

Accepted Manuscript

Endogenous Labor Share Cycles: Theory and Evidence

Jakub Growiec, Peter McAdam, Jakub Mućk

PII: S0165-1889(17)30240-3
DOI: [10.1016/j.jedc.2017.11.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jedc.2017.11.007)
Reference: DYNCON 3495

To appear in: *Journal of Economic Dynamics & Control*

Received date: 18 April 2017
Revised date: 23 November 2017
Accepted date: 29 November 2017

Please cite this article as: Jakub Growiec, Peter McAdam, Jakub Mućk, Endogenous Labor Share Cycles: Theory and Evidence, *Journal of Economic Dynamics & Control* (2017), doi: [10.1016/j.jedc.2017.11.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jedc.2017.11.007)

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Endogenous Labor Share Cycles: Theory and Evidence*

Jakub Growiec[†]Peter McAdam[‡]Jakub Mućk[†]

Abstract

Based on long US time series we document a range of empirical properties of the labor's share of national income. We identify its substantial medium-to-long run, pro-cyclical swings and show that most of its variance lies beyond business-cycle frequencies. We explore the extent to which these empirical regularities can be explained by a calibrated micro-founded, nonlinear growth model with normalized CES technology and endogenous labor- and capital augmenting technical change driven by purposeful directed R&D investments. We demonstrate that dynamic macroeconomic trade-offs created by arrivals of both types of new technologies can lead to prolonged swings in the labor share (and other model variables) due to oscillatory convergence to the balanced growth path as well as emergence of limit cycles via Hopf bifurcations. Both predictions are consistent with the empirical evidence.

*We acknowledge the insightful comments of two anonymous referees and B. Ravikumar (Editor) as well as Daron Acemoglu, Giuseppe Bertola, Nicholas Bloom, Cristiano Cantore, Dimitris Christopoulos, Łukasz Drozd, James Hamilton, Jordi Galí, Tom Holden, Loukas Karabarbounis, Miguel León-Ledesma, Anders Warne, John Williams; and participants at the SED, WIEM, CEF, UECE (Keynote address), the NBP Summer Workshop, DEGIT, Hurwicz Workshop; and at the universities of Aix-Marseille, Athens (Business School), Surrey (Paul Levine Festschrift) and Copenhagen, the Dallas Federal Reserve, the European Central Bank, the Central Bank of the Republic of Turkey and the Istanbul School of Central Banking for helpful comments and discussions. We thank Jouko Vilmunen for kindly providing the data on Finland. We gratefully acknowledge support from the Polish National Science Center (Narodowe Centrum Nauki) under the grant Opus 3 No. 2012/05/B/HS4/02236. The views expressed are those of the authors alone.

[†]Warsaw School of Economics and Narodowy Bank Polski.

[‡]European Central Bank and University of Surrey, Email: peter.mcadam@ecb.europa.eu

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7358846>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7358846>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)