



# Strontium isotope evidence of early Funnel Beaker Culture movement of cattle



Kurt J. Gron<sup>a,\*</sup>, Janet Montgomery<sup>a</sup>, Poul Otto Nielsen<sup>b</sup>, Geoff M. Nowell<sup>c</sup>, Joanne L. Peterkin<sup>c</sup>, Lasse Sørensen<sup>b</sup>, Peter Rowley-Conwy<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Archaeology, Durham University, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE, UK

<sup>b</sup> The National Museum of Denmark, Frederiksholms Kanal 12, 1220 Copenhagen K, Denmark

<sup>c</sup> Department of Earth Sciences, Durham University, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE, UK

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 7 January 2016

Received in revised form 8 February 2016

Accepted 9 February 2016

Available online xxxx

### Keywords:

Strontium isotopes

Funnel Beaker Culture

Neolithic

Cattle

Scandinavia

## ABSTRACT

The movement of livestock across and within landscapes is increasingly being recognized as common in northern European prehistoric contexts, and was performed for various purposes. However, almost nothing is known about the movement of livestock in the earliest phase of the southern Scandinavian Neolithic Funnel Beaker Culture (ENI, TRB, 4000–3500 cal BC), or even if such movement is indicated. In this study, domestic cattle (*Bos taurus*) teeth from the early Neolithic sites Almhov, Sweden (N = 6) and Havnelev, Denmark (N = 7) were analyzed in order to determine the presence and character of potential livestock movement in this period. Tooth enamel strontium isotope analyses indicated a range of variation in local origin of the animals: some probably local and some non-local. Importantly, both sites yielded an individual exhibiting strontium isotope ratios indicating movement from elsewhere and over a body of water via boat. Although based on a small number of cattle, the movement of livestock is indicated in the earliest Neolithic in the region and provides evidence of social, economic, or other connections over substantial distances.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction and background

Little is known about animal husbandry in the first five-hundred years of Scandinavia's Neolithic (Funnel Beaker Culture, Early Neolithic I, c. 4000–3500 cal BC). Any new understanding of practices is desirable as the character of early farming has the strong potential to inform current knowledge of agricultural origins in the region and the role of domestic animal species in human subsistence economies. Information concerning the movement of livestock may also permit interpretations to be made concerning the interplay between husbandry, land-use, and society.

It is increasingly apparent that the movement of livestock across landscapes was a characteristic practice of northern European prehistoric societies (Sjögren and Price, 2012; Towers et al., 2010; Viner et al., 2010). Previous research has shown that by the middle Neolithic (c. 3300–2400 cal BC) cattle (*Bos taurus*) were circulated in central Sweden (Sjögren and Price, 2012), but the antiquity of this practice in the region is unclear. The purposes for movement may have been, and probably were, multifold and unlikely to be solely related to practical concerns. Therefore, it may not be possible to determine the precise purpose or purposes of such movement.

Early Neolithic faunal assemblages from southern Scandinavia are not abundant. They usually consist of limited materials from transitional shell middens, material in poor or highly fragmentary condition, and are

only in some cases dominated by domestic species (Andersen, 1991; Bratlund, 1993; Gron, 2013; Hallgren, 2008; Johansen, 2006; Koch, 1998; Skaarup, 1973). Therefore, it is often problematic to apply traditional zooarchaeological methods in order to understand animal husbandry practices because it is only really possible to construct a cattle mortality profile from one ENI site, Almhov, and those data possibly do not represent a residential breeding population (Gron et al., 2015). Given the inaccessibility of comparative contemporaneous zooarchaeological data, opportunities are limited regarding methodological approaches to understanding cattle husbandry.

In this context, we ask a very simple question using strontium isotopes in cattle tooth enamel: Is there evidence for movement of cattle in Scandinavia's earliest Neolithic? Given the relatively homogenous, yet well-established baseline strontium isotope ratios across the region (Frei and Frei, 2011; Frei and Price, 2012; Price et al., 2012a, 2012b, 2015), we expect that local transhumance may not be visible. Nonetheless, given the slight, yet consistent, variation across the landscape, the potential for long-distance movement and the complete lack of any information in this regard from the earliest Neolithic in the region, such an approach is appropriate.

## 2. Materials and methods

Two sites were selected for sampling: Almhov, Sweden, and Havnelev, Denmark (Fig. 1). These sites have yielded two of the largest domestic species-dominated early Neolithic faunal assemblages from southern Scandinavia. These materials present, therefore, the only

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [k.j.gron@durham.ac.uk](mailto:k.j.gron@durham.ac.uk) (K.J. Gron).



**Fig. 1.** The locations of the sites. The dashed arrows highlight the closest potential region of origin for Sample #2 and the solid arrows highlight the same for Sample #23 (see Results and discussion). Figure modified from Gron et al. (2015).

opportunities for selecting more than one or two different individual cattle for analysis from particular sites. Furthermore, both sites are located in regions where aurochs (*Bos primigenius*) were extinct during the early Neolithic (Aaris-Sørensen, 1999; Ekström, 1993), so all teeth are of domestic origin. Previous strontium isotope data are available from the teeth from Almhov (Gron et al., 2015), but in the interest of comparability with the cattle from Havnelev, the samples analyzed previously were re-analyzed here to ensure analytical consistency. The primary reason for this redundancy was the closure of the laboratory used since those analyses. Therefore, in this paper Samples 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 16 correspond to Tooth Numbers 2, 4, 6, 7, 10, and 35 respectively in Gron et al. (2015).

