JID: INTELE

ARTICLE IN PRESS

[m3Gsc;March 16, 2017;16:20]

INTELEKTINE

Intellectual Economics 000 (2017) 1-5

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Intellectual Economics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/intele

Historical context of behavioral economics

Tansif ur Rehman

Area Study Centre for Europe, University of Karachi, R-22 Paradise Cottages, Gulshan Iqbal 4-A, Karachi, Pakistan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 31 October 2016 Accepted 10 March 2017 Available online xxx

Keywords: Behavioral economics Historical context Qualitative

ABSTRACT

Behavioral economics, at broad level, superimpose many areas including Psychology and Economics. Behavioral economics by all means enhances the explanatory power of Economics as it provides it with a firm and more rational psychological basis. During the previous 20 years, many studies have explored different aspects of behavioral economics leading to introduction of the respective principles that pertain to human behavior. This article intends to provide an introduction to behavioral economics in its historical context. It also investigates the gaps that lie in the broad spectrum of behavioral economics.

Copyright 2017, Mykolas Romeris University. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

1. Introduction

Behavioral economics is not as apparently defined a field such as other fields of social sciences, however, in the labs the psychological experimentation is its focused methodology, as it has progressively more applicability in the natural environment. The standard estimations of traditional economists regarding the nature of humans have been under intensive assessment by the contemporary economists who follow the concept of individuals' psychology of making decisions. The traditional economists are influenced by the concept of homo-economics, which can be explained as the rational, utility or benefit maximizing, and cost minimizing individuals with relatively stable preferences. If it is intended to cause a transformation in behavior, then the only way is to avoid manipulated and wrong information or by offering some benefits.

Conversely, behavioral economics can be explained as, the context which also has a great impact on the decision making. Human behavior differs depending on the circumstances, location, time, influences of the society, emotional judgments, thoughts based on prejudice, and simultaneously how they make their choices. The consequences of involuntary and organize processes leads to form one choice rather than to follow the basic model that the majority believes.

In the last decade the theories regarding 'Dual-process' have gained much momentum as various researches have been conducted in this sphere. (Evans, 2010; Evans & Frankish, 2009; Lieberman, 2007; Reyna, 2004; Stanovich & West, 2000). While, there also has been much criticism, for e.g., Keren and Schul (2009), Osman (2004), and Kruglanski and Gigerenzer (2011). In reality, the drawing of respective developmental predictions from these theories is a complex phenomenon altogether; as, overly simplified predictions emerging from these models may even lead to its rejection in the first place, i.e., premature rejection of the dual process approach.

According to Stanovich and West (2000), the two systems of thought that have been differentiated with its individual competences by the psychologists; can be named as Systems 1 and 2. Higgins (1996) believes that the System 1 can be explained in term of implicit, that is, embedded thoughts, which are involuntary and relational, thought process based on experiences, non-deliberative procedures and influential links. Simultaneously, the implicit system is applicable to the

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.intele.2017.03.006

Please cite this article as: T.u. Rehman, Historical context of behavioral economics, Intellectual Economics (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.intele.2017.03.006



E-mail address: tansif@live.com

^{1822-8011/}Copyright 2017, Mykolas Romeris University. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

T.u. Rehman / Intellectual Economics 000 (2017) 1-5

evaluation of evidences to make decisions that are the reflection of the opinion based on perception that can be easily obtained (Kahneman & Frederick, 2002).

While, the System 2 is explicit, that is, to scrutinize and analyze cognitive processes and expressed unconcealed behavior. System 2 is comparatively considered to be more influential than System 1 as it is, under control, intentional, purposeful, and based on analysis. In order to find how System 2 can be applied to the routine life, it can be explained in terms of planning a strategy; on the other hand, the System 1 can be understood by the example of the autopilot that travel on a daily basis to work. Many facets of behavioral economics are covered by these two respective systems. System 1 plays a very important role in decision making, particularly when there is a need to make judgments under the circumstances of ambiguity, limitation of time, and thought processes.

