Accepted Manuscript

On the maximal domain theorem

Yi-You Yang

PII:S0022-0531(17)30095-9DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jet.2017.09.003Reference:YJETH 4692To appear in:Journal of Economic Theory

Received date:25 October 2015Revised date:2 September 2017Accepted date:9 September 2017

Please cite this article in press as: Yang, Y.-Y. On the maximal domain theorem. J. Econ. Theory (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jet.2017.09.003

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

On the Maximal Domain Theorem

Yi-You Yang*

September 13, 2017

Abstract

The maximal domain theorem by Gul and Stacchetti (1999. Walrasian equilibrium with gross substitutes. J. Econ. Theory 87, 95-124) shows that for markets with indivisible objects, the set of gross substitutable preferences is a largest set for which the existence of a competitive equilibrium is guaranteed. In this paper, we give an example to show that a claim in their proof is false, and provide an alternative proof based on a new characterization of gross substitutability.

JEL classification: C78; D51

Keywords: Maximal domain; Competitive equilibrium; Gross substitutability.

1 Introduction

Gul and Stacchetti (1999) study markets with heterogeneous indivisible objects, and prove that under monotone preferences, the gross substitutability (GS) condition by Kelso and Crawford (1982) is not only sufficient for the the existence of a competitive equilibrium, but also necessary in the maximal domain sense: if the preferences of some agent violate the GS condition, then GS preferences can be found for other agents such that no competitive equilibrium exists. In the proof of this result, Gul and Stacchetti (1999, pp. 122-123) claim that a market of a certain type has no equilibrium. In the present paper, we provide an example to indicate that

^{*}Department of Economics, Aletheia University, 32 Chen-Li Street, New Taipei City 25103, Taiwan. E-mail address: yyyang@mail.au.edu.tw

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7359338

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7359338

Daneshyari.com