

Accepted Manuscript

Uncertainty, efficiency and incentive compatibility: Ambiguity solves the conflict between efficiency and incentive compatibility

Luciano De Castro, Nicholas C. Yannelis

PII: S0022-0531(18)30080-2
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jet.2018.02.008>
Reference: YJETH 4754

To appear in: *Journal of Economic Theory*

Received date: 6 October 2014
Revised date: 6 December 2017
Accepted date: 19 February 2018

Please cite this article in press as: De Castro, L., Yannelis, N.C. Uncertainty, efficiency and incentive compatibility: Ambiguity solves the conflict between efficiency and incentive compatibility. *J. Econ. Theory* (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jet.2018.02.008>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Uncertainty, Efficiency and Incentive Compatibility:

Ambiguity solves the conflict between efficiency and incentive compatibility*

Luciano De Castro[†] Nicholas C. Yannelis[‡]

December 2017

Abstract

A fundamental fact of modern economics is the conflict between efficiency and incentive compatibility, that is, the fact that some Pareto optimal (efficient) allocations are not incentive compatible. This conflict has generated a huge literature, which almost always assumes that individuals are expected utility maximizers. What happens if they have other kind of preferences? Is there any preference where this conflict does not exist? Can we characterize those preferences? We show that in an economy where individuals have complete, transitive, continuous and monotonic preferences, every efficient allocation is incentive compatible if and only if individuals have maximin preferences.

Keywords: Asymmetric information, ambiguity aversion, Incentive compatibility, mechanism design, first-best, second-best.

JEL Codes: D50, D81, D82.

*The first version of this paper circulated in 2009, under the title “Ambiguity solves the conflict between efficiency and incentive compatibility”, when both authors were with the University of Illinois. We are grateful to Alain Chateauneuf, Huiyi Guo, Zhiwei (Vina) Liu, Marialaura Pesce, David Schmeidler, Marciano Siniscalchi and Costis Skiadas for helpful conversations. We also thank participants in many conferences and specially Subir Bose, who was a discussant of this paper on the Manchester Workshop in Economic Theory in 2010. The comments of two referees and Associate Editor are also gratefully acknowledged.

[†]Department of Economics, Henry B. Tippie College of Business, The University of Iowa, W208 John Pappajohn Business Building, Iowa City, IA 52242-1994. E-mail: decastro.luciano@gmail.com,

[‡]Department of Economics, Henry B. Tippie College of Business, The University of Iowa, 108 John Pappajohn Business Building, Iowa City, IA 52242-1994. E-mail: nicholasyannelis@gmail.com

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7359037>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7359037>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)