Accepted Manuscript

Do rural roads create pathways out of poverty? Evidence from India

Shilpa Aggarwal

PII: S0304-3878(18)30006-3

DOI: 10.1016/j.jdeveco.2018.01.004

Reference: DEVEC 2197

To appear in: Journal of Development Economics

Received Date: 19 February 2017
Revised Date: 30 December 2017
Accepted Date: 12 January 2018



Please cite this article as: Aggarwal, S., Do rural roads create pathways out of poverty? Evidence from India, *Journal of Development Economics* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.jdeveco.2018.01.004.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Do Rural Roads Create Pathways out of Poverty? Evidence from India *

Shilpa Aggarwal[†] December 2017

Abstract

Nearly a third of the world's rural population does not live near a paved road, and it is widely believed that this limits their access to economic opportunities. Using a natural experiment that led to plausibly exogenous variation in the timing and placement of paved roads in Indian villages, this paper provides evidence on the impact of roads on a wide variety of economic outcomes in rural areas. I find evidence consistent with 5 main effects in the village economy. Households in treatment areas report (a) lower prices and (b) increased availability of non-local goods, suggesting greater market integration. Reduced-form evidence suggests that changes in market access caused rural households to (c) increase the use of agricultural technologies, and (d) pull teenaged members out of school to join the labor force. Finally, evidence points to (e) enrollment gains for younger children.

Keywords: Roads, Market Integration, Technology Adoption, School Enrollment, Consumption Variety

JEL Classification: O18, J24, Q16, R42, F14

^{*}I am immensely grateful to Jonathan Robinson for an abundance of guidance and support. This paper has benefited substantively from feedback provided by Carlos Dobkin, Jennifer Poole, Nirvikar Singh, and Alan Spearot. I thank Manuel Barron, Pia Basurto, George Bulman, Arun Chandrasekhar, Ritam Chaurey, Jesse Cunha, Sisir Debnath, Rajeev Dehejia, Sarang Deo, Pascaline Dupas, Rob Fairlie, Fred Finan, Johanna Francis, Maitreesh Ghatak, Brian Giera, Tarun Jain, John Leahy, Justin Marion, Paul Novosad, Vishal Singhal, two anonymous referees, and seminar participants at Georgia State University, Mathematica Policy Research, NEUDC 2013, PacDev 2014, SERI Conference 2015, Stanford University, UC Berkeley, UNC Chapel Hill, University of Adelaide, University of San Francisco, Vanderbilt University, and multiple forums at the Indian School of Business and UC Santa Cruz for helpful suggestions. Financial support from the UC Santa Cruz Economics Department is gratefully acknowledged. I thank Pankaj and Sanjay Gupta for access to the agricultural census. Sisir Debnath generously shared village-level mapping for the 2001 and 2011 censuses of India. This project would not have been possible but for the tireless data assistance provided by Bijoy Bhukania and Neha Singhal. All errors are my own. This paper was a part of my doctoral dissertation at UC Santa Cruz.

[†]Indian School of Business, Hyderabad, India, email: shilpa_aggarwal@isb.edu

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7357613

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7357613

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>