



ELSEVIER

Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/vhri](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/vhri)

## Excess Hospitalization Expenses Attributable to Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in Singapore

May Ee Png, PhD<sup>1,\*</sup>, Joanne Yoong, PhD<sup>1,2</sup>, Chuen Seng Tan, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Kee Seng Chia, MMed, MD<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Saw Swee Hock School of Public Health, National University of Singapore, Singapore; <sup>2</sup>Center for Economic and Social Research, University of Southern California, Washington, DC, USA

### ABSTRACT

**Objectives:** To estimate the excess hospitalization expenses attributable to type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) in a high-income Asian country from the health system perspective and the patient perspective. **Methods:** Electronic medical records from a tertiary academic hospital in Singapore from 2012 to 2013 were used to create propensity score-matched cohorts with and without T2DM on the basis of their entry characteristics. A two-part model was then used to control for remaining differences between the cohorts. Excess cost due to diabetes was defined as the difference in hospital expenses between a patient with diabetes and a matched patient without diabetes. As part of the sensitivity analysis, a two-part model without matching and different matching algorithms were used to obtain the range of hospitalization expenses attributable to patients with T2DM. Balance of covariates after matching was investigated. All costs were presented in 2013 US dollars. **Results:** Mean adjusted excess hospital expense of one hospital visit attributable to diabetes was

approximately \$1007 and \$113 from the health system perspective and the patient perspective, respectively. For the cohort of patients with T2DM in Singapore, this amounts to a total average expenditure of \$117 million and \$13 million from the health system perspective and the patient perspective, respectively. **Conclusions:** Hospitalization expenses from diabetes result in a significant cost to the health care system in Singapore. Nevertheless, the excess burden of hospitalization on patients is mitigated significantly by cost sharing, which may reduce financial incentives to avert admissions through preventative care, which is largely out-of-pocket.

**Keywords:** cost, diabetes, excess, hospitalization, matching.

© 2018 Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of International Society for Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research (ISPOR). This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

### Introduction

Diabetes is a costly chronic disease that affects more than 520 million (about 10.5%) of the world population [1,2]. Recent estimates from the International Diabetes Federation showed that global annual health expenditure attributable to diabetes in 2015 ranged from US \$673 billion to US \$1197 billion (12%–21% of total global health expenditure) [3]. The bulk of this expenditure is due to direct medical costs [4], whereas the largest component of direct medical costs is hospital inpatient care [5,6].

To date, however, most published findings have focused on the total direct medical cost incurred by patients with diabetes, rather than on the incremental direct medical cost of a patient with diabetes compared with one without diabetes. There are two estimation techniques that are widely used in diabetes cost-of-illness (COI) studies—the disease-attributable cost approach and the incremental cost approach that uses matching or regression. Studies that investigate the effect of these estimation techniques have concluded that the incremental cost approach would result

in a “higher, and likely more exact,” estimation of such costs [4,7,8] relative to the disease-attributable cost approach. On the basis of a recent systematic review on the economic burden of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) [4], most COI studies in high-income countries examined the direct medical cost of diabetes without using control groups, likely overestimating the direct cost of diabetes. In addition, the same study found that studies conducted in high-income countries usually take on the societal or health system perspective and do not consider the patient perspective, which has important implications for the incidence of the cost burden [4].

In this article, we demonstrate the value of addressing these two important gaps in the literature, using a rich data set from Singapore, a high-income country with a rapidly increasing burden of diabetes. By using a regression-adjusted matching incremental cost approach, we seek to provide a more accurate estimation of the excess hospitalization expenses attributable to patients with T2DM and address the following research questions:

Conflicts of interest: The authors have indicated that they have no conflicts of interest with regard to the content of this article.

\* Address correspondence to: May Ee Png, Saw Swee Hock School of Public Health, Tahir Foundation Building, National University of Singapore, 12 Science Drive 2, #09-01, Singapore 117549, Singapore.

E-mail: [png.mayee@gmail.com](mailto:png.mayee@gmail.com).

2212-1099/\$36.00 – see front matter © 2018 Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of International Society for Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research (ISPOR). This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license

(<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vhri.2018.02.001>

1. From the health system perspective, how much more would a hospital visit cost a patient with diabetes compared with a patient without diabetes?
2. From the patient perspective, how much more would a patient pay out-of-pocket when contrasting the scenario in which he or she has diabetes and does not have diabetes?

## Methods

### Subjects and Database

A matched case-control cross-sectional study was conducted using electronic medical records (EMRs) from the National University Hospital, a 1225-bed academic tertiary care hospital in Singapore. The study sample was extracted from the hospital EMRs, which contain information on all clinical services, consumables, supplies, drugs, and their corresponding financial data and diagnoses. This study was approved by the National Healthcare Group Domain-Specific Review Board (protocol no. 2015/00091).

