Accepted Manuscript

Counterfactual comparisons of investment options for wind power and agricultural production in the United States: Lessons from northern Ohio Energy Economics

Alexandre Ribeiro Scarcioffolo, Fernanda Finotti Cordeiro Perobelli, Ariaster Baumgratz Chimeli

PII: S0140-9883(18)30229-9

DOI: doi:10.1016/j.eneco.2018.06.011

Reference: ENEECO 4062

To appear in: Energy Economics

Received date: 15 March 2016
Revised date: 2 April 2018
Accepted date: 14 June 2018

Please cite this article as: Alexandre Ribeiro Scarcioffolo, Fernanda Finotti Cordeiro Perobelli, Ariaster Baumgratz Chimeli, Counterfactual comparisons of investment options for wind power and agricultural production in the United States: Lessons from northern Ohio. Eneeco (2018), doi:10.1016/j.eneco.2018.06.011

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Title: Counterfactual comparisons of investment options for wind power and agricultural production in the United States: Lessons from Northern Ohio.

Author names and affiliations:

Alexandre Ribeiro Scarcioffolo (Corresponding author)
West Virginia University
Davis College of Agriculture, Forestry, and Consumer Sciences, Division of Resource
Economics and Management
United States
alexandre440@gmail.com

Fernanda Finotti Cordeiro Perobelli

Federal University of Juiz de Fora.
Department of Economics
Brazil
fernandafinotti.perobelli@ufjf.edu.br

Ariaster Baumgratz Chimeli
University of São Paulo
Department of Economics
Brazil
chimeli@usp.br

Abstract

We analyze potential efficiency gains in wind power projects by comparing counterfactual investment decisions in two different scenarios under a real options framework. The first scenario is a standard wind power investment, where the investor rents the land from local farms. In the second scenario, the wind power investor buys the land and commercializes both electricity and crop production, thus shortening the revenue risk through the diversification. Both scenarios have a waiting option, with the wholesale prices leading the installation decision. We model the electricity price as a mean reverting process with jumps and with different jumping probabilities for the different seasons of the year. Corn prices follow a mean reverting process. The waiting flexibility was modeled as a bundle of European options. The results indicate that the waiting option is exercised in 100% of our simulations in both scenarios, suggesting the still important role of government policies to stimulate wind power. More importantly, in more than 90% of the simulations, the second scenario brought value to the investment. Furthermore, net present values are more sensitive to reductions in capital costs than electricity prices. These

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7350084

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7350084

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>