

## Special Invited Paper

# The foundation of the academic field in Business and Administration in Brazil: the case of RAUSP

Maria José Tonelli \*

Fundação Getúlio Vargas, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

## Abstract

“The foundation of the academic field in Business and Administration in Brazil: The case of RAUSP”: This work proposes an interpretation, based on a Social Constructionism approach, of the genesis of the Business Administration academic field in Brazil, departing from the analysis of the first journals in this area: RAUSP – Revista de Administração and RAE – Revista de Administração de Empresas. The use of the expression “Administrative Science”, presented in the first edition of RAUSP in 1947 should be considered the foundational mark of the scientific field of Business Administration in Brazil.

© 2017 Departamento de Administração, Faculdade de Economia, Administração e Contabilidade da Universidade de São Paulo – FEA/USP. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

**Keywords:** RAUSP; Business administration; Administrative science; Brazil



Several studies have already examined the development of academic fields in Brazil, in economic, social sciences and political sciences among others (see Forjaz, 1997; Keinert & Silva, 2010; Loureiro & Pacheco, 1995; Loureiro, 1997a, 1997b; Miceli, 2001a; Souza, 2006). It is also known that the

constitution of an academic field and a scientific community is constructed as a political arena and battles of power (see, among others, Bourdieu, 2002; Garcia, 1996; Misoczky, 2003; Ortiz, 1994; Schwartzman, 1979; Thiry-Cherques, 2006).

The histories of administrative thought (Wren, 1994) and companies already have been studied all over the world (Chandler, 1982, 2002). In Brazil, as also discussed in other countries, the rise of Business Schools is related to the hegemonic positioning of United States after the Second War that influenced science as a whole and management in particular (see, among others, Dar & Cooke, 2008; Leavitt, 1957; Marinho, 2001; Marinho, 2012; McLaren & Mills, 2008; Shenhav, 1999; Taylor, 1968). Brazilian studies in such field are recent and they discuss the creation of different Business Schools in the country such as FGV-EAESP, FACE-UFMG and FEA-USP. Institutionalism has been the main approach in such studies, with some influence of Post-Colonialist perspectives (Alcadipani & Bertero, 2012; Alcadipani & Caldas, 2012; Barros, 2013; Bertero, 2006; Curado, 2001; Donadone, 2001; Ferreira, 2008, 2010; Rossoni, 2006; Vale, 2012; Wood & Caldas, 2000; Wood, Tonelli, & Cooke, 2011).

This work seeks to contribute to this debate by proposing an interpretation, based on a Social Constructionism approach, of the genesis of the Business Administration academic field in Brazil, departing from the analysis of the first journals in this area: RAUSP – Revista de Administração e a RAE – Revista de Administração de Empresas. The period chosen for this analysis

\* Corresponding author at: Avenida Nove de Julho, 2029, CEP 01313-902 São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

E-mail: [maria.jose.tonelli@fgv.br](mailto:maria.jose.tonelli@fgv.br)

starts with the publishing of RAUSP in 1947 and continues until the creation of ANPAD – Associação Nacional de Pós-Graduação em Administração, in 1976. ANPAD represents then the institutionalization of the scientific field in Business Administration (Bertero, 2006; Fachin, 2006). As discussed in this work, the understanding of the period before the creation of ANPAD, in parallel with the foundation of the first Business Schools in Brazil, allows a more extensive knowledge of the creation of this scientific field. The main objective of this study is to identify, at the foundational moment, the discourses that establishes the scientific field in this area.

Departing from the Social Constructionist approach, we considered that journals had a central role on the diffusion of concepts and practices in Business Administration. Language does not represent but constitutes reality (Castañón, 2004; Cunliffe, 2008; Gergen & Thatchenkery, 2006; Newton, Deetz, & Reed, 2011; Nightingale & Cromby, 2002; Rasera & Japur, 2005; Slezak, 1994; Stam, 2001; Turner, 1991). In the case of RAUSP and RAE, those journals contribute to circulate mentalities and practices in the field, promoting the creation and diffusion of knowledge as well practices of management. At this paper, RAUSP will be the focus.

