Accepted Manuscript

Some Implications of Learning for Price Stability

Stefano Eusepi, Marc P. Giannoni, Bruce Preston

PII:S0014-2921(18)30035-7DOI:10.1016/j.euroecorev.2018.03.002Reference:EER 3121

To appear in: European Economic Review

Received date:15 January 2017Accepted date:9 March 2018



Please cite this article as: Stefano Eusepi, Marc P. Giannoni, Bruce Preston, Some Implications of Learning for Price Stability, *European Economic Review* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.euroecorev.2018.03.002

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Some Implications of Learning for Price Stability^{*}

Stefano Eusepi[†]

Marc P. Giannoni[‡]

Bruce Preston[§]

March 19, 2018

Abstract

Survey data on expectations of a range of macroeconomic variables exhibit lowfrequency drift. In a New Keynesian model consistent with these empirical properties, optimal policy in general delivers a positive inflation rate in the long run. Two special cases deliver classic outcomes under rational expectations: as the degree of low-frequency variation in beliefs goes to zero, the long-run inflation rate coincides with the inflation bias under optimal discretion; for non-zero low-frequency drift in beliefs, as households become highly patient valuing utility in any period equally, the optimal long-run inflation rate coincides with optimal commitment — price stability is optimal. The optimal statecontingent response to cost-push disturbances similarly reflects properties of optimal discretion and optimal commitment, depending on the degree of low-frequency variation in beliefs. When beliefs exhibit substantial variation in response to short-run forecast errors, optimal policy is closer to commitment.

JEL Classifications: E32, D83, D84 Keywords: Optimal monetary policy, Learning dynamics, Price stability

*The authors thank Chris Gibbs, James Hansen and Michael Woodford for useful discussions. The usual caveat applies. The views expressed in the paper are those of the authors and are not necessarily reflective of views at the Federal Reserve Bank of New York or the Federal Reserve System. Preston acknowledges reseach support from the Australian Research Council, under the grant FT130101599.

[†]Federal Reserve Bank of New York. E-mail: stefano.eusepi@ny.frb.org.

[‡]Federal Reserve Bank of New York. E-mail: marc.giannoni@ny.frb.org.

[§]The University of Melbourne. E-mail: bruce.preston@unimelb.edu.au

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7351392

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7351392

Daneshyari.com