Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/ecolet)

# Economics Letters

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/ecolet](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/ecolet)

# On testing for structural break of coefficients in factor-augmented regression models<sup>☆</sup>

A B S T R A C T



*School of Economics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, China*

## h i g h l i g h t s

- This paper tests for structural break in factor-augmented regression models.
- We extend the classical structural break tests to this case by the two-step tests.
- Monte Carlo simulations show that the tests have good finite-sample performance.

### a r t i c l e i n f o

*Article history:* Received 5 June 2017 Received in revised form 3 September 2017 Accepted 2 October 2017 Available online 18 October 2017

*JEL classification:*  $C12$ 

*Keywords:* Structural break test Factor-augmented regression model Asymptotic null distribution

### **1. Introduction**

## Empirical researchers have found that variations in a large number of macroeconomic time series can be well explained by a few unobservable factors. Considering this, [Stock](#page--1-0) [and](#page--1-0) [Watson](#page--1-0) [\(2002\)](#page--1-0) and [Bai](#page--1-1) [and](#page--1-1) [Ng](#page--1-1) [\(2006\)](#page--1-1) incorporate the factors into an otherwise standard regression model and then propose factor-augmented regression model. The model assumes that the regression coefficients are time invariant. However, there is strong evidence that a subset of macroeconomic time series has undergone structural breaks, which may imply breaks in coefficients (see [Stock](#page--1-2) [and](#page--1-2) [Watson,](#page--1-2) [1996\)](#page--1-2).

This paper considers testing for structural break of factor-augmented regression models with unknown change point. In this case, the classical structural break tests proposed by Andrews (1993) and Andrews and Ploberger (1994) are infeasible due to the presence of unobservable factors. This paper develops the feasible two-step tests based on their structural break tests. We prove that the asymptotic null distributions of the proposed two-step tests remain to be the same as those of their infeasible tests. The Monte Carlo simulations confirm the theoretical results and show that the two-step tests perform well in finite sample.

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

It is well known that failure to take structural breaks into account may lead to invalid inference and incorrect policy implications, hence it is important to test for structural breaks. Recently the literature investigates tests for structural breaks in the factor loadings, including, [Breitung](#page--1-3) [and](#page--1-3) [Eickmeier](#page--1-3) [\(2011\)](#page--1-3), [Baltagi](#page--1-4) [et](#page--1-4) [al.](#page--1-4) [\(2017\)](#page--1-4), [Bates](#page--1-5) [et](#page--1-5) [al.](#page--1-5) [\(2013\)](#page--1-5), [Chen](#page--1-6) [et](#page--1-6) [al.](#page--1-6) [\(2014\)](#page--1-6), [Cheng](#page--1-7) [et](#page--1-7) [al.](#page--1-7) [\(2016\)](#page--1-7), and [Han](#page--1-8) [and](#page--1-8) [Inoue](#page--1-8) [\(2015\)](#page--1-8), to name a few. [Baltagi](#page--1-9) [et](#page--1-9) [al.](#page--1-9) [\(2016\)](#page--1-9), [Li](#page--1-10) [et](#page--1-10) [al.](#page--1-10) [\(2016\)](#page--1-10) and [Wang](#page--1-11) [et](#page--1-11) [al.](#page--1-11) [\(2015\)](#page--1-11) focus on the estimation of factor-augmented regression models given the presence of structural breaks. The purpose of this paper is to test for onetime break of the coefficients of observable regressors in factoraugmented regressions. To the best of our knowledge, there is one test for structural instability of factor augmented regression models proposed by [Corradi](#page--1-12) [and](#page--1-12) [Swanson](#page--1-12) [\(2014\)](#page--1-12) (henceforth CS), who develop a test based on the difference between a full sample and a rolling window estimator of the covariance of the explained variable and the estimated factors. Considering of the finite sample performance, they recommend to inference using bootstrap critical values obtained from block bootstrap (henceforth  $CS_B$ ). The implementation of their test is simple but their test is inconsistent under certain cases.

CrossMark





<span id="page-0-0"></span> $\overrightarrow{x}$  The authors would like to thank the editor Badi Baltagi, Kunpeng Li, an anonymous referee, Shaoping Wang, Bin Peng for their constructive comments, which substantially improved this paper. The authors are grateful to Zhe Peng, Jingjie Xiang for their valuable help.

<span id="page-0-1"></span><sup>\*</sup> Correspondence to: Room 330, Economics School Building, 1037 Luoyu Rd., Wuhan 430074, China

*E-mail addresses:* [chensanpan7332@163.com](mailto:chensanpan7332@163.com) (S. Chen), [cuigw610@163.com](mailto:cuigw610@163.com) (G. Cui), [jhzhanghust@163.com](mailto:jhzhanghust@163.com) (J. Zhang).