### RAUSP – a brief description

Edited by the Instituto de Administração, RAUSP – Revista de Administração was launched in March of 1947, replacing Revista de Administração Pública, formally ended in 1946. In the year of 1947, the journal had three editions: June, September and December. The editor José Ferreira Carrato informs that with this new title, the journal replaces the Revista de Administração Pública, with the objective to amplify the frontiers of studies from public to private spheres. The following editions are irregular, as indicates below:

- ✓ 1948 – 3 editions: March–June, September and December
- ✓ 1949 – 3 editions: March, June and September–December
- ✓ 1950 – 2 editions: March–June and September–December
- ✓ 1951 – 1 edition: January–December (*with the full report of the First Conference of Scientific Administration, realized together with IDORT*)
- ✓ 1952 – 1 edition: January–December
- ✓ 1953 – 1 edition: January–December

The publishing of RAUSP is interrupted in 1954, returning only in January of 1962, with one edition in a new format and the announcement that regular publishing were not predetermined for the future. In this intermittent format, the publishing of RAUSP continues from December of 1962 to 1967, as follow:

- ✓ 1962 – 2 editions: May and December
- ✓ 1965 – 1 edition in June
- ✓ 1966 – there is no publication
- ✓ 1967 – 1 edition in December

During ten years, from 1967 to 1976, the publishing of RAUSP is interrupted again, returning in 1977 with two editions:

April–May and June–July. The editorial of Sergio Baptista Zacarelli in the first edition justifies this long interruption as a consequence of the institutional changes realized by the University and proposes the reactivation of the Journal to publish research and to disseminate the knowledge produced in the Department of Economics and Administration of USP with focus in different areas such as Management, Finance, Human Resources, Quantitative Methods, Production and Projects. The second edition of this year presents the professors of the Instituto de Administração and the visiting foreign professors. From now on, the publication is absolutely regular.

### RAUSP and the foundation of the academic Field in Business Administration

The first article of the first number of RAUSP in 1947 brings an article discussing the differences between the concepts of Commercial Law and the Administrative Science. Written by the professor Carlos S. de Barros Junior, the article argues that it is necessary to distinguish the Administrative Science and shows that different areas of knowledge permeate this science. Barros Jr. (1947) argues that there are different visions in the field of Laws regarding the autonomy of the Administrative Science, considering the complexity of the State and the public administration. But he concludes that the Administrative Science has autonomy, completely distinct of Commercial Law and should be treated in specific ways. We consider this article as seminal, the first publication to discuss in a Brazilian Journal the existence of the Administrative Science, a field with autonomy and their own concepts.

Despite that and the editorial showing Administration as its focus, articles that discuss Public Administration mark this first edition. From 11 articles, seven examine Public Administration, two examine questions of commercial law, one exposes statistics demonstrations and one discusses selection process using tests.

The foundations of the Administrative Science are reinforced in the second edition in June of 1947. The text on the cover page presents the “Instituto de Administração”, as a new center for research and teaching. According to this text, not signed, FEA – Faculdade de Ciências Econômicas e Administrativas da USP – Universidade de São Paulo could be distinguished by their function on undergraduate programs that promote professionals as economists, accountants and administrators, as well producing research in these areas. The text also shows the different sectors that constitute the Administrative area: Organization and Personal Administration, Accounting, Applied Psychology (recruitment, selection and personal adaption at work), Law, Social Sciences and Management History.

The fourth number of RAUSP, published in December of 1947, presents a report on the activities of the Instituto de Administração, written by the director of the Instituto, Mario Vagner Vieira da Cunha. This report brings important information regarding the construction of the scientific field of Administration in Brazil: (i) the editor of the Journal, also director of the Institute, discusses the difficulties inherent to research in a completely new field; (ii) presents the sectors that constitutes the Instituto de Administração as well disciplines that were

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7438037>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7438037>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)