Accepted Manuscript

Capital Utilization, Market Power, and the Pricing of Investment Shocks

Lorenzo Garlappi, Zhongzhi Song

PII: \$0304-405X(17)30231-3 DOI: 10.1016/j.jfineco.2016.11.006

Reference: FINEC 2809

To appear in: Journal of Financial Economics

Received date: 8 February 2016
Revised date: 16 November 2016
Accepted date: 20 November 2016



Please cite this article as: Lorenzo Garlappi, Zhongzhi Song, Capital Utilization, Market Power, and the Pricing of Investment Shocks, *Journal of Financial Economics* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.jfineco.2016.11.006

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Capital Utilization, Market Power, and the Pricing of Investment Shocks[☆]

Lorenzo Garlappi^{a,*}, Zhongzhi Song^b

^aSauder School of Business, University of British Columbia,
 2053 Main Mall, Vancouver, BC, V6T1Z2, Canada.
 ^bCheung Kong Graduate School of Business,
 3F, Tower E3, Oriental Plaza, 1 East Chang An Avenue, Beijing 100738, China.

Abstract

Capital utilization and market power crucially affect asset prices in an economy exposed to shocks that improve real investment opportunities through capital-embodied technological innovations. We embed these two mechanisms in a standard general equilibrium model and show that (i) the price of risk for investment shocks is negative under fixed capital utilization, but positive under sufficiently flexible capital utilization, and (ii) the equity return exposure to investment shocks is negative under perfect competition, but positive under high market power. We further show that, high market power, persistent components in technology growth, and a strong preference for early resolution of uncertainty are jointly important to quantitatively match the observed equity risk premium. *JEL classification:* E22: G12: O33

Keywords: Investment shocks; Capital utilization; Market power; Risk premium

[☆]We are grateful to Toni Whited (the editor) and an anonymous referee for extensive comments and constructive suggestions. We thank Paul Beaudry, Jules van Binsbergen, Murray Carlson, Jack Favilukis, Adlai Fisher, Ron Giammarino, Burton Hollifield, Nengjiu Ju, Jiri Knesl, Xiaoji Lin, Stavros Panageas, Dimitris Papanikolaou, Carolin Pflueger, Loris Rubini, Elena Simintzi, Raman Uppal, Stijn Van Nieuwerburgh, Amir Yaron, Harold Zhang, and seminar participants at the Central Bank of Mexico, Shanghai Advanced Institute of Finance, Texas A&M University, Tsinghua University, the University of British Columbia, the University of Lausanne, the University of Maryland, the University of Rochester, and conference participants at the CAPR Workshop on Production-based Asset Pricing at BI Norwegian Business School, China International Conference in Finance, Finance UC 6th International Conference at the Universidad Católica de Chile, the 2nd Meeting of the Macro Finance Society at NYU, and Summer Institute of Finance for valuable comments. We are particularly grateful to Haibo Jiang for excellent research assistance.

^{*}Corresponding author, Tel. (604) 822-8848, Fax. (604) 822-4695 *Email addresses: lorenzo.garlappi@sauder.ubc.ca (Lorenzo Garlappi), *zzsong@ckgsb.edu.cn (Zhongzhi Song)

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7362087

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7362087

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>