Accepted Manuscript

Reinsurance versus securitization of catastrophe risk

Ajay Subramanian, Jinjing Wang

PII:	S0167-6687(17)30401-8
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.insmatheco.2018.06.006
Reference:	INSUMA 2474
To appear in:	Insurance: Mathematics and Economics
Received date :	August 2017
Revised date :	May 2018
Accepted date :	13 June 2018



Please cite this article as: Subramanian A., Wang J., Reinsurance versus securitization of catastrophe risk. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics* (2018), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.insmatheco.2018.06.006

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Reinsurance Versus Securitization of Catastrophe Risk *

Ajay Subramanian J. Mack Robinson College of Business Georgia State University asubramanian@gsu.edu Jinjing Wang College of Business Administration The University of Akron jwang2@uakron.edu

May 20, 2018

Abstract

We provide a novel explanation for the low volume of securitization in catastrophe risk transfer using a signaling model. Relative to securitization, reinsurance features lower adverse selection costs because reinsurers possess superior underwriting resources than ordinary capital market investors. Reinsurance premia, however, reflect markups over actuarially fair premia due to the additional costs of underwriting. Insurers' risk transfer choices trade off the costs and benefits of reinsurance relative to securitization. In equilibrium, low risks are transferred via reinsurance, while intermediate and high risks are transferred via partial and full securitization, respectively. An increase in the loss size increases the trigger risk level above which securitization is chosen. Hence, catastrophe exposures, which are characterized by lower probabilities and higher severities, are more likely to be retained or reinsured rather than securitized.

*We thank two anonymous reviewers, Madhusudan Acharyya, Daniel Bauer, Dale Gray, Gregory Niehaus, Richard Phillips, Andrew Winton, Baozhong Yang, Peter Zweifel, and seminar audiences at the *Financial Intermediation Research Society* Conference (Quebec City, Canada), *Econometric Society* European Meetings (Gothenburg, Sweden), the *Econometric Society* Asian Meetings (Singapore), the *Econometric Society* Australasian Meetings (Sydney, Australia), the C.R.E.D.I.T. conference on Risk, Regulation and Opportunities (Venice, Italy), and the *American Risk and Insurance Association* Meetings (Seattle) for valuable comments. The usual disclaimers apply.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7354533

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7354533

Daneshyari.com