In standard regression models, the classical tests for structural change with unknown change point are typically attributed to [Andrews](#page--1-13) [\(1993\)](#page--1-13) and [Andrews](#page--1-14) [and](#page--1-14) [Ploberger](#page--1-14) [\(1994\)](#page--1-14). They propose the supreme Wald test statistics (supW), the mean Wald statistics (meanW) and the exponential Wald statistics (expW) respectively. However, for factor-augmented regressions, the above three tests are not feasible due to the presence of unobservable factors. This paper extends the above tests to the case of factor-augmented regression models by a two-step procedure: first, we estimate the factors by the principal components analysis (PCA); second, we treat the estimated factors as the underlying factors and use supW, meanW and expW to test for structural break. We will show that the above tests have the same asymptotic null distributions as if the factors were observed, thus one can conduct the proposed tests using the critical values from [Andrews](#page--1-13) [\(1993\)](#page--1-13) and [Andrews](#page--1-14) [and](#page--1-14) [Ploberger](#page--1-14) [\(1994\)](#page--1-14). Given the wide applicabilities of the above infeasible tests and factor-augmented regression models, the feasible tests proposed in this paper can be of considerable practical importance.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section [2,](#page-1-0) we present the basic notations and introduce the test statistics. Section [3](#page-1-1) establishes the asymptotic properties of the suggested test statistics under the null hypothesis. In Section [4,](#page--1-15) we investigate the finite sample performance of the tests. Section [5](#page--1-16) concludes.

#### <span id="page-1-0"></span>**2. Notations and test statistics**

Consider the following factor-augmented regression model with a single structural break:

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
y_t = x_t' \mathbf{1}(1 \le t \le \pi_0 T)\beta_1 + x_t' \mathbf{1}(\pi_0 T < t \le T)\beta_2 + f_t' \gamma + v_t,\tag{1}
$$

where *x<sup>t</sup>* is a vector of *p* observable regressors which can contain intercept and  $v_t$  is a real-valued idiosyncratic error for  $t =$ 1, 2, . . . , *T* . **1**(·) is an indicator function,  $\pi_0 \in (0, 1)$  is the potential break fraction. For simplicity,  $\pi T$  denotes  $|\pi T|$ , where  $|\cdot|$  is the integer part operator. The unobservable regressors *f<sup>t</sup>* is an *r*-vector of factors which can be arbitrarily correlated to *x<sup>t</sup>* . Factors represent diffusion indices that measure the effects of systematic shocks or risks. Then we assume that factors come from the approximate factor models of  $w_{it}$  with factor loading  $\lambda_i$  as follows

<span id="page-1-3"></span>
$$
w_{it} = \lambda'_i f_t + e_{it}, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., N.
$$
 (2)

With model  $(1)$  and  $(2)$ , we intend to test the following hypotheses:

<span id="page-1-4"></span>
$$
H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2,\tag{3}
$$

versus

$$
H_A: \beta_1 \neq \beta_2. \tag{4}
$$

To propose the test statistics, we need to introduce some matrix notations. Stacking the observations of  $y_t$ ,  $v_t$ ,  $w_{it}$  and  $e_{it}$  over  $t$ , we have four  $T \times 1$  vectors y, v,  $w_i$  and  $e_i$ . For the factor model, define  $W = (w_1, \ldots, w_N)$ ,  $F = (f_1, \ldots, f_T)'$ ,  $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_N)'$ and  $e = (e_1, \ldots, e_N)$ . For the ease of exposition of structural break, let  $X_1 = (x_1, \ldots, x_{nT}, 0, \ldots, 0)$ ,  $X_2 = (0, \ldots, 0, x_{nT+1}, \ldots, x_T)$ . If we replace  $\pi$  by  $\pi_0$  in  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , we have  $X_1^0$  and  $X_2^0$ . Then we define  $Z = (X_1, X_2, F)$  and  $Z^0 = (X_1^0, X_2^0, F)$ . With these notations, the model can be reformulated into a matrix form,

$$
y = X_1^0 \beta_1 + X_2^0 \beta_2 + F\gamma + v = Z^0 \delta + v
$$
,  $W = F\Lambda' + e$ 

where  $\delta = (\beta'_1, \beta'_2, \gamma')'$ . If  $f_t$  were observed, we can test the null hypothesis [\(3\)](#page-1-4) as in [Andrews](#page--1-13) [\(1993\)](#page--1-13) and [Andrews](#page--1-14) [and](#page--1-14) [Ploberger](#page--1-14) [\(1994\)](#page--1-14) by the supW, meanW and expW test statistics. We denote them as supW(*F* ), expW(*F* ) and meanW(*F* ), respectively.

However, the factors  $f_t$  are unobservable, thus the above tests are infeasible. Following [Wang](#page--1-11) [et](#page--1-11) [al.](#page--1-11) [\(2015\)](#page--1-11), we use a two-step procedure to estimate the model: In the first step, we use principal component method to estimate *F* by  $\hat{F} = (\hat{f}_1, \dots, \hat{f}_T)'$ , which is component method to estimate *F* by  $F = (f_1, \ldots, f_T)$ , which is the *T*  $\times$  *r* matrix consisting of *r* eigenvectors (multiplied by  $\sqrt{T}$ ) associated with the *r* largest eigenvalues of the matrix *WW*′ /(*TN*) in decreasing order. Next, treating the estimated factors  $\hat{F}$  as the underlying factors *F*, we regress *y* on  $\hat{Z} = (X_1, X_2, \hat{F})$  and then get the ordinary least squares estimator (OLS) of  $\delta$ , denoted by  $\hat{\delta} = (\hat{\beta}'_1, \hat{\beta}'_2, \hat{\gamma}')$ . Let  $\hat{z}_t$  be the transpose of the *t*th row of  $\hat{Z}$ . Then the corresponding residual will be  $\hat{v}_t = y_t - \hat{\delta} \hat{z}_t$ . Following [Andrews](#page--1-13) [\(1993\)](#page--1-13), the Wald test statistic is then defined as follows

