

Accepted Manuscript

Comparison between Bayesian and information-theoretic model averaging: Fossil fuels prices example

Krzysztof Drachal



PII: S0140-9883(18)30221-4
DOI: [doi:10.1016/j.eneco.2018.04.043](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2018.04.043)
Reference: ENEECO 4054
To appear in: *Energy Economics*
Received date: 29 October 2017
Revised date: 16 April 2018
Accepted date: 23 April 2018

Please cite this article as: Krzysztof Drachal , Comparison between Bayesian and information-theoretic model averaging: Fossil fuels prices example. *Energy Economics* (2018), doi:[10.1016/j.eneco.2018.04.043](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2018.04.043)

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Comparison between Bayesian and information-theoretic model averaging: Fossil fuels prices example

Krzysztof Drachal
Faculty of Economic Sciences
University of Warsaw
kdrachal@wne.uw.edu.pl

Abstract

The aim of this research was to find important predictors of the prices for the three selected fossil fuels, i.e., crude oil, natural gas and thermal coal. The period since 2004 was analyzed, basing on the monthly data. The methodology was based on various data-rich models, which had been motivated by uncertainty about the predictors. In particular, the applied methodology was based on comparing various model combination schemes. Bayesian techniques like DMA (Dynamic Model Averaging), DMS (Dynamic Model Selection) and Median Probability Model (MED) were confronted with the frequentist approach to model averaging. Generally, model averaging was found as an interesting alternative to benchmark forecasts like naïve or ARIMA. Moreover, it was found that the fundamental factors seem to play more important roles as price predictors for natural gas and coal than for crude oil. Crude oil seems to be linked with numerous other factors in more complex relationships. From the practical (forecast accuracy, role of predictors) point of view DMA and AIC-weighted averaging lead to similar results. Interestingly, it was found that MED can produce more accurate forecast than the highest probability model. Finally, implementation of the Internet search data might improve the forecast quality.

Keywords

coal spot price; crude oil spot price; energy commodities; forecast averaging; forecast combination; Google Trends; natural gas spot price; WTI

JEL

C32, C53, C58, G17, Q31, Q47

Acknowledgements

The research was funded by the Polish National Science Centre grant under the contract number DEC-2015/19/N/HS4/00205.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7350255>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7350255>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)