

Accepted Manuscript

Tariffs and Markups in Retailing

Matthew T. Cole, Carsten Eckel



PII: S0022-1996(18)30083-7
DOI: doi:[10.1016/j.jinteco.2018.04.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinteco.2018.04.009)
Reference: INEC 3136

To appear in:

Received date: 12 May 2016
Revised date: 17 April 2018
Accepted date: 26 April 2018

Please cite this article as: Matthew T. Cole, Carsten Eckel , Tariffs and Markups in Retailing. The address for the corresponding author was captured as affiliation for all authors. Please check if appropriate. Inec(2018), doi:[10.1016/j.jinteco.2018.04.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinteco.2018.04.009)

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Tariffs and Markups in Retailing*

Matthew T. Cole[†]
(California Polytechnic State University)

Carsten Eckel
(University of Munich and CESifo)

April 17, 2018

Abstract

Tariffs on imported products are typically expected to raise the relative price of foreign goods and, as a result, increase the residual demands of domestic substitutes. In this paper we show, more generally, that these changes in wholesale/manufacturing prices can be offset and even dominated by adjustments in retail mark-ups. Retailers have an incentive to charge the highest mark-ups on the lowest-cost products and to adjust the mark-ups on these products most actively. Thus, if the procurement costs of some foreign products rise, retailers will absorb these cost increases by raising prices relatively more on their relatively more efficient products, thereby mitigating the benefits of a protectionist tariff. We show that this effect can dominate the traditional substitution effect, which highlights the need to better understand the role of retailers in trade policy.

*We are grateful for comments by Ron Davies, Mathieu Parenti, Sasha Skiba, Nicolas Shutz, Greg Wright, two anonymous referees, and participants of the GIST (Algerho), ETSG (Leuven), Midwest Trade Meetings (MSU), and CESifo Global Economy conferences, and Georgia Institute of Technology and Duesseldorf Institute of Competition Economics (DICE) seminar. Eckel acknowledges support from the German Research Foundation (DFG) through SFB-TR 15. All remaining errors are our own.

[†]Corresponding author: Department of Economics, Cal Poly University, San Luis Obispo, CA 93407, USA. Email: mtcole@calpoly.edu.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7363868>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7363868>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)