

Accepted Manuscript

Liquidity provision as a monetary policy tool: the ECB's non-standard measures after the financial crisis

Dominic Quint, Oreste Tristani

PII: S0261-5606(17)30186-9

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jimonfin.2017.09.009>

Reference: JIMF 1842

To appear in: *Journal of International Money and Finance*

Received Date: 22 December 2016

Accepted Date: 11 September 2017

Please cite this article as: D. Quint, O. Tristani, Liquidity provision as a monetary policy tool: the ECB's non-standard measures after the financial crisis, *Journal of International Money and Finance* (2017), doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jimonfin.2017.09.009>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Liquidity provision as a monetary policy tool: the ECB's non-standard measures after the financial crisis

Dominic Quint*

Deutsche Bundesbank

Oreste Tristani

European Central Bank

Abstract

We study the macroeconomic consequences of the money market tensions associated with the financial crisis in the euro area. In a structural VAR, we identify a liquidity shock rooted in the interbank market and use its impulse response functions to calibrate key parameters of a Smets and Wouters (2003) closed-economy model augmented with a banking sector à la Gertler and Kiyotaki (2010). We highlight two main results. First, an identified liquidity shock causes a sizable and persistent fall in investment. The shock can account for one third of the observed, large fall in euro area aggregate investment in 2008–09. Second, the liquidity injected in the market by the ECB played an important role in attenuating the macroeconomic impact of the shock. According to our counterfactual simulations based on the structural model, in the absence of ECB liquidity injections interbank spreads would have been at least 200 basis points higher and their adverse impact on investment would have been more than twice as severe.

Key words: ECB, euro area, financial crisis, financial frictions, interbank market, non-standard monetary policy

* Corresponding author. The opinions expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Deutsche Bundesbank or the European Central Bank.

Email addresses: dominic.quint@bundesbank.de (Dominic Quint),
oreste.tristani@ecb.europa.eu (Oreste Tristani).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7365348>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7365348>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)