

# Accepted Manuscript

Rebound, directed technological change, and aggregate demand for energy

Rob Hart

PII: S0095-0696(18)30187-6

DOI: [10.1016/j.jeem.2018.03.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeem.2018.03.002)

Reference: YJEEM 2109

To appear in: *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*

Received Date: 20 November 2015

Revised Date: 17 December 2017

Accepted Date: 10 March 2018

Please cite this article as: Hart, R., Rebound, directed technological change, and aggregate demand for energy, *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.jeem.2018.03.002.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



# Rebound, directed technological change, and aggregate demand for energy<sup>☆</sup>

Rob Hart

*Department of Economics, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Box 7013, 750 07 Uppsala, Sweden.*

---

## Abstract

We analyse the long-run role of energy in aggregate production. The factor share of energy has been remarkably constant, despite the relative decline in the price of energy. We analyse possible explanations for this observation, ruling out the idea that endogenous directed technological change has led to a failure of energy-augmenting technology to keep pace with labour-augmenting technology. Instead we propose a model in which a combination of income and substitution effects has driven both shifts in consumption patterns towards existing energy-intensive goods and the emergence of new such goods.

---

*Keywords:* energy, technology, directed technological change, structural change, rebound.

*JEL codes:* O41, Q43.

---

## 1. Introduction

Over the last 150 years the increase in global aggregate energy efficiency has been modest, even though the energy efficiency of individual production processes (such as the generation of motive power or artificial light from primary energy inputs) increased greatly over the same period. This seems paradoxical: why doesn't an increase in the efficiency of individual production processes result in an increase in aggregate efficiency? In this paper we argue that the explanation for the paradox is a shift in consumption patterns over time towards energy-intensive goods, including the endogenous introduction of new product varieties which are intrinsically energy-intensive.

Our model is relevant to three fields which have rarely been connected in the literature: the explanation of the high long-run aggregate elasticity of demand for energy; growth and structural change; and the rebound effect. We now discuss these in turn. Regarding aggregate energy demand, we discuss two approaches in the literature, neither of which allows for changes in consumption patterns between final goods differing in energy intensity: the first is the putty-clay

---

<sup>☆</sup>Thanks to the Swedish Energy Agency (project 37758-1) and the Swedish EPA (project *Economic instruments and consumption*) for financial support. Thanks also to several anonymous referees, and to seminar participants at the Tinbergen Institute and the EAERE conference in Helsinki, for input. Thanks also to Sjak Smulders, Gerard van der Meijden and Peter Mulder for valuable discussions.

*Email address:* rob.hart@slu.se (Rob Hart)

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7361336>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7361336>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)