## Accepted Manuscript

Zero-sum Revision Games

Fabien Gensbittel, Stefano Lovo, Jérôme Renault, Tristan Tomala

 PII:
 S0899-8256(17)30176-8

 DOI:
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geb.2017.10.004

 Reference:
 YGAME 2757

To appear in: Games and Economic Behavior

Received date: 1 September 2016



Please cite this article in press as: Gensbittel, F., et al. Zero-sum Revision Games. *Games Econ. Behav.* (2017), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geb.2017.10.004

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

### ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

## Zero-sum Revision Games\*

Fabien Gensbittel<sup>†</sup>, Stefano Lovo<sup>‡</sup>, Jérôme Renault<sup>§</sup> and Tristan Tomala<sup>¶</sup>

October 12, 2017

#### Abstract

In zero-sum asynchronous revision games, players revise their actions only at exogenous random times. Players' revision times follow Poisson processes, independent across players. Payoffs are obtained only at the deadline by implementing the last prepared actions in the "component game". We characterize the value of this game as the unique solution of an ordinary differential equation and show it is continuous in all parameters. As the duration of the game increases, the *limit revision value* does not depend on the initial position and is included between the min-max and max-min of the component game. We characterize the equilibrium for  $2 \times 2$  games. When the component game min-max and max-min differ, the revision game equilibrium have a wait-and-wrestle structure: far form the deadline, players stay put at *sur-place* action profile, close to the deadline, they take best responses to the action of the opponent.

\*This work was supported by project PEPS MoMIS JDH funded by the CNRS.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Toulouse School of Economics, University of Toulouse Capitole, Toulouse, France, fabien.gensbittel@tse-fr.eu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>HEC Paris and GREGHEC, 78351 Jouy-en-Josas, France. lovo@hec.fr. Stefano Lovo acknowledges financial support from ANR/Investissements d'Avenir under grant ANR-11-IDEX-0003/Labex Ecodec/ANR-11-LABX-0047

<sup>§</sup>Toulouse School of Economics, University of Toulouse Capitole, Toulouse, France, jerome.renault@tse-fr.eu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>¶</sup>HEC Paris and GREGHEC, 78351 Jouy-en-Josas, France. tomala@hec.fr. Tristan Tomala acknowledges financial support from the HEC foundation and ANR/Investissements d'Avenir under grant ANR-11-IDEX-0003/Labex Ecodec/ANR-11-LABX-0047.

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7353151

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7353151

Daneshyari.com