Almhov was excavated in the early 2000s as part of an infrastructure project aimed at improving the transportation links between southern Sweden and eastern Denmark (Rudebeck, 2010). In the course of construction, a series of primary depositional pits surrounding several earthen long barrows were uncovered. From these pits, what is probably the largest early Neolithic faunal assemblage from the region was obtained. While relatively modest in size (c. 2000 identified specimens) in comparison with other time periods, this assemblage was dominated by domestic species, particularly cattle. Teeth (Table 1) were selected only from pits <sup>14</sup>C-dated to the ENI (4000–3500 cal BC): Sample 1

from Feature 35862 (3790–3630 cal BC); Samples 2, 4, and 16 from Feature 19049 (3970–3710 cal BC); Sample 3 from Feature 25594 (3980–3690 cal BC); and Sample 5 from Feature 6 (3980–3630 cal BC) (Gron et al., 2015; Rudebeck, 2010).

In contrast to Almhov, the farming settlement at Havnelev was excavated numerous times: 1922, 1933, and 1936 by the National Museum of Denmark, and again in 1973 (Mathiassen, 1940; Nielsen, 1994). The 1922 excavation was of a shallow but very rich pit yielding the remains of predominantly domestic animals which were dated through the associated finds of Svaleklint-type (or Type B) Funnel Beaker ceramics, for which the settlement is the type-site. The 1933 excavations took place in a depression 120 m to the east of the pit dug in 1922. The hollow was oval in shape and measured c. 15 by 10 m, reaching a depth of 1 m from the top of the subsoil. From this campaign, again the overwhelmingly predominant ceramic was of Svaleklint-type. The excavation in 1936 took place 60 m to the west of the 1922 excavation. It produced a more mixed find material that also contained pottery from the ENII (c. 3500–3300 cal BC). In 1973 the precise location of the two major excavations in 1922 and 1933 was established and four smaller pits were excavated.

While confidently dated to the period between c. 3800–3500 cal BC using ceramics, absolute dating of finds from the 1922 and 1933 excavations has proven problematic due to very poor organic collagen preservation, and despite numerous efforts, no AMS radiocarbon dates have previously been obtained. As a last attempt, two mandible fragments from domestic cattle were submitted to the ChronoCentre at Queen's University Belfast for AMS dating. One had insufficiently preserved collagen, but the other, from the 1922 excavations (UBA-30023), was  $4978 \pm 37$  radiocarbon years old ( $2\sigma$  range, 3929–3659 cal BC), and in complete agreement with the dates assigned by the associated ceramics.

Sampling at Almhov focused on dextral mandibular first molars in order to minimize the possibility of sampling multiple teeth from the same individual. One sinistral molar was sampled, but could be differentiated from the other individuals based on toothwear. No loose teeth were sampled. From Havnelev, mandibular teeth were selected from the 1922, 1933, and 1936 excavation collections at the Zoological Museum Copenhagen. However, all teeth eventually sampled derived from the 1922 and 1933 excavations, as National Museum records list no mandibular teeth of cattle recovered in the 1936 campaign. In this case, only loose teeth were available (except Sample 24), so sampling focused on dextral teeth with one exception (again Sample 24) which was chosen to bolster the sample. First molars (M1s) were selected on qualitative morphological grounds, but in full acknowledgement of the difficulties differentiating loose M1s from second molars (M2s), we admit that pairs of teeth with similar strontium isotopes (Samples 18 and 21, and Samples 19, 20 and/or 24) could potentially derive from the same animal's M1 and M2. This is unlikely however. Firstly, Samples

**Table 1**

Teeth sampled and data obtained (wear according to Grant, 1982). ERJ = Enamel-root junction.

Site	Sample number	Species	Element	Side	Grant Wear Stage	<sup>87</sup> Sr/ <sup>86</sup> Sr	2SE	Cusp sampled (all buccal)	Sample location (mm from ERJ)
Almhov	1	<i>Bos taurus</i>	M1	dx	f	0.710170	0.000008	Mesial	28.0–18.7
Almhov	2	<i>Bos taurus</i>	M1	dx	d	0.709028	0.000009	Distal	25.2–20.9
Almhov	3	<i>Bos taurus</i>	M1	dx	b	0.710060	0.000015	Distal	25.8–20.8
Almhov	4	<i>Bos taurus</i>	M1	dx	f	0.709711	0.000008	Mesial	27.0–20.9
Almhov	5	<i>Bos taurus</i>	M1	dx	e	0.710854	0.000008	Distal	24.0–20.4
Almhov	16	<i>Bos taurus</i>	M1	sn	c	0.709609	0.000008	Mesial	23.9–20.5
Havnelev	18	<i>Bos taurus</i>	M1	dx	f	0.710868	0.000013	Mesial	25.6–21.8
Havnelev	19	<i>Bos taurus</i>	M1	dx	f	0.711291	0.000007	Mesial	24.0–20.6
Havnelev	20	<i>Bos taurus</i>	M1	dx	b	0.711339	0.000010	Mesial	26.2–22.4
Havnelev	21	<i>Bos taurus</i>	M1	dx	f	0.710909	0.000019	Distal	23.9–20.8
Havnelev	22	<i>Bos taurus</i>	M1	dx	g	0.711417	0.000017	Distal	23.8–20.8
Havnelev	23	<i>Bos taurus</i>	M1	dx	f	0.712103	0.000012	Mesial	23.3–20.1
Havnelev	24	<i>Bos taurus</i>	M1	sn	g	0.711308	0.000015	Mesial	23.4–20.3
Repeat #1	16	<i>Bos taurus</i>	M1	sn	c	0.709620	0.000007	Mesial	23.9–20.5
Repeat #2	21	<i>Bos taurus</i>	M1	dx	f	0.710906	0.000018	Distal	23.9–20.8

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7446611>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7446611>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)