Accepted Manuscript

Audit risk and rent extraction: Evidence from a randomized evaluation in Brazil

Yves Zamboni, Stephan Litschig

PII: S0304-3878(18)30316-X

DOI: 10.1016/j.jdeveco.2018.03.008

Reference: DEVEC 2218

To appear in: Journal of Development Economics

Received Date: 1 July 2016

Revised Date: 26 March 2018

Accepted Date: 27 March 2018

Please cite this article as: Zamboni, Y., Litschig, S., Audit risk and rent extraction: Evidence from a randomized evaluation in Brazil, *Journal of Development Economics* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.jdeveco.2018.03.008.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Audit Risk and Rent Extraction: Evidence from a Randomized

Evaluation in Brazil*

Yves Zamboni[†]

Stephan Litschig[‡]

March 2018

Abstract

We report results from a randomized policy experiment designed to test whether increased audit risk deters rent extraction in three areas of local government activity in Brazil: procurement, health service delivery and cash transfer targeting. Our estimates suggest that temporarily increasing annual audit risk by about 20 percentage points reduced the share of audited resources involved in corruption in procurement by about 10 percentage points and the proportion of procurement processes with evidence of corruption by about 15 percentage points. In contrast, we find no evidence that increased audit risk affected the quality of publicly provided preventive and primary health care services - measured through user satisfaction surveys - or compliance with eligibility requirements for the conditional cash transfer program - measured through household inspections. The observed impact heterogeneity across activities is consistent with differences in potential sanctions and in the probability that a sanction is applied, conditional on detection.

Keywords: government audit, corruption, procurement, cash transfer program, health service delivery

JEL: D73, D78, H41, H83, K42

^{*}We are grateful for comments from Emmanuelle Auriol, Martina Björkman, Antonio Ciccone, Denis Cogneau, Gabrielle Fack, Patricia Funk, Scott Desposato, Miguel de Figueiredo, Albrecht Glitz, Jorge Hage, James Hines, Yinghua He, Maksym Ivanyna, Yuya Kudo, George Musser Jr., Sylvie Lambert, Gianmarco León, Karthik Muralidharan, Hannes Müller, Luiz Navarro, Rosella Nicolini, Per Pettersson-Lidbom, Giacomo Ponzetto and Anh Tran. We also received helpful comments from seminar participants at GRIPS Tokyo, University of Michigan, the Fiscal Federalism Workshop at IEB, the Political Economy Workshop at Erasmus University in Rotterdam, NEUDC Yale, Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, the Barcelona Development Economics Workshop, University of Namur, SAEe Vigo, Paris School of Economics, Toulouse School of Economics, SEA Lucerne and the ASSA meetings in San Diego. Bruno Sousa provided excellent research assistance. Litschig acknowlededges financial support from the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness, through the Severo Ochoa Programme for Centres of Excellence in R&D (SEV-2011-0075). The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the Controladoria-Geral da União. All errors are our own.

[†]Fundação Getulio Vargas São Paulo.

[‡]National Graduate Institute for Policy Studies, Tokyo.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7357509

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7357509

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>