Accepted Manuscript

Title: Between 'Muddling Through' and 'Grand Design': Regaining Political Initiative – The Role of Strategic Foresight

Authors: Mathew J. Burrows, Oliver Gnad



 PII:
 S0016-3287(17)30008-3

 DOI:
 http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.futures.2017.06.002

 Reference:
 JFTR 2229

To appear in:

Received date:	11-1-2017
Revised date:	2-6-2017
Accepted date:	5-6-2017

Please cite this article as: Mathew J.Burrows, Oliver Gnad, Between 'Muddling Through' and 'Grand Design': Regaining Political Initiative – The Role of Strategic Foresight, Futureshttp://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2017.06.002

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Between 'Muddling Through' and 'Grand Design':

Regaining Political Initiative – The Role of Strategic Foresight

Mathew J. Burrows, Director, Strategic Foresight Initiative, The Atlantic Council, 1030 15th Street, NW, 12th Floor, Washington, DC 20005 / USA, E-mail: MBurrows@AtlanticCouncil.org

Oliver Gnad, Co-founder & Managing Director, Bureau für Zeitgeschehen (Bureau of Current Affairs), Gustav-Freytag-Str. 5, 10827 Berlin / Germany, E-mail. og@bureau-fz.eu (corresponding author)

Highlights Intro SI Europe 2025

- Post-Cold War grand designs were obstacles in adapting to a polycentric world.
- Cognitive biases hinder the human brain to think systematically about the future.
- Foresight is a deliberate social process to reframe possible alternative futures.
- Foresight methodologies help to gain problem solving capabilities under uncertainty.
- NIC Global Trends series set the stage for operative use of scenarios in politics.

Abstract (max. 200 words):

After the end of the Cold War, Western intellectuals depicted a future world that would follow Western values and adopt the liberal-democratic order. These Grand Designs proved to be misleading and even became obstacles in adapting to a world that is polycentric in design and increasingly volatile, uncertain, complex and ambiguous in nature. Ideological myopia was not the only factor that delayed a timely adaptation to a changing geopolitical environment; bureaucratic obstacles and psychological factors also came into play. This was the backdrop for what in Europe was perceived as strategic surprises (Arabellion, Ukraine/Crimean, Syria, IS) or as disruptive political developments (Brexit, US Presidential election). Addressing Europe's five most important partner regions (Russia/Ukraine, MENA, Turkey, USA, China), the Dahrendorf Foresight Process was initiated as a pilot project to improve the EU's foresight capabilities. It applied a four-step methodological approach to question current strategic thinking and to develop narratives of alternatively plausible futures in the EU's relationship with these regions. In stressing the importance of an early warning (indicator-based) system to make strategic foresight applicable for day-to-day politics and administrative processes, reference is being made to the 'Global Trends 2030' report of the US National Intelligence Committee (NIC).

Keywords: hindsight; cognitive biases; strategic foresight; scenario planning, structured analytic techniques, Global Trends 2030

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7423800

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7423800

Daneshyari.com