

(Dis)Advantages of Informal Loans – Theory and Evidence

Alexander Karaivanov, Anke Kessler

PII: S0014-2921(17)30225-8  
DOI: [10.1016/j.eurocorev.2017.12.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurocorev.2017.12.005)  
Reference: EER 3097

To appear in: *European Economic Review*

Received date: 27 September 2016  
Accepted date: 8 December 2017

Please cite this article as: Alexander Karaivanov, Anke Kessler, (Dis)Advantages of Informal Loans – Theory and Evidence, *European Economic Review* (2017), doi: [10.1016/j.eurocorev.2017.12.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurocorev.2017.12.005)

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



## (Dis)Advantages of Informal Loans – Theory and Evidence\*

Alexander Karaivanov and Anke Kessler  
 Department of Economics  
 Simon Fraser University

October 2017

### Abstract

We study borrowers' choice between formal and informal credit in a setting with imperfect debt enforcement. In contrast to formal loans (e.g., from banks), informal loans (e.g., from friends or relatives) can be enforced by the threat of severing social ties. If the underlying social capital is sufficiently large, we show that informal loans carry lower interest rate and collateral than formal loans, including the possibility of zero interest and collateral. This makes informal credit *a priori* more attractive to borrowers. At the same time, since physical collateral is divisible unlike the social capital pledged in informal credit, default on formal loans is less costly to both parties than default on informal loans. Because of this trade-off, formal and informal credit can co-exist depending on the loan riskiness measured by the ratio of loan size to borrower's wealth (LTW ratio). Borrowers choose formal credit for riskier (larger) loans while informal credit is preferred for (smaller) projects with low default risk. Empirical results using household data from rural Thailand are consistent with the predicted choice pattern and terms of formal and informal credit.

*Keywords:* informal credit; family loans; social capital; limited enforcement; default risk

*JEL Classification:* D14, G21, O16, O17

---

\*We thank T. Besley, M. Ghatak, P. Krussel, E. Ligon, A. Madestam, R. Somanathan, T. Persson and audience members at Stockholm, Santa Cruz, Konstanz, Victoria, the CIFAR, ThReD and EEA conferences and the European meeting of the Econometric Society, for many helpful comments and discussions. Special credit is due to Igor Livshits for his early contributions to the theory. We are also grateful to Tenzin Yindok for expert research assistance. Kessler acknowledges financial support from the Canadian Institute for Advanced Research. Karaivanov acknowledges financial support from the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7351525>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7351525>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)