

Accepted Manuscript

The Sources of Wage Variation and the Direction of Assortative Matching: Evidence from a Three-Way High-Dimensional Fixed Effects Regression Model

Sónia Torres, Pedro Portugal, John T. Addison, Paulo Guimarães

PII: S0927-5371(17)30048-9  
DOI: [10.1016/j.labeco.2018.06.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.labeco.2018.06.004)  
Reference: LABECO 1664

To appear in: *Labour Economics*

Received date: 24 January 2017  
Revised date: 10 May 2018  
Accepted date: 11 June 2018

Please cite this article as: Sónia Torres, Pedro Portugal, John T. Addison, Paulo Guimarães, The Sources of Wage Variation and the Direction of Assortative Matching: Evidence from a Three-Way High-Dimensional Fixed Effects Regression Model, *Labour Economics* (2018), doi: [10.1016/j.labeco.2018.06.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.labeco.2018.06.004)

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



### Highlights

- This paper uses a large longitudinal matched employer-employee dataset spanning 26 years to assess the contribution of three sources of variation in wages and to explore the nature of assortative matching.
- Worker permanent heterogeneity is the most important source of wage variation, accounting for one-third of the wage variance, while firm fixed effects contribute another one-quarter. For their part, job title fixed effects having a basis in real-world occupational diversity and the impact of collective agreements explain a still considerable one-fifth of wage variance.
- There is strong evidence of wage sorting, with high wage workers tending to match with high-paying firms. The lack of stronger evidence supportive of this type of matching in the literature can squarely be laid at the door of finite sampling and limited mobility bias.
- Positive wage sorting is not to be confused with positive assortative matching, as the generosity of firms' wage policies cannot be taken as evidence that they are more productive.
- To assess assortative matching, firm-specific measures of productivity extracted from production functions were linked to wage worker fixed effects.
- High wage workers do tend after all to match with more highly productive firms.
- Yet the degree of positive assortative matching, no less than wage sorting, declines through time.
- This weakening in positive assortative matching arguably reflects downsizing among large firms and possibly the outsourcing of the jobs of less qualified workers.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7371048>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7371048>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)