Accepted Manuscript

Rationalizability and Nash equilibria in guessing games

Christian Seel, Elias Tsakas



PII:	S0899-8256(17)30168-9
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geb.2017.09.013
Reference:	YGAME 2750

To appear in: Games and Economic Behavior

Received date: 13 March 2017

Please cite this article in press as: Seel, C., Tsakas, E. Rationalizability and Nash equilibria in guessing games. *Games Econ. Behav.* (2017), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geb.2017.09.013

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Rationalizability and Nash Equilibria in Guessing Games^{*}

Christian Seel[†]

Maastricht University

Elias Tsakas[‡]

Maastricht University

October 6, 2017

Abstract

Games in which players aim to guess a fraction or multiple p of the average guess are known as guessing games or (p-)beauty contests. In this note, we derive a full characterization of the set of rationalizable strategies and the set of pure strategy Nash equilibria for such games as a function of the parameter p, the number of players and the (discrete) set of available guesses to each player.

KEYWORDS: Guessing game, Beauty contest, Rationalizability. JEL CODES: C70, C72.

1. Introduction

In guessing games – also called (*p*-)beauty contests – each player chooses a number and the player whose number is closest to *p* times the average of all numbers wins a fixed prize. Such a game with $p = \frac{2}{3}$ was first used in Ledoux (1981) and brought to the attention of an economic audience by Moulin (1986). Since the seminal paper by Nagel (1995), guessing games have attracted a lot of interest among behavioral and experimental economists. Moreover, they have become the leading example for teaching iterative reasoning processes in game theory courses.

The popularity of this class of games results – at least partly – from the gap between empirical evidence and "theoretical predictions". Roughly speaking, these predictions are typically based on the following informal reasoning: for $p = \frac{2}{3}$, two thirds of the average is further from the highest than from the second highest number irrespective of what the opponents choose. Therefore, the highest number will never be chosen. By iteratively applying the same reasoning, all numbers except the smallest

^{*}We are indebted to Sebastian Ebert, an Associate Editor and two anonymous referees for valuable comments. Elias thanks the Department of Economics at UC Davis for its hospitality while working on this project. This paper supersedes an earlier draft titled "Rationalizability in Guessing Games".

[†]Department of Economics (AE1), Maastricht University, P.O. Box 616, 6200 MD, Maastricht, The Netherlands; Homepage: https://www.maastrichtuniversity.nl/c.seel; E-mail: c.seel@maastrichtuniversity.nl

[‡]Department of Economics (AE1), Maastricht University, P.O. Box 616, 6200 MD, Maastricht, The Netherlands; Homepage: http://www.elias-tsakas.com/home.html; E-mail: e.tsakas@maastrichtuniversity.nl

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7353198

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7353198

Daneshyari.com