Accepted Manuscript

How (not) to make women work?

Karolina Goraus, Joanna Tyrowicz, Lucas van der Velde

PII: S0049-089X(17)30848-7

DOI: 10.1016/j.ssresearch.2018.06.009

Reference: YSSRE 2185

To appear in: Social Science Research

Received Date: 16 October 2017

Revised Date: 16 May 2018
Accepted Date: 20 June 2018

Please cite this article as: Goraus, K., Tyrowicz, J., van der Velde, L., How (not) to make women work?, *Social Science Research* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.ssresearch.2018.06.009.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

How (Not) to Make Women Work? *

Karolina Goraus
The Word Bank
University of Warsaw

Joanna Tyrowicz FAME|GRAPE, IAAEU, University of Warsaw, and IZA

Lucas van der Velde FAME|GRAPE Warsaw School of Economics

June 29, 2018

Abstract

Women in developed economies have experienced an unparalleled increase in employment rates, to the point that the gap with respect to men was cut in half. This positive trend has often been attributed to changes in the opportunity costs of working (e.g. access to caring facilities) and the opportunity costs of not-working (notably, relative growth in wages in positions more frequently occupied by women, improved educational attainment). Meanwhile, the gender employment gaps were stagnant in transition economies. Admittedly, employment equality among genders was initially much higher in transition countries.

We exploit this unique evidence from transition and advanced countries, to analyze the relationship between the institutional environment and the (adjusted) gender employment gaps. We estimate comparable gender employment gaps on nearly 1500 micro databases from over 40 countries. Changes in both types of the opportunity costs exhibited strong correlation with gender employment equality where the gap was larger, i.e. advanced economies. We provide some evidence that these results are not explained away by transition-related phenomena. We argue that the observed divergence in time trends reflects a level effect: the lower the gender employment gap, the lower the strength of the relationship between gender employment equality and the opportunity costs of working. An implication from our study is that the existing instruments might be insufficient to further reduce the gender employment gap.

Key words: employment, gender gaps, opportunity cost of working, transition, non-parametric estimates

JEL codes: J2, J7, P7

^{*}We are grateful to Julia Domagalik, Jakub Mazurek, Wojciech Hardy and Magdalena Smyk for their efforts in the data collection and management process. Earlier version of this paper has received extremely valuable comments from Anna Baranowska, Saul Estrin, Randal Filer, Andrew Foster, Gianna Gianelli, Tymon Sloczynski, Karsten Staehr and Irene van Staveren as well as participants of EALE, EEA, AIEL, ECSR/EQUALSOC, TIMTED, and seminars at University of Warsaw, Warsaw School of Economics. The remaining errors are ours. This research is a follow up of a project funded by CERGE-EI under a program of the Global Development Network RRC 12 awarded to J. Tyrowicz, K. Goraus and L. van der Velde gratefully acknowledge the support of National Science Center (grant UMO-2012/05/E/HS4/01510). All opinions expressed are those of the authors and have not been endorsed by CERGE-EI, GDN or the NCN.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7338471

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7338471

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>