Accepted Manuscript

Non-exclusive dynamic contracts, competition, and the limits of insurance

Laurence Ales, Pricila Maziero

 PII:
 S0022-0531(16)30080-1

 DOI:
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jet.2016.09.006

 Reference:
 YJETH 4593

To appear in: Journal of Economic Theory

Received date:13 March 2014Revised date:18 June 2016Accepted date:18 September 2016

Please cite this article in press as: Ales, L., Maziero, P. Non-exclusive dynamic contracts, competition, and the limits of insurance. *J. Econ. Theory* (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jet.2016.09.006

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Non-exclusive Dynamic Contracts, Competition, and the Limits of Insurance*

Laurence Ales

Pricila Maziero[†]

Tepper School of Business Carnegie Mellon University The Wharton School University of Pennsylvania

This version: September 27, 2016

Abstract

We study how the presence of non-exclusive contracts limits the amount of insurance provided in a decentralized economy. We consider a dynamic Mirrleesian economy in which agents are privately informed about idiosyncratic labor productivity shocks. Agents sign privately observable insurance contracts with multiple firms (i.e., they are non-exclusive). Contracts specify both labor and savings requirements. Firms have no restriction on the contracts they can offer and interact strategically. In equilibrium, contrary to the case with exclusive contracts, a standard Euler equation holds, and the marginal rate of substitution between consumption and leisure is equated to the worker's marginal productivity. Also, each agent receives zero net present value of transfers. These conditions imply the equilibrium allocation is equivalent to a standard incomplete markets model. To sustain this equilibrium, more than one firm must be active and must also offer latent contracts to deter deviations to more profitable contingent contracts. In this environment, the non-observability of contracts removes the possibility of additional insurance beyond self-insurance.

^{*}We are grateful to Larry Jones, Patrick Kehoe, and V.V. Chari for their continuous help and support. We thank Arpad Abraham, Mark Aguiar, Andy Atkeson, Ricardo Avelino, Kim-Sau Chung, Mike Golosov, Roozbeh Hosseini, Narayana Kocherlakota, Ellen McGrattan, Lee Ohanian, Nicola Pavoni, Fabrizio Perri, Christopher Sleet, Aleh Tsyvinski, Gianluca Violante, Pierre Yared, and Sevin Yeltekin for comments and suggestions, Marianne Holohan for editorial assistance. Remaining mistakes are ours.

[†]Contact: Ales: ales@cmu.edu; Maziero: maziero@wharton.upenn.edu

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7359387

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7359387

Daneshyari.com