

Accepted Manuscript

Liquidity might come at cost: The role of heterogeneous preferences

Shmuel Hauser, Haim Kedar-Levy

PII: S1386-4181(17)30162-3

DOI: [10.1016/j.finmar.2018.03.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.finmar.2018.03.001)

Reference: FINMAR 460

To appear in: *Journal of Financial Markets*

Received Date: 12 June 2017

Revised Date: 11 March 2018

Accepted Date: 19 March 2018

Please cite this article as: Hauser, S., Kedar-Levy, H., Liquidity might come at cost: The role of heterogeneous preferences, *Journal of Financial Markets* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.finmar.2018.03.001.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



**Liquidity might come at cost:
The role of heterogeneous preferences***

Shmuel Hauser**

Haim Kedar-Levy***

ABSTRACT

Asset-pricing models with volume are challenged by the high turnover-rates in real stock markets. We develop an asset-pricing framework with heterogeneous risk preferences and show that liquidity and turnover increase with heterogeneity to a maximum, and then decline. With U.S. parameters, turnover exceeds 55%. Liquidity is costly since it facilitates a large share redistribution across agents, causing changes in average risk aversion, which increases Sharpe ratio variability, and hence stock return volatility. Illiquidity and its risk are minimized at moderate heterogeneity levels, highlighting an "optimal" heterogeneity level, yet, there is no "optimal" combination between liquidity level and Sharpe ratio variability.

Keywords: Heterogeneity; Discount rate risk; Turnover; Liquidity; Sharpe ratio

JEL Codes: C61, D53, E44, G11, G12

* We thank Yakov Amihud, Gideon Saar (the Editor), Fernando Zapatero, and two anonymous referees for valuable suggestions and insights. We are indebted also to Zvi Afik, Doron Avramov, Scott Cederburg, David Feldman, Dan Galai, Arieh Gavious, Eugene Kandel, Michel Robe, Itzhak Venezia, and Zvi Wiener. We thank participants of the Midwest Economic Theory Conference, Lansing, MI, the Far Eastern Meeting of the Econometric Society, Beijing, and the Finance Department Research Seminar at the Hebrew University, Jerusalem. We assume full responsibility for any remaining errors. The opinions expressed in this article do not necessarily reflect the position of the Israel Securities Authority.

** Chair, Israel Securities Authority, Professor of Finance at Ono Academic College, and the Guilford Glazer Faculty of Business and Management, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Israel. SHauser@som.bgu.ac.il

*** Professor of Finance, the Guilford Glazer Faculty of Business and Management, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Israel. Corresponding author: P.O.B. 653, Beer Sheva 84105, Israel. hlevy@som.bgu.ac.il

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7362376>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7362376>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)