Patients with T2DM, who were citizens or permanent residents of Singapore and aged 21 years and older between 2012 and 2013, were identified from the EMRs by the presence of an *International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification* code of 250.x, except 250.x1 and 250.x3; an *International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision, Australian Modification* code of E11.x for T2DM; or a prescription of insulin or oral hypoglycemic agents from January 2005 to December 2013. Patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus or gestational diabetes were excluded. Patients without T2DM were classified under “controls.”

### Estimation of Excess Hospitalization Expenses

Hospitalization expenses consisted of the cost of health services consumed during hospitalization such as the cost of ward, prescriptions, laboratory investigations, physicians' fees, and medical devices from 2012 to 2013. More specifically, hospitalization expenses from the health system perspective were derived from the gross amount that a patient would have to pay after tax and without any subsidies or insurance payouts. Hospitalization expenses from the patient perspective referred to the amount the patient had to pay out-of-pocket.

The excess costs generated by patients with diabetes during one inpatient visit were then calculated by estimating the difference in hospital expenses between a patient with diabetes and a patient without diabetes who was matched by the covariates, which would be described in the following section.

Excess hospitalization expenses of one inpatient visit that would be attributable to diabetes in Singapore were then estimated by multiplying the prevalence of diabetes in Singapore (11.3% [9]), the diabetes hospital admission rate in Singapore (431.6 per 100,000 residents [10]), and the number of Singapore residents (3,844,751 residents [11]) in 2013. All costs are in 2013 US dollars (US \$1 = SGD1.2653) [12].

### Regression-Based Matching

Matching is sometimes preferred over traditional regression models because only untreated groups that are similar to the treated group are used, whereas the latter does not make it clear when it would be unlikely to separate the treatment effect from other differences between the groups [13]. Nevertheless, a hybrid methodology (regression-adjusted matching), which involves

both regression and matching, exists and has been used in health economic evaluation but not in diabetes COI studies; regression-adjusted matching can decrease finite sample bias and increase efficiency relative to matching along [14].

Matching was conducted on the basis of propensity scores because it alleviates the increasing difficulty faced when identifying an exact match between case and controls as the number of observable characteristics to match increases. In this study, the “treatment” is T2DM and the probability of T2DM was obtained through a logistic regression based on the patient's entry characteristics (or characteristics of patient during the first inpatient admission in the study period): age; sex; ethnicity (Chinese, Malay, Indian, or others); year and month of all inpatient admissions (patients with multiple admissions had their admissions analyzed as unique admissions); whether patient died during this admission; admission type (inpatient, emergency, day surgery, or endoscopy); ward type (private or subsidized); 21 major diagnostic categories, which are grouped from the diagnosis-related group codes, excluding pregnancy and newborns; and six comorbidities unrelated to diabetes (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, liver disease, cancer, rheumatic disease, peptic ulcer disease, and AIDS/HIV).

The six comorbidities were selected from the Charlson comorbidity index and Elixhauser comorbidity index because they were not related to diabetes [15,16]. This is important because including conditions related to diabetes will cause a downward bias in estimates of diabetes-related expenses because the proportion of diabetes-related expenses that is attributable to diabetes-attributable conditions (e.g., cardiovascular disease and renal disease) would not be allocated to diabetes [7].

In the matching algorithm, replacement was considered while a common support condition was adopted to ensure that the distribution of the propensity scores of patients with and without T2DM was located in the same domain, whereas ties (case was matched to their nearest neighbor and also to controls with identical propensity scores) were kept under the recommendation of Abadie and Imbens [17]. Balance between the case and control groups was assessed by comparing the reduction in bias in the means of the covariates before and after matching [18]. All matching procedures were performed using the PSMATCH2 package [19].

To control for remaining differences between the cohorts after matching [20], a two-part model that used the propensity score as a predictor was used on the matched data after the matching process. Because some patients incurred zero medical expenses while some incurred extremely high expenses, a two-part model was used in favor of a generalized linear model. The first part consists of logistic regression that estimates the probability of incurring medical expenses, whereas the second part consists of a generalized linear model with logarithmic link function and gamma distribution to estimate the conditional expenditures among those with positive expenditures.

### Sensitivity Analysis

As part of the sensitivity analysis, different commonly used matching algorithms were considered because there are no guidelines on the type of matching algorithm to use in such a study. The following matching algorithms were considered:

1. 1-to-1 optimal nearest-neighbor matching;
2. 1-to-2 optimal nearest-neighbor matching;
3. 1-to-1 greedy nearest-neighbor matching within a caliper distance of 0.000001; and
4. 1-to-1 optimal nearest-neighbor matching within a caliper distance of 0.000001.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7389767>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7389767>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)