Accepted Manuscript

Investigation of difference of fundamental diagrams in pedestrian flow

Shuchao Cao, Liping Lian, Mingyi Chen, Ming Yao, Weiguo Song, Zhiming Fang



 PII:
 S0378-4371(18)30512-0

 DOI:
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2018.04.084

 Reference:
 PHYSA 19516

To appear in: Physica A

Received date : 15 January 2018 Revised date : 21 March 2018

Please cite this article as: S. Cao, L. Lian, M. Chen, M. Yao, W. Song, Z. Fang, Investigation of difference of fundamental diagrams in pedestrian flow, *Physica A* (2018), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2018.04.084

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1	Investigation of difference of fundamental diagrams in
2	pedestrian flow
3	Shuchao Cao ^{a*} , Liping Lian ^b , Mingyi Chen ^c , Ming Yao ^a , Weiguo Song ^{b*} , Zhiming
4	Fang ^d
5	^a School of Automotive and Traffic Engineering, Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang
6	212013, China
7	^b State Key Laboratory of Fire Science, University of Science and Technology of
8	China, Hefei 230027, China
9	^c School of the Environment and Safety Engineering, Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang
10	212013, China
11	^d Business School, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, 334 Military
12	Road, Yangpu District, Shanghai, China
13	Abstract
14	In this paper the difference of fundamental diagrams in pedestrian flow is investigated.
15	A new measurement method in which the moving back is considered as negative
16	contribution to pedestrian flow is proposed based on previous study. Firstly the
17	comparison of different measurement methods is made and small discrepancy in the
18	fundamental diagrams of the same experiment is observed. In order to remove the
19	effect of different measurement methods on final results, we compare the fundamental
20	diagrams of Chinese and German experiments by using the same measurement
21	method and surprisingly large difference is found. From the analysis of experimental
22	video, it is observed the motivation and competitiveness of participants in two
23	experiments are quite different, which plays a dominant role on the large difference
24	between fundamental diagrams. To make it more tenable, we further analyze two
25	German experiments (Hermes experiment and BaSiGo experiment) in which the
26	participants have the same average age. The free velocity is adopted to measure
27	pedestrian's motivation in the experiment and the analysis results confirm that the
28	discrepancy of motivation and competiveness of participants in different experiments
29	leads to the large difference in the fundamental diagrams. The study may be helpful to
30	understand the effect of pedestrian behavior on global flow and microscopic
31	dynamics.

Keyword: Pedestrian flow; Fundamental diagrams; Experiment; Motivation

^{*} Corresponding authors

E-mail addresses: sccao@ujs.edu.cn, wgsong@ustc.edu.cn

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7375222

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7375222

Daneshyari.com