



Effect of distance from home to school and spatial dependence between homes on mode of commuting to school



Jorge Chica-Olmo^{a,*}, Carlos Rodríguez-López^b, Palma Chillón^b

^a Department of Quantitative Methods for Economics and Business, Faculty of Economics and Business Sciences, University of Granada, Granada, Spain.

^b PROFITH "PRoMoting FITness and Health through physical activity" research group, Department of Physical Education and Sport, Faculty of Sport Sciences, University of Granada, Granada, Spain.

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Distance
Active commuting
School
Areas of influence
Spatial autocorrelation
Regression-kriging

ABSTRACT

Active commuting to school (i.e., walking and cycling) has health implications for young people. Therefore, it of interest to determine how the distance students walk to school varies depending on where they live and how their decision to walk is affected by contextual/environmental variables. This study aimed to examine which of the distances (Euclidean, Manhattan, walking-network and driving-network) is the best predictor of the decision to walk to school and determine the areas of influence of active commuting to school for four high schools in Granada, Spain. To achieve these aims, the regression-kriging method was used. The results indicated that the Euclidean and the walking distances were the best predictors of the decision to walk to school. Spatial dependence produced by some locational variables and spatial contagion among students was found to be moderate to strong. In addition, the spatial range of this spatial dependence is approximately 1000 m to 1600 m. Regression-kriging could be implemented in a geographic information system to determine the areas of influence of schools and aid urban designers and planners in developing neighborhoods that support active modes of commuting. Identifying the areas of influence is important for promoting active modes of transport by local governments.

1. Introduction

Most urban models, such as "new urbanism", aim to minimize car use and its negative impact on the environment while promoting more pedestrian-friendly features (Crane and Crepeau, 1998). These models focus on reducing the distance between locations and increasing the feasibility of alternative modes of transport, such as walking, cycling or public transportation. To this end, policies have been designed to reduce road congestion, as well as urban pollution and dispersion. These factors are also associated with the location of schools in urban areas. Studies that have investigated the effects of school location have been based on aggregated (zonal) or detailed (household) data. The latter type are more appropriate when studying the effects of distance and accessibility on the choice of commuting mode (Handy, 1996).

The commuting mode children use to go to school has been widely discussed in recent years and has economic, social, health and environmental effects (Faulkner et al., 2013; Li and Zhao, 2015; Mandic et al., 2015; Wilson et al., 2010). The effect of the distance from household to school on transport mode choice is well known. Several studies have shown that the distance from home to school is a stronger

predictor of active commuting to school (ACS) in school-age students (Chillón et al., 2015; Davison et al., 2008; McDonald, 2008) and that shorter distances are associated with higher rates of active travel (Mandic et al., 2015; Pont et al., 2009; Rodríguez-López et al., 2017). Previous evidence is available on the current distance that young people are willing to walk to school. In the United States, 31% of trips from home to school made by walking are under one mile (1.6 km) (US Department of Health Human Services, 2008). The criterion distance for walking to school has been reported to be 1.2 miles (2.0 km) among Belgian adolescents (Van Dyck et al., 2010) and 1.5 miles (2.4 km) among Irish adolescents (Nelson et al., 2008). In the UK, the statutory walking distance for English children has been set at 3 miles (4.8 km) (Government/DfES, 2005), while for Japanese students it is approximately 2.5–3.7 miles (4.0–6.0 km), although the actual distance depends on the children's age (Mori et al., 2012). Although there is no universal criteria, some studies have shown that a distance of about 2.5 miles (4.0 km) is considered reasonable for adolescent walkers (Nelson et al., 2008). Therefore, one question of interest is to determine the distance that children and adolescents are expected to walk from home to school. In this study, walking distance is defined as the radius

* Corresponding author at: Faculty of Economics and Business Sciences (Department of Quantitative Methods for Economics and Business), University of Granada, Campus de la Cartuja s/n, 18071 Granada, Spain.

E-mail addresses: jchica@ugr.es (J. Chica-Olmo), crdguez@ugr.es (C. Rodríguez-López), pchillon@ugr.es (P. Chillón).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2018.07.013>

Received 1 December 2016; Received in revised form 24 May 2018; Accepted 31 July 2018

0966-6923/ © 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7484939>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7484939>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)