig. 2. Plot of measured voltage as a function of laser power. Best fit equation: $y = 0.16612x + 0.00116$, $R^2 = 0.99804$.




Fig. 1. The schematic of the experimental setup. M1 represents the horizontal turning mirror which directs the beam into the inverted microscope where it arrives at the sample and can be moved to line up with the Ar⁺-ion laser as needed. SC is the shutter control (Thorlabs SH05 and SC10, \$1070), CH is the cuvette holder, DFW is the dichroic filter wheel, ND represents the neutral density filters, D is the detector (Thorlabs DET02AFC, \$269) and L is the collimator (Cable: Thorlabs M65L01, \$105; Collimator: Thorlabs F810SMA-543, \$196).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/729802>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/729802>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)