## Accepted Manuscript

Deep Transformations of the Energy Sector: A Model of Technology Investment Choice

Florian Landis, Sebastian Rausch

 PII:
 S0140-9883(18)30017-3

 DOI:
 doi:10.1016/j.eneco.2018.01.009

 Reference:
 ENEECO 3883



To appear in: *Energy Economics* 

Please cite this article as: Landis, Florian, Rausch, Sebastian, Deep Transformations of the Energy Sector: A Model of Technology Investment Choice, *Energy Economics* (2018), doi:10.1016/j.eneco.2018.01.009

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

# ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

### Deep Transformations of the Energy Sector: A Model of Technology Investment Choice

Florian Landis<sup>1,\*</sup>, Sebastian Rausch<sup>1,2</sup>

January 11, 2018

#### Abstract

Economy-energy equilibrium models have emerged as a dominant tool to investigate future pathways taking into accout technological aspects, economic behavior, markets, and policy. A challenge for any model is to represent situations which involve large departures from a benchmark mix of energy technologies which would be associated with "deep" transformations of the energy sector (e.g., energy mix with a high pene-tration of renewables or near-complete decarbonization). This paper proposes a model which differentiates technologies at the level of investments and which is capable of representing large shifts in the market shares of competing technologies that produce a homogeneous energy good (e.g., electricity). We compare the partial equilibrium properties of the proposed technology investment choice model with the standard approach for modeling technology competition based on product differentiation. We also embed both approaches in a numerical general equilibrium multi-sector Ramsey growth framework. We find that the technology investment choice model overcomes some important limitations of the standard approach and is more suited to accommodate large changes in market shares of energy technologies in response to highly stringent energy and climate policy.

*Keywords:* Modeling Electricity Supply, Technology choice, Investment differentiation, Constant elasticity of transformation, Energy sector transformation *JEL:* Q43, D92, C68

#### 1. Introduction

Coping with the major challenges related to fossil fuels—limiting carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  emissions to mitigate global climate change, lowering local air pollution to yield health benefits, and enhancing the security of energy supply—will require drastic future changes in the mix of energy technologies to reduce the current reliance on fossil fuels and to deploy other, potentially renewable, forms of energy. To this end, it is crucial to enhance our understanding both of how the evolution of market shares of competing energy technologies is determined and how the future technology mix responds to incentives from energy and

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Department of Management, Technology, and Economics, ETH Zurich, Zürichbergstrasse 18, ZUE E, 8032 Zurich, Switzerland. We are grateful for inputs and ideas from Thomas F. Rutherford and helpful comments by two anonymous reviewers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Management, Technology, and Economics and Center for Economic Research at ETH (CER-ETH), Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Zurich, Switzerland. E-Mail: landisf@ethz.ch

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA.

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7351287

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7351287

Daneshyari.com