



Short communication

Working memory and impulsivity predict marijuana-related problems among frequent users

Anne M. Day*, Jane Metrik, Nichea S. Spillane, Christopher W. Kahler

Center for Alcohol and Addiction Studies, Department of Behavioral and Social Sciences, Brown University, Box G-S121-4, Providence, RI 02912, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 20 September 2012

Received in revised form

20 November 2012

Accepted 12 December 2012

Available online 9 January 2013

Keywords:

Marijuana

Working memory

Problems

Executive functioning

Impulsivity

ABSTRACT

Background: Although marijuana is the most commonly used illicit substance in the US, only a small portion of users go on to develop dependence, suggesting that there are substantial individual differences in vulnerability to marijuana-related problems among users. Deficits in working memory and high trait impulsivity are two factors that may place marijuana users at increased risk for experiencing related problems.

Methods: Using baseline data from an experimental study that recruited 104 frequent marijuana users ($M = 71.86\%$ of prior 60 days, $SD = 22\%$), we examined the associations of working memory and trait impulsivity with marijuana-related problems.

Results: Lower working memory, as measured by Trail Making Test B, but not short-term memory capacity, predicted more marijuana-related problems. Higher trait impulsivity scores were independently associated with greater number of problems.

Conclusions: Results suggest that marijuana users with reduced executive cognitive ability are more susceptible to developing problems related to their use. Trait impulsivity and executive working memory appear to be independent risk factors for experiencing marijuana-related problems.

© 2012 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Although marijuana is the most used illicit drug in the U.S. and the world (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2011), only about nine percent of those who have ever used become dependent (Anthony, 2006), indicating substantial individual differences in risk of progression to problem use (Anthony et al., 1994; Kendler and Prescott, 1998; Kleinman et al., 1988). Executive functioning deficits have been linked to the experience of behavioral (Wahlstedt et al., 2008) and emotional problems (Knouse et al., 2012), and play a critical role in the development and maintenance of drug addiction (Hester et al., 2010). These disturbances in prefrontally-mediated processes can represent both a pre-existing vulnerability to and a consequence of drug use (Yücel and Lubman, 2007).

Two facets of executive functioning that may have particular relevance to the development of marijuana problems are working memory and impulsivity. These constructs are inversely related and independently predict problem behaviors (Romer et al., 2011), including drug use (Albein-Urios et al., 2012). Those lower in working memory may have limited capacity to maintain task-relevant information during complex tasks, restricting ability to

exert behavioral control when needed. Likewise, those high in impulsivity may be more likely to act upon maladaptive behavioral impulses without considering consequences. There is mixed evidence regarding whether marijuana use leads to deficits in working memory (Solowij and Pesa, 2010), and a dearth of information on the role of working memory in the experience of marijuana-related problems. By contrast, impulsivity has demonstrated a robust and consistent association with increased substance use (Littlefield and Sher, 2010), including marijuana use (Hale et al., 2003; Jaffe and Archer, 1987; Kollins, 2003; Satinder and Black, 1984; Vangness et al., 2005). Furthermore, trait impulsivity is positively associated with marijuana-related problems (Hayaki et al., 2011; Simons and Carey, 2002), although studies have been mostly limited to college samples with low levels of marijuana use (e.g., Simons et al., 2006).

The current study explored the association between working memory, impulsivity and marijuana-related problems among frequent users. We hypothesized that greater trait impulsivity and poorer working memory (but not short-term memory capacity) would independently predict greater number of marijuana-related problems.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

Data were drawn from a study investigating cannabinoid-related genetic variation and variability in marijuana's acute and

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 401 863 6629; fax: +1 401 863 6697.

E-mail address: anne.day@brown.edu (A.M. Day).

cue-elicited effects (Metrik et al., 2012a). The Institutional Review Board of Brown University approved the study. Participants were 104 marijuana smokers recruited through newspaper advertisements, flyers, and social media websites who met the following inclusion criteria: native English speakers, 18–44 years of age, non-Hispanic Caucasian (due to genetic aims of the parent study), marijuana use at least 2 days a week in the past month and at least weekly in the past 6 months, and self-reported ability to abstain from marijuana for 24 h without withdrawal. Exclusion criteria were: intent to quit or receive treatment for cannabis abuse, use of other illicit drugs, pregnancy, nursing, past month affective or panic disorder, psychotic or suicidal state assessed by psychiatric interview, contraindicated medical issues by physical exam and BMI > 30, and smoking more than 20 tobacco cigarettes per day.

The sample was 36.5% female with a mean age of 21.29 ($SD=4.30$, range = 18–42), with 64.3% of the sample reporting having completed college at least one year of, or being currently enrolled in, college ($M=13.6$ years of education, $SD=1.7$). Participants reported using marijuana a mean of 2.03 ($SD=1.22$) times a day on 71.86% ($SD=22.02\%$) of the past 60 days (about 5 days per week). There were 44 tobacco cigarette smokers (42.3%), who smoked 4.08 cigarettes ($SD=3.77$) per day. The 100 current drinkers drank 8.5 ($SD=7.88$) drinks per week consuming an average of 4.34 drinks ($SD=2.44$) on a drinking day.

