

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Social Science & Medicine

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/socscimed



Is temporary employment a cause or consequence of poor mental health? A panel data analysis



Chris Dawson ^a, Michail Veliziotis ^b, Gail Pacheco ^c, Don J. Webber ^{b, *}

- ^a School of Management, University of Bath, UK
- ^b Department of Accounting, Economics and Finance, University of the West of England, Bristol, UK
- ^c Department of Economics, Auckland University of Technology, New Zealand

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Available online 2 April 2015

Keywords: Great Britain Employment transitions Psychological distress Anxiety Life satisfaction Job satisfaction

ABSTRACT

Mental health status has an association with labour market outcomes. If people in temporary employment have poorer mental health than those in permanent employment then it is consistent with two mutually inclusive possibilities: temporary employment generates adverse mental health effects and/or individuals with poorer mental health select into temporary from permanent employment. We apply regression analyses to longitudinal data corresponding to about 50,000 observations across 8000 individuals between 1991 and 2008 drawn from the British Household Panel Survey. We find that permanent employees who will be in temporary employment in the future have poorer mental health than those who never become temporarily employed. We also reveal that this relationship is mediated by greater job dissatisfaction. Overall, these results suggest that permanent workers with poor mental health appear to select into temporary employment thus signalling that prior cross section studies may overestimate the influence of employment type on mental health.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Health and labour market status are intrinsically linked. Analyses of these links adopt two distinct perspectives: first, health impacts on employment and, second, employment impacts on health. Health status can be separated into two mutually inclusive parts: physical and mental health conditions. Although the exact proportions are controversial, the Mental Health Foundation (2014) argues that a quarter of people will experience a mental health condition at some point in their lives and around one in twelve people are affected by depression. This study assesses the relationship between mental health conditions and labour market transitions between permanent and temporary employment.

Although there are an increasing number of studies that focus on the link between health and employment, such as Pirani and Salvini (2015), dominant explanations of the impacts of health on employment typically focus on health as a medically classified condition (Oliver, 1990) and emphasise the effects of clinical factors

E-mail address: Don.Webber@uwe.ac.uk (D.J. Webber).

on an individual's employment capabilities. When an individual is in employment but has a mental health condition they are known to be at risk of experiencing presenteeism, which is where an employee is unwell and remains in work but is less productive. Presenteeism can occur when people with poor mental health lack obvious outward signs and are reluctant to have to prove they are ill because of the resulting stigma (Lelliott et al., 2008). Mental health stigma includes the perception that individuals with mental health disorders are weak, flawed, dangerous and/or socially incompetent (Wahl, 2003) and the desire not to want to be thought of as having these characteristics can deter people from seeking or obtaining help (Hinshaw and Cicchetti, 2000). Chen et al. (2015) argue that rates of presenteeism vary with the perceived level of workplace support, with those feeling least supported having higher rates of presenteeism. Individuals with poor mental health are also known to be less likely to be in employment: in the UK in 2004, 74 percent of the working age population was in employment but the comparable figure for people considered disabled by a long term mental illness was only 21 percent (Social Exclusion Task Force, 2006).

A distinctly different literature emphasises the existence of the reverse association, i.e. that lower labour market status affects health. For instance, Silla et al. (2005) find that temporary workers experience relatively poor health outcomes and Martens et al.

 $[\]ast$ Corresponding author. Department of Accounting, Economics and Finance, University of the West of England, Bristol, BS16 1QY, UK.

(1999) find that employees on temporary contracts, working irregular hours or working compressed working weeks report up to 40 percent more health complaints than those with non-flexible work schedules. However, Bardasi and Francesconi (2004) find no evidence that atypical employment is associated with adverse health consequences.

Hence the literature is divided on whether poor mental health affects labour market status or whether a poorer labour market status affects mental health. The literature is equally unclear about the links between mental health and *changes* in employment status. This article fills this gap in the literature by assessing whether deteriorating health status precedes labour market transitions or vice versa. In particular, it presents temporal relationships between poor mental health and transitions between permanent and temporary employment, and thereby assesses if poor mental health affects or is affected by this type of labour market transition. Although our focus is on the transition between permanent and temporary employment, our methodological approach could be applied to other transitions.

