

Accepted Manuscript

Effect of population density on epidemics

Ruiqi Li, Peter Richmond, Bertrand M. Roehner

PII: S0378-4371(18)30889-6
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2018.07.025>
Reference: PHYSA 19850

To appear in: *Physica A*

Received date: 9 March 2018

Revised date: 26 April 2018

Please cite this article as: R. Li, P. Richmond, B.M. Roehner, Effect of population density on epidemics, *Physica A* (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2018.07.025>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Effect of population density on epidemics

Ruiqi Li¹, Peter Richmond² and Bertrand M. Roehner³

Abstract

Investigations of possible links between population density and the propagation and magnitude of epidemics have so far proved inconclusive. There are three possible reasons (i) A lack of focus on appropriate density intervals. (ii) For the density to be a meaningful variable the population must be distributed as uniformly as possible. If an area has towns and cities where a majority of the population is concentrated its average density is meaningless. (iii) In propagation of an epidemic the initial proportion of susceptibles (persons who have not developed an immunity) is an essential, yet usually unknown, factor. The assumption that most of the population is susceptible holds only for new strains of diseases.

Here we show that when these requirements are properly accounted for, the size of epidemics is indeed closely connected with the population density. This empirical observation comes as a welcome confirmation of the classical KMK (Kermack-McKendrick 1927) model. Indeed, one of its key predictions is that the size of the epidemic increases strongly (and in a non linear way) with the initial density of susceptibles.

An interesting consequence is that, contrary to common beliefs, in sparsely populated territories, like Alaska, Australia or the west coast of the United states the size of epidemics among native populations must have been limited by the low density even for diseases for which natives had no immunity (i.e., were susceptibles).

Key-words: epidemic, propagation, population density, Kermack and McKendrick model.

1: (a) College of Information Science and Technology, Beijing University of Chemical Technology.
Email: lir@mail.buct.edu.cn

(b) School of Systems Science, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China.
Email: liruiqi@mail.bnu.edu.cn

ORCID: 0000-0002-3290-9476

2: School of Physics, Trinity College Dublin, Ireland. Email: peter_richmond@ymail.com

3: Institute for Theoretical and High Energy Physics (LPTHE),

University Pierre and Marie Curie/Sorbonne University,

Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), Paris, France. Email: roehner@lpthe.jussieu.fr

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7374882>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7374882>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)