2.2. Procedure

Participants completed self-report assessments and research assistants administered two executive functioning tests (Trail-Making Test and Digit Span). Participants abstained from marijuana and tobacco smoking for 12 h before the session. Alveolar carbon-monoxide (CO) of ≤ 6 ppm was used to confirm no recent smoking (Cooper and Haney, 2009; Metrik et al., 2012b) with a Bedfont Scientific Smokelyzer and zero breath alcohol concentration was verified with an Alco-Sensor IV (Intoximeters, Inc., St Louis, MO, USA). Smokers smoked one cigarette after CO confirmation to prevent effects of nicotine withdrawal on task performance.

2.3. Measures

The well-validated calendar-assisted *Timeline Follow-Back* interview (TLFB; Dennis et al., 2004) was used to assess past 60-day marijuana use.

Trait impulsivity was measured with the mean composite score for the Barratt Impulsiveness Scale (BIS-11; Patton et al., 1995), a reliable and valid 30-item self-report measure of motor, attentional, and future planning impulsivity, scored on Likert scales, from 1 (rarely/never) to 4 (almost always).

Working memory was assessed with the Trails B (Sánchez-Cubillo et al., 2009) portion of the Trail-Making Test (TMT; Reitan and Wolfson, 1995). T-scores (Weaver et al., 2002; Reitan and Wolfson, 1995) adjusted for age, gender, and education were used.

Short-term memory capacity was measured with Digit Span subtests (forward and backward) of the WAIS-III (Wechsler, 1997) and was used as an indicator of the discriminant validity of working memory in the prediction of marijuana-related problems.

Marijuana-related problems were assessed with the 22-item Marijuana Problems Scale (MPS; Stephens et al., 2000), a reliable and valid measure of the number and severity of marijuana problems during the past 90 days. Total count of combined minor and serious problems was used. Internal validity for the BIS and MPS were fair ($\alpha = .68$ and $.76$, respectively).

2.4. Data analysis plan

We first examined correlations among gender, age of onset of regular use of marijuana, marijuana use frequency, Digit Span Forward and Backward, Trails B, BIS, and marijuana-related problems. In a linear regression model, we tested the association of each variable with marijuana-related problems. Variables were centered to aid in interpretation of results. Age of initiation of regular marijuana use was included in the model as a covariate because it has been linked to negative outcomes including progression to cannabis dependence (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2011). This variable was dichotomized (under 16/16 and over; Gruber et al., 2012).

3. Results

3.1. Marijuana-related problems

All participants reported at least one marijuana-related problem. The median number of problems was 3, with a range between 1 and 13 problems. Participants most frequently reported that marijuana caused them “to procrastinate” (53% of the sample) and “to have a lower energy level” (42.6%). No participants reported that marijuana had caused them to lose a job, and 1% reported experiencing medical problems as a result of marijuana. The remaining 18 problems were reported by between 2 and 37% of participants.

Means and standard deviations of variables, along with correlations, are listed in Table 1. As expected, number of marijuana problems was negatively correlated with age of initiation of regular use, Trails B, and BIS, but was uncorrelated with frequency of use or scores on both subtests of Digit Span. We found a nonsignificant relationship between gender and number of marijuana problems ($p > .60$), so gender was excluded from further analyses.

3.2. Predicting marijuana-related problems

Use frequency, age of initiation of regular use, and both subtests of Digit Span were not significantly associated with marijuana problems, while lower working memory (Trails B) and higher impulsivity (BIS) were significantly related to marijuana problems, predicting 6% and 4% of the variance, respectively (see Table 2). (The following interactions were all nonsignificant ($ps > .45$): use X BIS, use X Trails B, BIS X Trails B.)

4. Discussion

As predicted, lower working memory was associated with the experience of more marijuana-related problems, such as procrastination, lower energy and lower productivity, independent of frequency of use. Poor working memory has been implicated in difficulty limiting consumption of substances (Hofmann et al., 2011), and in this sample, use and working memory were negatively correlated, indicating that those with greater use frequency performed more poorly on Trails B. Previous research indicates that marijuana users do perform worse than non-users on tests of executive functioning (Pope and Yurgelun-Todd, 1996; Solowij et al., 2002), although not necessarily worse on tasks of working memory (Bolla et al., 2002; Jager et al., 2006). Because of the cross-sectional nature of the study, it is impossible to tell whether frequency of use contributed to deficits in working memory, which then led to marijuana-related problems, or if pre-existing deficits in working memory led to greater marijuana use and marijuana-related problems. It is also possible that an underlying psychological variable (e.g., anxiety) that was not measured in the current study might

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7507515>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7507515>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)