Kahneman and Frederick (2002) are of the view that the constraints related to System 2 pertains to the idea of bounded rationality, the limitations faced during the information processing among human is to reach a certain decision beside the limitations in System 1, that is,

- 1. Knowledge (information)
- 2. Available time
- 3. Computational capacities

(Gigerenzer, Hell, & Blank, 1988; Goldstein & Weber, 1995; Simon, 1955).

In 'typical' performance situations the respective interpretation of the task to some extent is determined by the participant, i.e., these sort of situations are unconstrained in their very nature. These are in fact the reflective mind measures as they assess in epistemic regulation as well as goal prioritization.

Measures of thinking dispositions along with the cognitive style facilitates the very process of assessing the reflective level of cognition. Cacioppo et al. (1996), Kruglanski and Webster (1996), Stanovich (2000), Strathman, Gleicher, Boninger, and Scott Edwards (1994), and Sternberg (2003) have investigated some of the various examples of thinking dispositions like, consideration of future consequences, dogmatism, need for cognition, need for closure, open-minded thinking, superstitious thinking, etc.

On the contrary, when the task interpretation is determined externally it is referred as being an 'optimal' performance, thus the individual involved is instructed to maximize the respective performance. This type of performance measures actually examines the very questions of the efficiency of pursuing a specific goal. All cognitive aptitude or intelligence tests are optimal performance assessments, as they capture the efficiency of processing of individuals with respect to the algorithmic mind framework.

Illogicality does not associate with bounded rationality. Heuristic reasoning is the mental processing that involves the shortest way to reach a certain decision, which is based on the reasoning in terms of their alteration and management of the arrangement of the surrounding environment (Gigerenzer et al., 1988). These are considered to be the most favorable aspect within the thought processing ability of humans. In this research study, different factors of bounded rationality are intended to be studied, that include internal forces (cognition), physical environment, and social aspects based on reality which are the contrary outlooks of the rational choice concept cited by the traditional economists.

By no means, behavioral economics is a clearly delineated field of study like most of the studies of social sciences. However, psychological experimentation is its methodological focus. Previously, it was aimed at focusing within the parameters of the lab, but in the contemporary era it is also being tested in the natural environment, i.e., the field settings.

Behavioral economics surely overlaps in various fields of social sciences, i.e., economics, psychological domains like cognitive psychology, ecological psychology, evolutionary psychology, social psychology, sociology, and even anthropology to an extent. A number of diversified phenomena pertinent to behavioral economics have been researched by scholars from a variety of different fields over the last couple of decades, and they also have been successful in deriving a series of respective behavioral principles.

The application of behavioral economics can be seen within the decision makings related to market as well as the individual preferences and choices can be characterized in terms of behavior leading to vigorous usage of conformity as well as on the transformation of behavior related to various aspects. Nowadays, many publications within the area of behavioral economics and Psychology contributed to increase the know-how of this concept that have captured the consideration of the respective systems pertaining the economic activities.

2. What behavioral economics really does

The central point of behavioral economics lies in the very fact that the psychological base of economic analysis will enhance the body of Economics by all means-producing hypothetical experiences, bringing about a significant improvement in forecasting the field phenomena, and suggesting better approach. This belief has not communicated a wholesale release of the neoclassical methodology to Economics centered on efficacy extension, concordance, and capability. The neoclassical approach is valuable in the very light of the fact that it furnishes economists with a theoretical structure that might be connected to any kind of financial (and although non-economics) behavior, and it creates contradictory desires.

The majority of the contemporary papers adjusts one or two suppositions in standard theory towards more noteworthy psychological authenticity. Regularly these flights are not radical at all on the grounds that they unwind improving presumptions that are not fundamental to the Economics methodology. Other presumptions essentially recognize human points of

Please cite this article as: T.u. Rehman, Historical context of behavioral economics, Intellectual Economics (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.intele.2017.03.006

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7407887

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7407887

Daneshyari.com