<span id="page-1-5"></span>
$$
W(\pi,\hat{F}) = T\hat{\delta}'R'\left(R\left(T^{-1}\hat{Z}'\hat{Z}\right)^{-1}\hat{\Omega}_T\left(T^{-1}\hat{Z}'\hat{Z}\right)^{-1}R'\right)^{-1}R\hat{\delta}
$$
(5)

where  $R = (I_p, -I_p, 0_{p \times r})$ ,  $\hat{\Omega}_T$  is the heteroscedasticity and autocorrelation consistent (HAC) estimate of the long run variance of  $\{\hat{z}_t\hat{v}_t\}$ , which will be defined more precisely in the next section. Correspondingly, the supW, meanW and expW test statistics can be constructed as:

$$
supW(\hat{F}) = sup_{\pi \in \Pi} W(\pi, \hat{F}),
$$
  
\n
$$
meanW(\hat{F}) = ln\left(\frac{1}{\pi_2 - \pi_1} \int_{\pi_1}^{\pi_2} exp(W(\pi, \hat{F})/2) d\pi\right),
$$
  
\n
$$
expW(\hat{F}) = \frac{1}{\pi_2 - \pi_1} \int_{\pi_1}^{\pi_2} W(\pi, \hat{F}) d\pi,
$$

where  $\Pi = [\pi_1, \pi_2]$  is any set whose closure lies in (0, 1).

Note that using true factors *F* instead of estimated factors  $\hat{F}$ , we follow the approach of construction of the Wald test statistics Eq. [\(5\),](#page-1-5) we can obtain the infeasible Wald statistic  $W(\pi, F)$ . Then supW(F) =  $\sup_{\pi \in \Pi} W(\pi, F)$ , meanW(F) =  $\ln\left(\frac{1}{\pi_2-\pi_1}\int_{\pi_1}^{\pi_2} \exp\left(\frac{W(\pi,F)}{2}\right) d\pi\right)$  and  $\exp W(F) = \frac{1}{\pi_2-\pi_1}\int_{\pi_1}^{\pi_2} W(\pi,F)$ *d*π.

#### <span id="page-1-1"></span>**3. Assumptions and inference theory**

To analyze the asymptotic properties of the tests, we make the following assumptions. Hereafter, ∥·∥ denotes the Euclidean norm of a vector or matrix,  $\Longrightarrow$  denotes weak convergence of stochastic processes, *C* is a generic positive constant large enough.

**Assumption A** (*Factors*).  $E||f_t||^4 \leq C$ ,  $\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T}f_t f_t' \overset{p}{\to} \Sigma_f$  as  $T \to \infty$ for some non-random positive matrix  $\Sigma_f$ .

**Assumption B** (*Loadings*).  $\|\lambda_i\| \le C$ ,  $\frac{1}{N} \Lambda' \Lambda \stackrel{p}{\to} \Sigma_\Lambda > 0$ .

**Assumption C** (*Time and Cross-Section Dependence with Heteroscedasticity*). Let  $E(e_{is}e_{it}) = \sigma_{ij,st}$ , we assume that

1.  $E(e_{it}) = 0$  and  $E[e_{it}|^8 \leq C$ . 2.  $\frac{1}{NT} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{s=1}^{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} |\sigma_{ij,st}| \leq C.$ There exist  $|\sigma_{ij,tt}| \leq \bar{\sigma}_{ij}$  for all  $t$  such that  $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \bar{\sigma}_{ij} \leq$ *C*. There exists  $\gamma_{st} = E(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_{ii,st})$  such that  $\sum_{s=1}^{T} |\gamma_{st}| \leq$ *C*. 3. For every  $(t, s)$ ,  $E\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{i=1}^{N} [e_{is}e_{it} - E(e_{is}e_{it})]\right)^{4} \leq C$ .

**Assumption D.** There exists an  $C < \infty$  such that

1. 
$$
E\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}||\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\sum_{t=1}^{T}f_{t}e_{it}||^{2}\right) \leq C.
$$
  
\n2.  $E\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{NT}}\sum_{s=1}^{T}\sum_{i=1}^{N}f_{s}[e_{is}e_{it} - E(e_{is}e_{it})]\|^{2} \leq C.$   
\n3.  $E\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{NT}}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{i=1}^{N}f_{t}\lambda'_{i}e_{it}\|^{2} \leq C.$   
\n4. For each  $t, E\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\lambda_{i}e_{it}\|^{4} \leq C.$ 

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7349812>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7349812>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com/)