



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Physica A

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/physa

Mixed convection of copper–water nanofluid in a shallow inclined lid driven cavity using the lattice Boltzmann method

Arash Karimipour^{a,*}, Mohammad Hemmat Esfe^a, Mohammad Reza Safaei^b, Davood Toghraie Semiromi^c, S.N. Kazi^b

^a Department of Mechanical Engineering, Najafabad Branch, Islamic Azad University, Isfahan, Iran

^b Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

^c Department of Mechanical Engineering, Khomeinishahr Branch, Islamic Azad University, Isfahan, Iran

HIGHLIGHTS

- Nanofluid LBM simulation in a shallow inclined driven cavity for the first time.
- Showing appropriate ability of LBM to simulate nanofluid mixed convection.
- Sharp increasing in Nu_m with γ and ϕ especially at higher Re .
- More Nu_m is observed at $Re = 100$ than the state of $Re = 10$.
- Obtaining higher Nu_m at a vertical position of free convection in higher Re and ϕ .

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 5 July 2013

Received in revised form 1 January 2014

Available online xxxx

Keywords:

Shallow inclined cavity

Nanofluid

Lattice Boltzmann

Mixed convection

ABSTRACT

The goal of this work is to study the laminar mixed convection of water–Cu nanofluid in an inclined shallow driven cavity using the lattice Boltzmann method. The upper lid of the cavity moves with constant velocity, U_0 , and its temperature is higher than that of the lower wall. The side walls are assumed to be adiabatic. The effects of different values of the cavity inclination angle and nanoparticles volume fraction at three states of free, forced and mixed convection domination are investigated while the Reynolds number is kept fixed as $Re = 100$ and $Re = 10$. Validation of present results with those of other available ones shows a suitable agreement. Streamlines, isotherms, Nusselt numbers, and velocity and temperature profiles are presented. More Nusselt numbers can be achieved at larger values of the inclination angle and nanoparticles volume fraction at free convection domination. Results imply the appropriate ability of LBM to simulate the mixed convection of nanofluid in a shallow inclined cavity.

© 2014 Published by Elsevier B.V.

1. Introduction

Lattice Boltzmann method (LBM) is a type of CFD methods which is applied for the numerical simulation of flow and heat transfer. LBM can be used for macro, micro and nano flows (MEMS & NEMS) and its suitable performance has led to an increase in its usage in different conditions. Basically, LBM is a compressible model of ideal gas; so, it is able to satisfy the compressible Navier–Stokes (NS) equations. However, by using the Chapman–Enskog expansion, the incompressible NS equations would be achieved; and also, at low values of the Mach number, the compressibility error of LBM is negligible [1–8].

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +98 9133251252.

E-mail addresses: arashkarimipour@gmail.com, arash.karimipour@yahoo.com (A. Karimipour), M.hemmatesfe@gmail.com (M.H. Esfe), cfd_safaei@yahoo.com (M.R. Safaei), Toghrade@iaukhsh.ac.ir (D.T. Semiromi), Salimnewaz@um.edu.my (S.N. Kazi).

Nomenclature

BGK	Bhatnagar Gross Krook
$\mathbf{c} = (c_x, c_y)$	Microscopic velocity vector
Cu	copper
C_p	Heat capacity, J kg ⁻¹ K ⁻¹
d_p	Nanoparticle diameter, nm
DSMC	Direct simulation Monte Carlo
e	Internal energy density
f, g	Density-momentum and internal energy density distribution functions
\mathbf{g}	Gravity vector
$Gr = g\beta_{nf}H^3\Delta T/\nu_{nf}^2$	Grashof number
GPTBC	General purpose thermal boundary condition
h, l	Cavity height and length, m
$H = h/h, L = l/h$	Dimensionless height and length
k	Thermal conductivity coefficient, Wm ⁻¹ K ⁻¹
LBM	Lattice Boltzmann method
Ma	Mach number
MEMS	Microelectromechanic systems
MD	Molecular dynamic
Nu_x, Nu_m	Local and averaged Nusselt number
NS	Navier–Stokes
$Pr = \nu_{nf}/\alpha_{nf}$	Prandtl number
$Re = \rho_{nf}U_0h/\mu_{nf}$	Reynolds number
$Ri = Gr/Re^2$	Richardson number
t	Time, s
T_H, T_C	Hot and cold Temperature, K
$\mathbf{u} = (u, v)$	Macroscopic flow velocity vector, ms ⁻¹
$(U, V) = (u/U_0, v/U_0)$	Dimensionless flow velocity in x–y direction
U_0	Cavity lid velocity, ms ⁻¹
x, y	Cartesian coordinates, m
$(X, Y) = (x/h, y/h)$	Dimensionless coordinates
Z	Heat dissipation

Greek symbols

α	Thermal diffusivity, m ² s ⁻¹
φ	Nanoparticles volume fraction
μ	Dynamic viscosity, Pa s
$\theta = (T - T_C)/(T_H - T_C)$	Dimensionless temperature
ρ	Density, kg m ⁻³
τ_f, τ_g	Relaxation times for momentum and internal energy
ν	Kinematics viscosity, m ² s ⁻¹
γ	Cavity inclination angle
Ω	Collision operator

Super- and sub-scripts

e	Equilibrium
f	Base fluid (pure water)
i	Lattice directions
nf	Nanofluid
s	Solid nanoparticles
w	Wall
α	x–y geometry components

1 LBM is also more appropriate to simulate the multiphase flows compared to the Navier–Stokes equations. LBM uses the
 2 first-order PDEs which makes it a simple approach in discretization and programming. Applying parallel processing and
 3 not needing additional system of equations for the pressure field are some other advantages of LBM. Moreover, it is less
 4 time-consuming than the other particle based methods such as molecular dynamic, MD, or direct simulation Monte Carlo,

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7381432>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7381432>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)