This article contributes to the literature in three ways. First, it presents an investigation into the associations between three indicators of mental health (psychological distress, psychological anxiety and life satisfaction), an overall indicator of general health and transitions between temporary and permanent employment. Second, we draw on data from the British Household Panel Survey (BHPS) to understand whether the link between employment type and health status is more of a causal outcome and/or a selection effect. If the temporarily employed are identified as having poorer mental health than those in permanent employment then it is consistent with two mutually inclusive possibilities: (i) temporary employment generates adverse mental health effects and/or (ii) a selection effect whereby individuals with below average mental health are drawn away from permanent and into temporary employment. This is a particularly pertinent issue as Virtanen et al.'s (2005) review of the empirical associations between temporary employment and psychological morbidity suggests that many results may be confounded by selection bias: if the selection effect is discovered to be more prominent relative to a causal effect then cross sectional studies that present estimates of a negative influence of temporary employment on mental health status may be reporting upwardly biased estimates.

A potential confounding issue is that mental health is associated with job satisfaction, with either lower job satisfaction deteriorating mental health or worsening mental health adversely affecting job satisfaction. We extend our analysis to examine the effect of job satisfaction on mental health and in mitigating any effect of employment type on mental health. This extension is conducive to policy recommendations as mental health conditions can rarely be directly affected by managers whereas job satisfaction often can.

2. Health and employment status

The literature documents the recent upsurge in and diverse range of temporary employment arrangements and the mechanisms through which workers end up in temporary employment (see for example De Cuyper et al., 2008). These mechanisms are varied and heterogeneous with some being free choice (De Jong et al., 2009) whereby workers choose temporary contracts due to preferable attributes, such as greater flexibility. People may end up in temporary employment because of a lack of suitable permanent employment opportunities, and workers may enter temporary employment with the hope that it turns into a permanent contract (De Jong et al., 2009).

2.1. Does employment influence health or does health influence employment?

Diverse employment contracts and greater employee flexibility are sought by organizations when they adapt and learn to compete in globally competitive environments (Nollen, 1996). Workers experiencing temporary and limited time contracts, who often have poorer employment protection and lower job security, can experience pressures to fulfil duties in shorter time periods. For instance, Dawson et al. (2014) find that permanent workers in Great Britain in the period between 1991 and 2008 reported an approximately 40% higher mean level of satisfaction with job security than temporary ones. These pressures can sap energy and intensify psychological stress, and thus it is not surprising that a literature has evolved which suggests that employment status affects health.

The evidence initially appears to corroborate negative associations between temporary employment and health. Temporary workers in most countries appear to experience poorer physical health, such as higher fatigue and muscular pains (Kim et al., 2012) and poorer mental health, such as a greater incidence of depressive symptoms (Quesnel-Vallée et al., 2010). Further corroborating evidence stems from Benavides et al. (2000), who find that workers on fixed-term contracts have worse physical health than permanent workers, and from Hesselink and van Vuuren (1999), who report higher percentages of workers on fixed-term contracts with physical health complaints than workers on permanent contracts.

Nevertheless, the effects of employment contract on health remain debatable. Part of the reason for a lack of consensus is that much of this literature tends to focus on general health issues and provides evidence using a string of data that combine physical and mental health conditions; this makes it difficult to disentangle mental and physical health conditions from labour market status. For instance, Rodriguez (2002) finds that full-time employees with fixed-term contracts in Germany are 42 percent more likely to report poor health than those who have permanent work contracts, with similar effects not found for Britain.

The lack of clarity on the effects of employment type on health is compounded by studies which show that fixed-term workers may experience better health (Kim et al., 2012). For example, Sverke et al. (2000) find fixed-term contract workers have better physical health compared to permanent workers while Virtanen et al.'s (2003 and 2005) studies show that non-permanent workers in Finland report better health. Similarly, in a study of 15 European countries, Benavides et al. (2000) show that non-permanent employees tend to report lower work stress.

There is also evidence that the dominant direction of this relationship is from health to employment, rather than vice versa. For instance, Meltzer et al. (2002) reveal that just 57 percent of people who have a common mental disorder in the UK were working compared with 69 percent of people who did not. They also found that only 9 percent of people with a probable psychotic disorder were working fulltime.

The debate around the direction of causality between health and employment status requires re-examination through a longitudinal analysis that captures changes in mental health and employment transitions, as only then will we be able to comprehend whether a change in mental health precedes or follows a change in employment.

2.2. Health and employment transitions

Some studies do focus on the associations between health status and transitions between employment states, but there is a lack of consensus here too and they suffer from a number of limitations. First, literature discussing effects of employment transitions on

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7332404

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7332404

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>