

Author's Accepted Manuscript

A Comment on “Three Reasons to Use Annual Payments in Contingent Valuation”

John C. Whitehead



www.elsevier.com/locate/jeem

PII: S0095-0696(16)30291-1S0095-0696(15)00044-3
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jeem.2016.09.004>
Reference: YJEEM1970

To appear in: *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*
Revised date: 8 September 2016
Accepted date: 19

Cite this article as: John C. Whitehead, A Comment on “Three Reasons to Use Annual Payments in Contingent Valuation”, *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jeem.2016.09.004>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting galley proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

A Comment on “Three Reasons to Use Annual Payments in Contingent Valuation”

John C. Whitehead¹

Department of Economics, Appalachian State University, Boone, NC 28608

whiteheadjc@appstate.edu

Abstract

Egan, Corrigan and Dwyer (2015) conduct a survey of Ohio residents and collect contingent valuation method data for a wetlands restoration project. The CVM scenario includes a split sample treatment where payment schedules differ. My analysis of these data suggests that there is little evidence of theoretical validity in the data and "fat tails" is a significant problem.

Keywords: Contingent valuation method, payment schedule, willingness to pay

JEL code: Q51

Egan, Corrigan and Dwyer (ECD, 2015) conduct a survey of Ohio residents and collect contingent valuation method (CVM) data for a wetlands restoration project. The CVM scenario in ECD provides a split sample treatment where payment schedules differ. They estimate lower bound willingness to pay (WTP) with the Turnbull nonparametric estimator and find that it is

¹ The author thanks Tim Haab, Fredrik Carlsson and an anonymous referee for comments that have greatly improved this paper.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7361465>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7361465>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)